



A 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor agonist, 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, mitigates developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol to serotonergic neurons

Journal:	<i>Congenital Anomalies</i>
Manuscript ID	CGA-08-2015-070.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Ishiguro, Tsukasa; Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology Sakata-Haga, Hiromi; Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology Fukui, Yoshihiro; Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology
Keywords:	1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, fetal ethanol, rat, 5-HT ₂ ergic neuron, midbrain raphe nuclei

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

iew

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Full title:

**A 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor agonist, 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane,
mitigates developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol to serotonergic neurons**

First author's surname: Ishiguro

Short title: DOI mitigates DNT of EtOH to 5-HT cells

Authors:

Tsukasa Ishiguro, Hiromi Sakata-Haga, and Yoshihiro Fukui

Affiliation

*Department of Anatomy and Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Biomedical
Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan*

Correspondence: Hiromi Sakata-Haga, Ph D, Department of Anatomy and
Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University
Graduate School, 3-18-15 Kuramoto-cho, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan. Tel.:
+81-88-633-7052; fax: +81-88-633-7053. Email: h_sakata@tokushima-u.ac.jp

1
2
3
4 **ABSTRACT** Prenatal ethanol exposure causes the reduction of serotonergic
5
6 **(5-HTergic) neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei. In the present study, we**
7
8 **examined whether an activation of signaling via 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors**
9
10 **during the fetal period is able to prevent the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons**
11
12 **induced by prenatal ethanol exposure. Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were given a**
13
14 **liquid diet containing 2.5 to 5.0% (w/v) ethanol on gestational days (GDs) 10 to 20**
15
16 **(Et). As a pair-fed control, other pregnant rats were fed the same liquid diet except**
17
18 **that the ethanol was replaced by isocaloric sucrose (Pf). Each Et and Pf group was**
19
20 **subdivided into two groups; one of the groups was treated with 1 mg/kg (i.p.) of**
21
22 **1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane (DOI), an agonist for 5-HT_{2A/2C}**
23
24 **receptors, during GDs 13 to 19 (Et-DOI or Pf-DOI), and another was injected with**
25
26 **saline vehicle only (Et-Sal or Pf-Sal). Their fetuses were removed by cesarean**
27
28 **section on GD 19 or 20, and fetal brains were collected. An immunohistological**
29
30 **examination of 5-HTergic neurons in the fetuses on embryonic day 20 using an**
31
32 **antibody against tryptophan hydroxylase revealed that the number of 5-HTergic**
33
34 **neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei was significantly reduced in the Et-Sal**
35
36 **fetuses compared to that of the Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI fetuses, whereas there were no**
37
38 **significant differences between Et-DOI and each Pf control. Thus, we concluded**
39
40 **that the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons that resulted in prenatal ethanol exposure**
41
42 **could be alleviated by the enhancement of signaling via 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors during**
43
44 **the fetal period.**
45
46
47
48
49

50
51
52
53 **Key Words:** 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane, fetal ethanol, rat,
54
55 5-HTergic neuron, midbrain raphe nuclei
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

Ethanol is one of the best-known substances capable of inducing developmental abnormalities in the central nervous system (CNS). In fact, dysfunctions of the CNS, such as intellectual disability, learning disorder, hyperactivity, and coordination defect, have been reported in children with a history of exposure to ethanol *in utero*. Now, it is widely recognized that ethanol is a main cause of intellectual disability among those of a known etiology, and the developmental abnormalities with functional defects of the CNS caused by prenatal ethanol exposure are referred to as fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS, Rosett 1980) or fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD, Sokol et al. 2003; see Riley et al. 2011, for a review).

The serotonergic (5-HTergic) system is one of the places targeted by the developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol. It has been widely accepted that prenatal ethanol exposure causes a reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei (Ohta et al. 2010; Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001; Sari and Zhou 2004; Zhou et al. 2001, 2002, 2008). Although it is obvious that ethanol exposure during the fetal period induces a reduced number of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei, its mechanism is still incompletely understood.

Serotonin (5-HT) is a monoamine neurotransmitter related to many behaviors, physiological functions, and psychiatric disorders, such as aggression, anxiety, stress response, sexual behavior, and depression (Oliver 2015), while it has been expected to play important roles in the immature brain (see Bonnin and Levitt 2011; Whitaker-Azumita et al. 1996, for reviews). For example, 5-HT inhibits growth cone motility and synaptogenesis (Haydon et al. 1984) and modulates responsiveness of thalamocortical axons to an axonal guidance cue (Bonnin et al. 2007), and lack of 5-HT

1
2
3
4 altered serotonergic innervations in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, paraventricular
5
6 nucleus, nucleus accumbens, and hippocampus (Migliarini et al. 2013). Activation of
7
8 5-HT receptors enhance neurite outgrowth and lead to neuronal survival (Fricker et al.
9
10 2005; Lotto et al. 1999). Thus, disrupted 5-HTergic signaling during the prenatal period
11
12 has been thought to be the possible cause of abnormal brain function in adulthood
13
14 (Bonnin and Levitt 2011).

15
16
17 Seven families of the 5-HT receptor, comprising a total of 14 subtypes, have been
18
19 identified (see Hoyer et al. 2002 for review), some of which already appear in the brain
20
21 from the fetal period (Hellendall et al. 1993; Hillion et al. 1993; Johnson and
22
23 Heinemann 1995; Bolaños-Jiménez et al. 1997). Especially, 5-HT_{1A} receptor, which was
24
25 identified in the cell bodies of 5-HTergic neurons in the embryonic midbrain (Héry et al.
26
27 1999; Hillion et al. 1994), has been strongly suggested to play important roles in the
28
29 development of the 5-HTergic neurons. Interestingly, it has been reported that 5-HT_{1A}
30
31 receptor is not only located on neurons but also on astrocytes (Azmitia et al. 1996) and
32
33 it would mediate some neurotrophic effects of 5-HT (Whitaker-Azmitia et al. 1990).
34
35 Previously, it was demonstrated that maternal treatment with a 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist,
36
37 ipsapirone, during the peak of differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons prevented the
38
39 ethanol-associated reduction of 5-HTergic neurons and astrocytes in the raphe region of
40
41 the developing rat brain (Tajuddin et al. 2003; Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001). Also, *in*
42
43 *vitro* studies showed that ipsapirone prevents the ethanol-associated increase of
44
45 apoptosis in 5-HTergic and other neurons in the rhombencephalon (Druse et al. 2004,
46
47 2005). Thus, it is considered that a facilitated 5-HT action via 5-HT_{1A} receptor could
48
49 protect 5-HTergic neurons from ethanol toxicity in fetal brain.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Also other subtypes of 5-HT receptors have been considered to play key roles in
5
6 brain development, because they were identified in the fetal brain (Gaspar et al. 2003)
7
8 and suggested to mediate trophic effects of 5-HT (Lotto et al. 1999; Persico et al. 2006).
9
10 Thus, it will be interesting to see if the agonists for these 5-HT receptors can prevent
11
12 ethanol toxicity in the development of 5-HTergic neurons. Among 5-HT receptors, the
13
14 5-HT_{2A} receptor is the most widely distributed in the brain. The expression of 5-HT_{2A}
15
16 receptors in the fetal brain gradually increases from embryonic days (EDs) 11 to 21,
17
18 with a dramatic increase to a peak at ED 13, and it then decreases by ED 17 (Wu et al.
19
20 1999). The predominant 5-HT₂ receptors in the neonatal period are 5-HT_{2C} receptors,
21
22 while 5-HT_{2A} receptors become predominant as the animal ages (Ike et al. 1995). In the
23
24 midbrain raphe nuclei of adult rats, 5-HT_{2C} receptors have been identified in the
25
26 majority of GABAergic neurons, even if not in 5-HTergic neurons (Serrats et al. 2005),
27
28 and the GABAergic neurons input to 5-HTergic neurons of DR (Wang et al. 1992). In
29
30 addition, the possibility that a 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor agonist promotes growth of cultured
31
32 embryonic brainstem 5-HT cells was suggested (Whitaker-Azmita et al. 1996). Thus, it
33
34 is of interest whether the enhancement of signaling via 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors during the
35
36 fetal period has the potential to protect 5-HTergic neurons from the developmental
37
38 toxicity of ethanol.
39
40
41
42
43

44 In the present study, we examined whether a 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor agonist,
45
46 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane (DOI), has a preventive effect against
47
48 the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei resulting in prenatal
49
50 ethanol exposure in rats. We also measured monoamines and their metabolite levels
51
52 using high-precision liquid chromatography (HPLC), and determined the expression
53
54 level of genes involved in the generation and differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons using
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 a real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in the whole brain
5
6 of fetuses prenatally exposed to ethanol.
7
8
9

10 MATERIALS AND METHODS

11 **Animals, diets, and treatment**

12
13 All animal procedures were conducted according to the Guide for the Care and Use of
14
15 Laboratory Animals, and were reviewed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use
16
17 Committee of the University of Tokushima. Great care was taken to minimize the
18
19 number of animals used, and their suffering.
20
21
22
23

24 Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan).
25
26 All of the rats used for the present study were maintained under 12-hour/12-hour of
27
28 light and dark cycle. The presence of a vaginal plug was referred to as gestational day
29
30 (GD) 0. At first, the pregnant dams were divided into two groups; prenatal
31
32 ethanol-exposed (Et) and pair-fed control (Pf) groups. The same procedure as described
33
34 in our previous study (Ohta et al. 2010) was used to prepare the rats of each
35
36 experimental group. Briefly, pregnant rats of the Et group were allowed free access to a
37
38 liquid diet (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan) containing ethanol during GDs 10 to 20,
39
40 corresponding to the second-trimester of pregnancy in human. The ethanol
41
42 concentration of the diet was gradually raised: 2.5% (w/v) on GDs 10 to 12, 4.0% (w/v)
43
44 on GDs 13 to 15, and 5.0% (w/v) on GDs 16 to 20, to avoid a diminishing maternal
45
46 intake and body weight gain (Sakata-Haga et al. 2002). In our preliminary study, the
47
48 blood ethanol concentration (BEC) at the end of dark-phase during this administration
49
50 regimen was measured in non-pregnant female rats (n=6) by AM1 Alcohol Analyzer
51
52 (Analox Instrument, London, UK). During the 1st to 3rd day, the period rats fed a diet
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 containing 2.5% ethanol, the mean of BEC was 94.5 ± 8.5 mg/dl. During the 4th to 6th
5
6 day, the period rats fed a diet containing 4.0% ethanol, the mean of BEC was $182.3 \pm$
7
8 9.8 mg/dl. During the 7th to 10th day, the period rats were fed a diet containing 5.0%
9
10 ethanol, and the mean of BEC was 266.0 ± 9.8 mg/dl. Pregnant rats of the Pf group
11
12 were given an equivalent amount of the same liquid diet consumed by Et dams on a
13
14 daily basis, except that the ethanol was replaced by isocaloric sucrose. Calorie content
15
16 of both liquid diets was 1 kcal/ml. Each day between GDs 13 and 19, approximately
17
18 half of the Pf and Et dams were given an intraperitoneal injection of a DOI solution,
19
20 containing 1 mg of DOI hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 1 ml of saline,
21
22 once a day at a daily dose of 1 mg/kg/day. Other dams of each Pf and Et group received
23
24 only saline vehicle at the equivalent dose. DOI has a higher affinity for both 5-HT_{2A} and
25
26 5-HT_{2C} (*Ki* value is 0.7 nM and 2.4 nM, respectively) receptors than for 5-HT_{2B}
27
28 receptor (*Ki* value is 20 nM) (Nelson et al. 1999), and it has been widely used as an
29
30 agonist for 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors. DOI also has been used to examine the effect of the
31
32 activation of 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors during the fetal period, because it crosses the placental
33
34 barrier (Bou-Flores et al. 2000; Bras et al. 2008). The four treatment groups, Pf-Sal
35
36 (*n*=7) Pf-DOI (*n*=8), Et-Sal (*n*=8), and Et-DOI (*n*=6), were established in the present
37
38 study by the combination of maternal diet and drug treatment. On GD 19 or 20,
39
40 pregnant dams were anesthetized and their fetuses were removed by cesarean section.
41
42 Although it has been reported that there was a gender difference in effects of prenatal
43
44 ethanol exposure on the development of the 5-Hergic system (Hofmann et al., 2007),
45
46 only male fetuses were used for the present study. The brains from ED 19 fetuses, which
47
48 were collected within 4 h after a final injection of saline or DOI to their dams, were
49
50 used for the observation using quantitative real-time PCR. To avoid miscount of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 5-HTergic neurons by direct effect of DOI on TPH expression and also to understand a
5
6 change of monoamines status consequent to the DOI treatment, the brains used for the
7
8 immunohistological detection of 5-HTergic neurons and quantification of monoamines
9
10 and their metabolite contents were obtained from ED 20 fetuses, which were collected
11
12 at least 24 h after a final injection of saline or DOI.
13
14
15
16

17 **Immunohistochemistry for 5-HTergic neurons in midbrain raphe nuclei**

18
19 For an immunohistological observation of 5-HTergic neurons, seven fetuses from three
20
21 of Pf-Sal dams, seven fetuses from four of Pf-DOI dams, ten fetuses from four of Et-Sal
22
23 dams, and seven fetuses from two of Et-DOI dams were used. The fetal brains were
24
25 removed and immersed into 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)
26
27 just after removal from the skull. The brains were embedded in paraffin and serially
28
29 sliced along the frontal plane at 5- μ m thickness. The sections were irradiated with
30
31 microwaves for 5 min in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0), and then incubated with mouse
32
33 anti-tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH) antibody (1:1000, Oncogene Research Products,
34
35 San Diego, CA, USA) for 24 h at 4°C. Next, the sections were incubated with
36
37 horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:400, MBL, Nagoya,
38
39 Japan) for 2 h at 37°C. The immunoreactivity was then visualized with a solution
40
41 containing 0.035 g 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Nakarai, Kyoto, Japan),
42
43 and 2.5 g nickel ammonium sulfate hexahydrate (Nakarai, Kyoto, Japan) in 100 ml of
44
45 0.1 M acetate buffer for 5 min at 37°C in the presence of 0.003% H₂O₂.
46
47
48
49

50
51 TPH-immunoreactive (TPH-ir) cells were counted in the dorsal raphe (DR) and the
52
53 median raphe (MR) nuclei. At first, the most rostral section containing both DR and MR
54
55 was identified as the first section, and every 5 sections (a total of five sections) were
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 picked and supplied for a TPH-ir cell counting procedure (Ohta et al. 2010). TPH is a
5
6 rate-limiting enzyme for 5-HT biosynthesis and is widely used as a marker of 5-HTergic
7
8 neurons. The monoclonal antibody used in the present study has been used to describe
9
10 the distribution of TPH-containing neuron and to classified 5-HTergic neurons if the
11
12 neuron was immunoreactive for TPH (Zhang et al. 2006; Zhang and Hammond 2009).
13
14 Thus, TPH-ir neurons were considered as 5-HTergic neurons.
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Quantitative measurement of monoamines and their metabolites in whole brains**

21 For a quantification of monoamines, sixteen fetuses from two of Pf-Sal dams, eleven
22
23 fetuses from two of Pf-DOI dams, eleven fetuses from two of Et-Sal dams, and eight
24
25 fetuses from two of Et-DOI dams were used. Brains were quickly weighed after
26
27 removal from the skull, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -30°C until use. Each
28
29 brain was homogenized with 1 ml of 0.2 M perchloric acid (Wako, Osaka, Japan)
30
31 containing 100 µM EDTA, and then 1 µl of isoproterenol (ISO) solution (100 ng/µl) was
32
33 added to the homogenate as an internal standard. The homogenates were incubated for
34
35 30 min on ice and centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000 ×g at 0°C. Each supernatant was
36
37 corrected, pH was adjusted to 3.0 with 1 M sodium acetate, and filtered with a 0.45 µm
38
39 pore-size filter (Millipore, USA). Each 10 µl of the aliquot was injected into the HPLC
40
41 system (HTEC-500, Eicom, Kyoto, Japan) with an electrochemical detector (ECD-300,
42
43 Eicom, Kyoto, Japan) and a reverse-phase column (EICOMPAK SC-50DS, φ3
44
45 mm×150 mm, Eicom, Kyoto, Japan). As a mobile phase, a solution composed of 0.1 M
46
47 sodium acetate-citric acid buffer with 190 mg/l sodium 1-octanesulfonate, 5 mg/l
48
49 EDTA-2Na and 15% (vol/vol) methanol (pH 3.5), was used, and the flow rate was
50
51 maintained at 0.5 ml/min. The detector response was plotted and measured using an
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 HPLC chromatogram analysis software Power Chrom (ver. 2.3.1, eDAQ Japan, Nagoya,
5 Japan). A standard solution, containing 5-HT and its metabolite, 5-HIAA; dopamine
6 (DA) and its metabolite, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC); and ISO at each
7
8 concentration of 10 pg/ μ l was prepared, and 10 μ l of the standard solution was applied
9
10 to obtain a chromatogram before sample analysis. The amount of each monoamine was
11
12 calculated from the integrated chromatographic peak area and expressed as ng/g wet
13
14 tissue. As an index of DA and 5-HT turnover, the DOPAC/DA and 5-HIAA/5-HT ratios
15
16 were also calculated.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Quantitative real-time PCR**

25
26 Total RNA was isolated from frozen brains of 18, 11, 8 and 13 fetuses from two dams
27
28 each in the Pf-Sal, Pf-DOI, Et-Sal and Et-DOI groups according to the manufacturer's
29
30 instructions with Isogen (Nippon Gene Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and quantitated by a
31
32 spectrophotometer (Smartspec 3000, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA;
33
34 260/280 nm ratio). The integrity of the RNA was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis.
35
36 First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μ g of total RNA using oligo (dT) and Super
37
38 Script III First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen Life Tech., CA, USA). Real-time
39
40 PCR was performed on an ABI 7500 instrument and its associated software (Applied
41
42 Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). PCR reactions were performed in 20 μ l solutions
43
44 containing 2 μ l of cDNA, 200 nM primer pair, and 1 \times SYBR green PCR master mix in
45
46 96-well plates. The primer sets for the PCR reactions are listed in Table 1. The PCR
47
48 cycling conditions were 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, and the
49
50 appropriate annealing temperature for the primer set under study was for 30 s and 72°C
51
52 for 40 s (see Table 1 for annealing temperatures). For each reaction, a standard curve
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 was obtained by analyzing a dilution series of pooled cDNA samples. To correct for
5
6 sample-to-sample variation, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*) was
7
8 used as an internal standard for RT-PCR. The expression of each target gene was
9
10 quantified as a relative expression against the *Gapdh* expression. PCR product purity
11
12 was confirmed by dissociation curve analysis for each gene at the end of the PCR
13
14
15 reaction.

16 17 18 19 20 **Statistical analysis**

21
22 Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 16.1J (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Data
23
24 of the cell counts, body weight, brain weight, monoamine content, and RNA expression
25
26 were analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors Food and
27
28 Treatment. When an interaction reached significance, a simple main effect test was used
29
30 to confirm a simple main effect of each factor, while an interaction was not significant,
31
32 Tukey test (for data sets with equal variances) or Dunnett's T3 test (for data sets with
33
34 unequal variance) was performed as Post-hoc analysis. Homogeneity variances were
35
36 analyzed with Levene's test. Results are expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistical
37
38 significance was considered at $p < 0.05$.

39 40 41 42 43 44 **RESULTS**

45 46 **Body weight and brain weight of fetuses**

47
48 Body weight, brain weight, and brain to body weight ratio of fetuses at ED 20 were
49
50 shown in Table 2. In the body weight of pups, no interaction was detected between Food
51
52 and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food was significant. Post-hoc analysis
53
54 revealed that there were significant differences between PF-sal and Et-sal or Et-DOI.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure induced growth deficiency of
5
6 fetuses regardless of DOI treatment. On the other hand, a significant Food and
7
8 Treatment interaction was detected in the brain weight of pups. A simple main effect of
9
10 Food was significant in the saline-treated group (Pf-Sal and Et-Sal) and also that of
11
12 Treatment was significant in the non ethanol-exposed group (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI). Thus,
13
14 it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure inhibited brain growth, and the
15
16 inhibitory effect of ethanol on fetal brain growth was not prevented by DOI. In the ratio
17
18 of brain weight to body weight, the main effect of Food was significant, whereas the
19
20 main effect of Treatment was not, and no interaction was detected between Food and
21
22 Treatment. Post-hoc analysis revealed that there were significant differences between
23
24 PF-Sal and Et-Sal or Et-DOI. Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure
25
26 altered the ration of brain weight to body weight regardless of DOI treatment.
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Number of TPH-ir cells in raphe nuclei**

33
34 In all four experimental groups, TPH-ir cells were mainly distributed in the dorsal and
35
36 the median raphes (DR and MR, respectively) of the midbrain (Figs. 1A and B). Fig. 2
37
38 shows the relative numbers of these cell in each group (based on that in Pf-Sal). In both
39
40 the DR and MR, a significant interaction of Food and Treatment was detected. A simple
41
42 main effect of Food was confirmed in only the saline-treated group and that of
43
44 Treatment was significant in the ethanol-exposed group in both nuclei. Thus, the present
45
46 study suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure induced a significant decrease in the
47
48 number of THP-ir cells in both DR and MR, while the inhibitory effect of ethanol on the
49
50 number of 5-HTergic neurons was attenuated by co-treatment with DOI (Figs. 1 and 2).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Monoamine contents and their turnover in fetal brains

Contents of DA, 5-HT, and their metabolites in whole fetal brain were shown in Table 3.

For the contents of 5-HT or 5-HIAA in the whole fetal brains, the main effect of Food was significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. Post-hoc analysis revealed that there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal or Et-DOI and also between Pf-DOI and Et-Sal or Et-DOI. Thus, it was suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure induced a reduction of 5-HT content in the whole fetal brain regardless of DOI treatment. On the other hand, significant differences of 5-HIAA content were detected between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal, but not between Pf-Sal and Et-DOI. These results suggested that prenatal ethanol exposure without DOI treatment reduced 5-HIAA content, but DOI treatment mitigated the ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HIAA content in the fetal whole brain. The turnover ratio of 5-HT was not altered by any factors of Food or Treatment. For the contents of DA in the whole fetal brains, the main effect of Food was significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. However, a significant difference was never detected between any couple of two experimental groups. Significant interactions between Food and Treatment were shown in the content of DOPAC and the turnover ratio of DA. For both DOPAC content and the turnover ratio of DA, a simple main effect of Treatment was significant in the pair-fed group, but not in ethanol-exposed group. Thus it was suggested maternal DOI treatment facilitated turnover of DA in the fetal brain, but the prenatal ethanol-exposure interrupted the DOI efficacy.

Expression of genes related to generation and differentiation of 5-HTergic neurons

1
2
3
4 Changes of gene expression in whole fetal brain on GD 19 were shown in Fig. 3. For
5 expression of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b*, a significant interaction between Food and Treatment
6 was detected. Whereas a simple main effect of Food was confirmed in both the saline-
7 or DOI-treated groups, a simple main effect of Treatment was significant only the
8 ethanol-exposed group. For relative expression of *Lmx1b*, a simple main effect of Food
9 was significant in the saline-treated group, and also that of Treatment was significant
10 only the ethanol-exposed group. It was suggested that prenatal ethanol-exposure
11 suppressed relative expressions of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b*, however, the ethanol-induced
12 reductions of each *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b* expression were completely prevented by
13 co-treatment of dams with DOI. For expression of *Fgf8*, the main effect of Food was
14 significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. However, a
15 significant difference was never detected between any couple of two experimental
16 groups in post-hoc analysis. For expression of *Nkx2.2*, the main effect of Treatment was
17 significant and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. Post-hoc
18 analysis revealed that there were significant differences between Et-DOI and PF-Sal or
19 Et-Sal. Thus, it was suggested that DOI treatment reduced relative expression of *Nkx2.2*,
20 regardless ethanol exposure *in utero*.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 DISCUSSION

47
48 In the present study, we found that a 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptor agonist, DOI, had the ability to
49 prevent a reduction in 5-HTergic neurons in the DR and MR induced by prenatal
50 ethanol treatment. Previously, Tajuddin and colleagues reported that maternal treatment
51 with a 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist, ipsapirone, during the peak of differentiation of
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 5-HTergic neurons prevented the ethanol-associated reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in
5
6 the midbrain raphe nuclei (Tajuddin and Druse 1999, 2001; Tajuddin et al. 2003). The
7
8 present study suggested that also an agonist of 5-HT_{2A/2C} receptors also protect midbrain
9
10 5-HTergic neurons from developmental neurotoxicity of ethanol.
11

12
13 A mechanism of the protective effect of DOI against the developmental toxicity of
14
15 ethanol might be more complicated than that of 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonists, because
16
17 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors have never been identified on 5-HTergic neurons in the
18
19 immature mesencephalon, and the distribution of these receptors during brain
20
21 development remains incompletely defined, unlike that of 5-HT_{1A} receptor. In addition,
22
23 prenatal ethanol exposure has no apparent effect on expression of mRNA for 5-HT_{2A} or
24
25 5-HT_{2C} receptors in rats (Kim et al. 1997; Hofmann et al. 2007), whereas ethanol
26
27 exposure *in utero* impairs the development of 5-HT_{1A} receptor-expressing neurons in
28
29 the parietal and frontal cortex. However, 5-HT signaling via 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C}
30
31 receptors must be important for normal brain development, as evidenced by the fact that
32
33 both receptors are expressed in immature brain with developmental stage-related
34
35 changes (Ike et al. 1995; Wu et al. 1999) and would mediate trophic effects of 5-HT
36
37 (Persico et al. 2006).
38
39
40
41

42 It was reported that mRNA of both 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors are expressed in
43
44 the DR and periaqueductal gray, that is adjacent to the DR, in adult rats (Wright et al.
45
46 1995). Subsequently, it was demonstrated that 5-HT_{2C} receptors were mainly distributed
47
48 in GABAergic neurons in the midbrain raphe nuclei, even if they were not in 5-HTergic
49
50 cells (Serrats et al. 2005). 5-HTergic neurons of the DR receive input from GABAergic
51
52 neurons via GABA_A receptors (Wang et al. 1992), and an activation of 5-HT_{2A} and
53
54 5-HT_{2C} receptors by DOI administration increases inhibitory input from GABAergic
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 neurons to 5-HTergic neurons of the DR (Liu et al. 2000). It was reported that GABA
5
6 acted as a neurotrophic factor against immature monoamine neurons, including
7
8 5-HTergic neurons, and regulated their survival in an *in vitro* study using primary
9
10 dissociated cells from rat fetuses on ED 14 (Liu et al. 1997). Therefore, the protective
11
12 effect of DOI against the reducing of 5-HTergic cells by prenatal ethanol exposure
13
14 might be exerted through activation of GABA neurons in the raphe nuclei.
15
16

17
18 In quantitative measurement of their monoamines, it was revealed that prenatal
19
20 ethanol administration induced decreases in the content of both 5-HT and 5-HIAA in the
21
22 whole brain. DOI supplementation tended to mitigate the reduction of 5-HT content,
23
24 however this DOI effect was not statistically significant. On the other hand, DOI
25
26 treatment improved 5-HIAA content in the ethanol-exposed fetus. Thus, it was
27
28 suggested that DOI treatment was effective to protect developing 5-HTergic system
29
30 from ethanol toxicity, while it might be not enough.
31
32

33
34 Quantitative real-time PCR revealed the expression status of genes involved
35
36 serotonergic differentiation on ED 19. In rats, the peak of 5-HTergic differentiation
37
38 takes place at EDs 15 to 16, and shows an assembled distribution of 5-HTergic neurons
39
40 with adult by ED 19. Hence, differentiation into 5-HTergic neurons is due to be
41
42 completed by ED 19 in rats. Thus, these gene expressions in rats at ED 19 may show the
43
44 results of the altered differentiation into 5-HTergic neurons. Et-Sal rats displayed
45
46 significantly lower levels of *Phox2b* mRNA expression than both Pf-Sal and Et-DOI.
47
48 *Phox2b* is a molecule that suppresses the premitotic cell differentiation into 5-HTergic
49
50 neurons and expression of *Phox2b* is suppressed in differentiating 5-HTergic neurons
51
52 (Pattyn et al. 2003). Thus, the changes in relative expression of *Phox2b* seen in our
53
54 study might reflect the changes in the number of differentiated 5-HTergic neurons
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 depending on foods and treatments. Also, *Lmx1b*, which was expressed in postmitotic
5
6 5-HTergic neurons (Hendricks et al. 1999, 2003), showed parallel changes with the
7
8 number of 5-HTergic neurons. As expected, relative expression of *Lmx1b* in Et-Sal rats
9
10 was significantly lower than that of Pf-Sal or Et-DOI. Expression of *Fgf8*, which
11
12 reported a reduced expression after prenatal ethanol exposure, was reduced in fetal brain
13
14 by prenatal ethanol exposure. On the other hand, expression of *Shh*, which also reported
15
16 prenatal ethanol-related change, was not affected in the present study. However, a time
17
18 series comparison from earlier stage of development and observation of 5-HTergic cell
19
20 specific changes would be required to understand the correlation of these genes in the
21
22 ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons and its prevention by DOI.
23
24

25
26 In the present study, we suggested that enhancement of signaling via 5-HT_{2A} and/or
27
28 5-HT_{2c} receptors could possibly prevent the reduction of 5-HTergic neurons induced by
29
30 prenatal ethanol exposure. The protective effects of the 5-HT_{2A/2C} agonist on the
31
32 ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons would be exerted through direct and/or
33
34 indirect actions on 5HTergic neurons, such as GABAergic neurons and/or astrocytes. To
35
36 further understand these mechanisms, it is also important to know in detail the roles of
37
38 each 5-HT_{2A} or 5-HT_{2C} receptor in brain development, particularly in development of
39
40 the 5-HTergic system.
41
42
43
44
45

46 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

47
48 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23591595.
49
50

51 DISCLOSURES

52
53
54
55 The authors have declared no conflict of interest.
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4
5
6 Azmitia EC, Gannon PJ, Kheck NM, Whitaker-Azmitia PM. 1996. Cellular localization
7
8 of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor in primate brain neurons and glial cells.
9
10 Neuropsychopharmacology 14:35-46.
- 11
12 Bolaños-Jiménez F, Choi DS, Maroteaux L. 1997. Preferential expression of 5-HT_{1D}
13
14 over 5HT_{1B} receptors during early embryogenesis. Neuroreport 8:3655-3660.
- 15
16
17 Bonnin A, Levitt P. 2011. Fetal, maternal and placental sources of serotonin and new
18
19 implication for developmental programming of the brain. Neuroscience 197:1-7.
- 20
21 Bonnin A, Torii M, Wang L, Takic P, Levitt P. 2007. Serotonin modulates the response
22
23 of embryonic thalamocortical axons to netrin-1. Nature Neurosci 10:588-597.
- 24
25
26 Bou-Flores C, Lajard AM, Monteau R, De Maeyer E, Seif I, Lanoir J, Hilaire G. 2000.
27
28 Abnormal phrenic motoneuron activity and morphology in neonatal monoamine
29
30 oxiase A-deficient transgenic mice: possible role of a serotonin excess. J Neurosci
31
32 20:4646-4656.
- 33
34
35 Bras H, Gaytán SP, Zanella S, Pásaro R, Coulon P, Hilaire G. 2008. Prenatal activation
36
37 of 5-HT_{2A} receptor induces expression of 5-HT_{1B} receptor in phrenic motoneurons
38
39 and alters the organization of their premotor network in newborn mice. Eur J
40
41 Neurosci 28:1097-1107.
- 42
43
44 Druse MJ, Tajuddin NF, Gillespie RA, Le P. 2005. Signaling pathways involved with
45
46 serotonin_{1A} agonist-mediated neuroprotection against ethanol-induced apoptosis of
47
48 fetal rhombencephalic neurons. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 159:18-28.
- 49
50
51 Druse MJ, Tajuddin NF, Gillespie RA, Dickson E, Atieh M, Pietrzak CA, Le PT. 2004.
52
53 The serotonin-1A agonist ipsapirone prevents ethanol-associated death of total
54
55 rhombencephalic neurons and prevents the reduction of fetal serotonin neurons.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 Brain Res Dev Brain Res 150:79-88.
5
6 Foster GA. 1998. Chemical Neuroanatomy of the Prenatal Rat Brain: A Developmental
7
8 Atlas (New York: Oxford University Press).
9
10 Fricker AD, Rios C, Devi LA, Gomes I. 2005. Serotonin receptor activation leads to
11
12 neurite outgrowth and neuronal survival. Mol Brain Res 138:228-235.
13
14 Gaspar P, Cases O, Maroteauz L. 2003. The developmental role of serotonin: news from
15
16 mouse molecular genetics. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:1002-1012.
17
18 Haydon PG, McCobb DP, Kater SB. 1984. Serotonin selectively inhibits growth cone
19
20 motility and synaptogenesis of specific identified neurons. Science 226:561-564.
21
22 Hellendall RP, Schambra UB, Liu JP, Lauder JM. 1993. Prenatal expression of 5-HT_{1C}
23
24 and 5-HT₂ receptors in the rat central nervous system. Exp Neurol 120:186-201.
25
26
27
28 Hendricks T, Francis N, Fyodorov D, Deneris ES. 1999. The ETS domain factor Pet-1 is
29
30 an early and precise marker of central serotonin neurons and interacts with conserved
31
32 element in serotonergic genes. J Neurosci 19:10348-10356.
33
34
35 Hendricks TJ, Fyodorov DV, Wegman LJ et al. 2003. Pet-1 ETS gene plays a critical
36
37 role in 5-HT neuron development and is required for normal anxiety-like and
38
39 aggressive behavior. Neuron 37:233-247.
40
41
42 Héry F, Boulenguez P, Sémont A, Héry M, Becquet D, Faudon M, Deprez P, Fache MP.
43
44 1999. Identification and role of serotonin 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} receptors in primary
45
46 cultures of rat embryonic rostral raphe nucleus neurons. J Neurochem 72:1791-1801.
47
48
49 Hillion J, Catelon J, Raid M, Hamon M, De Vitry F. 1994. Neuronal localization of
50
51 5-HT_{1A} receptor mRNA and protein in rat embryonic brain stem cultures. Brain Res
52
53 Dev Brain Res 79:195-202.
54
55 Hillion J, Milne-Edwards JB, Catelon J, de Vitry F, Gros F, Hamon M. 1993. Prenatal
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 developmental expression of rat brain 5-HT_{1A} receptor gene followed by PCR.
5
6 Biochem Biophys Res Commun 191:991-997.
7
- 8 Hofmann CE, Ellis L, Yu WK, Weinberg J. 2007. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
9
10 responses to 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A/C} agonists are differentially altered in female and
11
12 male rats prenatally exposed to ethanol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 31:345-355.
13
14
- 15 Hoyer D, Hannon JP, Martin GR. 2002. Molecular, pharmacological and functional
16
17 diversity of 5-HT receptors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 71:533-554.
18
19
- 20 Ike J, Canton H, Sanders-Bush E. 1995. Developmental switch in the hippocampal
21
22 serotonin receptor linked to phosphoinositide hydrolysis. Brain Res 678:49-54.
23
24
- 25 Johnson DS, Heinemann SF. 1995. Embryonic expression of the 5-HT₃ receptor subunit,
26
27 5-HT_{3R-A}, in the rat: an in situ hybridization study. Mol Cell Neurosci 6:122-138.
28
29
- 30 Kim JA, Gillespie RA, Druse MJ. 1997. Effects of maternal ethanol consumption and
31
32 buspirone treatment on 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptors in offspring. Alcohol Clin Exp
33
34 Res 21:1169-1178.
- 35 Liu J, Morrow AL, Devaud L, Grayson DR, Lauder JM. 1997. GABA_A receptors
36
37 mediate trophic effects of GABA on embryonic brainstem monoamine neurons in
38
39 vitro. J Neurosci 17:2420-2428.
40
41
- 42 Liu R, Jolas T, Aghajanian G. 2000. Serotonin 5-HT₂ receptors activate local GABA
43
44 inhibitory input to serotonergic neurons of the dorsal raphe nucleus. Brain Res
45
46 873:34-45.
47
- 48 Lotto B, Upton L, Price DJ, Gaspar P. 1999. Serotonin receptor activation enhances
49
50 neurite outgrowth of thalamic neurons in rodents. Neurosci Lett 269:87-90.
51
52
- 53 Migliarini S, Pacini G, Pelosi B, Lunardi G, Pasqualetti M. 2013. Lack of brain
54
55 serotonin affects postnatal development and serotonergic neuronal circuitry
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 formation. *Mol Psychiatry* 18:1106-1118.
- 5
6 Nelson DL, Lucaites VL, Wainscott DB, Glennon RA. 1999. Comparisons of
7
8 hallucinogenic phenylisopropylamine binding affinities at cloned human 5-HT_{2A},
9
10 5-HT_{2B} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg Arch Pharmacol* 359:1-6.
- 11
12 Ohta K, Sakata-Haga H, Fukui Y. 2010. Alteration in anxiety-related behaviors and
13
14 reduction of serotonergic neurons in raphe nuclei in adult rats prenatally exposed to
15
16 ethanol. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 50:105-110.
- 17
18
19 Oliver B. 2015. Serotonin: a never-ending story. *Eur J Pharmacol* 753:2-18.
- 20
21
22 Pattyn A, Vallstedt A, Dias JM, Samad OA, Krumlauf R, Rijli FM, Brunet JF, Ericson J.
23
24 2003. Coordinated temporal and spatial control of motor neuron and serotonergic
25
26 neuron generation from a common pool of CNS progenitors. *Genes Dev* 17:729-737.
- 27
28
29 Persico AM, Di Pino G, Levitt P. 2006. Multiple receptors mediate the trophic effects of
30
31 serotonin on ventroposterior thalamic neurons in vitro. *Brain Res* 1095: 17-25.
- 32
33
34 Riley EP, Infante MA, Warren KR. 2011. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders: an overview.
35
36 *Neuropsychol Rev* 21:73-80.
- 37
38
39 Rosett HL. 1980. A clinical perspective of the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome. *Alcohol Clin*
40
41 *Exp Res* 4:119-122.
- 42
43
44 Sakata-Haga H, Sawada K, Hisano S, Fukui Y. 2002. Administration schedule for an
45
46 ethanol-containing diet in pregnancy affects types of offspring brain malformations.
47
48 *Acta Neuropathol* 104:305-312.
- 49
50
51 Sari Y, Zhou FC. 2004. Prenatal alcohol exposure causes long-term serotonin neuron
52
53 deficit in mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 28:941-948.
- 54
55
56 Serrats J, Mengod G, Cortés R. 2005. Expression of serotonin 5-HT_{2C} receptors in
57
58 GABAergic cells of the anterior raphe nuclei. *J Chem Neuroanat* 29:83-91.
- 59
60

- 1
2
3
4 Sokol RJ, Delaney-Black V, Nordstrom B. 2003. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder.
5
6 JAMA 290:2996-2999.
7
8
9 Tajuddin NF, Druse MJ. 1999. In utero ethanol exposure decreased the density of
10
11 serotonin neurons. Maternal ipsapirone treatment exerted a protective effect. Brain
12
13 Res Dev Brain Res 117:91-97.
14
15 Tajuddin NF, Druse MJ. 2001. A persistent deficit of serotonin neurons in the offspring
16
17 of ethanol-fed dams: protective effects of maternal ipsapirone treatment. Brain Res
18
19 Dev Brain Res 129:181-188.
20
21
22 Tajuddin NF, Orrico LA, Eriksen JL, Druse MJ. 2003. Effects of ethanol and ipsapirone
23
24 on the development of midline raphe glial cells and astrocytes. Alcohol 29:157-164.
25
26 Wang QP, Ochiai H, Nakai Y. 1992. GABAergic innervation of serotonergic neurons in
27
28 the dorsal raphe nucleus of the rat studied by electron microscopy double
29
30 immunostaining. Brain Res Bull 29:943-948.
31
32
33 Whitaker-Azmitia PM, Druse M, Walker P, lauder JM. 1996. Serotonin as a
34
35 developmental signal. Behav Brain Res 73:19-29.
36
37
38 Whitaker-Azmitia PM, Murphy R, Azmitia EC. 1990. Stimulation of astroglial 5-HT_{1A}
39
40 receptors releases the serotonergic growth factor, protein S-100, and alters astroglial
41
42 morphology. Brain Res 528:155-158.
43
44
45 Wright DE, Seroogy KB, Lundgren KH, Davis BM, Jennes L. 1995. Comparative
46
47 localization of serotonin 1A, 1C, and 2 receptor subtype mRNAs in rat brain. J Comp
48
49 Neurol 351:357-373.
50
51
52 Wu C, Dias P, Kumar S, Lauder JM, Singh S. 1999. Differential expression of serotonin
53
54 5-HT₂ receptors during rat embryogenesis. Dev Neurosci 21:22-28.
55
56
57 Zhang L, Hammond DL. 2009. Substance P enhances excitatory synaptic transmission
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 on spinally projecting neurons in the rostral ventromedial medulla after inflammatory
5
6 Zhang L, Sykes KT, Buhler AV, Hammond DL. 2006. Electrophysiological
7
8 heterogeneity of spinally projecting serotonergic and nonserotonergic neurons in the
9
10 rostral ventromedial medulla. *J Neurophysiol* 95:1853-1863.
11
12 Zhou FC, Fang Y, Goodlett C. 2008. Peptidergic agonists of activity-dependent
13
14 neurotrophic factor protect against prenatal alcohol-induced neural tube defects and
15
16 serotonin neuron loss. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 32:1361-1371.
17
18 Zhou FC, Sari Y, Li TK, Goodlett C, Azmitia EC. 2002. Deviations in brain early
19
20 serotonergic development as a result of fetal alcohol exposure. *Neurotox Res*
21
22 4:337-342.
23
24 Zhou FC, Sari Y, Zhang JK, Goodlett CR, Li T. 2001. Prenatal alcohol exposure retards
25
26 the migration and development of serotonin neurons in fetal C57BL mice. *Brain Res*
27
28 Dev Brain Res 126:147-155.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 **Fig. 1** A: Sketch of a frontal plane containing the dorsal raphe (DR) and the median
5 raphe (MR). B: Distribution of TPH-ir cells in the midbrain raphe nuclei on a
6 frontal section of rat fetus on GD 20 (A scale bar = 400 μm). The DR and MR
7 of rat fetus on prenatal day 20 were identified by reference to an atlas for
8 prenatal rat brain (Foster 1998). C and D: Comparison of TPH-ir cell
9 distribution in the DR and MR among experimental groups, respectively (Scale
10 bars = 100 μm). In both the DR and MR, Et-DOI fetuses showed a pronounced
11 reduction in TPH-ir cells compared to all other groups. Aq, aqueduct; mlf,
12 medial longitudinal fasciculus; xscp, decussation of the superior cerebellar
13 peduncle.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Fig. 2** Comparison of TPH-ir cell numbers in DR and MR among experimental groups.
29 Values are represented as mean + SD of percentage of relative expression in
30 Pf-Sal. ** $p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Food. $\blacktriangle p < 0.05$ or
31 $\blacktriangle\blacktriangle p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment.
32
33
34
35
36

37 The number of TPH-ir cells in both the DR and MR showed a significant
38 Food and Treatment interaction [$F_{(1, 27)} = 5.54, p < 0.05$ and $F_{(1, 27)} = 5.31, p <$
39 0.05 , respectively]. A simple main effect of Food in the saline-treated group
40 (Pf-sal and Et-sal) was significant in both DR and MR [$F_{(1, 27)} = 19.83, p < 0.01$
41 and $F_{(1, 27)} = 27.01, p < 0.01$, respectively]. Also, a simple main effects of
42 Treatment was significant in the ethanol-exposed group (Et-Sal and Et-DOI) in
43 both DR and MR [$F_{(1, 27)} = 6.17, p < 0.05$ and $F_{(1, 27)} = 10.17, p < 0.01$,
44 respectively]. Thus, it was suggested that DOI treatment could protect
45 ethanol-induced reduction of 5-HTergic neurons in both DR and MR.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 **Fig. 3** Gene expression of *Shh*, *Fgf8*, transcription factors involved in serotonergic
7
8 neuron development (*Phox2b*, *Nkx2.2*, *Gata2*, *Lmx1b* and *Pet1*) in whole fetal
9
10 brains. Values are represented as mean + SD of percentage of relative
11
12 expression in Pf-Sal. • $p < 0.05$ or •• $p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect
13
14 of Treatment. ▲ $p < 0.05$ or ▲▲ $p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of
15
16 Treatment. * $p < 0.05$ compared to Pf-Sal. # $p < 0.05$ compared to Et-Sal.

17
18
19 For expression of *Phox2b* and *Lmx1b*, a significant interaction between
20
21 Food and Treatment was detected [$F_{(1, 46)} = 16.95, p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1, 46)} = 6.53, p$
22
23 < 0.05 , respectively]. For *Phox2b* expression, a simple main effect of Food in
24
25 both saline treated groups (Pf-sal and Et-sal) and DOI treated groups (Pf-DOI
26
27 and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 11.40, p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1, 46)} = 5.90, p <$
28
29 0.05 , respectively] , and a simple main effects of Treatment was significant in
30
31 ethanol-exposed groups (Et-Sal and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 17.29, p$
32
33 < 0.01]. For *Lmx1b* expression, a simple main effect of Food in saline treated
34
35 groups (Pf-sal and Et-sal) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 7.01, p < 0.05$] , and a
36
37 simple main effects of Treatment was significant in ethanol-exposed groups
38
39 (Et-Sal and Et-DOI) was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 5.22, p < 0.05$]. For expression
40
41 of *Fgf8*, the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1, 46)} = 6.48, p < 0.05$],
42
43 whereas that of Treatment was not, and interaction of Food and Treatment was
44
45 also not significant. Post-hoc analysis showed no significant differences
46
47 between any two groups. On the other hand, expression of *Nkx2.2* was
48
49 significantly affected by Treatment [$F_{(1, 46)} = 9.98, p < 0.01$], but not by Food,
50
51 and interaction of Food and Treatment was also not significant. Post-hoc
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 analysis detected significant differences between Et-DOI and Pf-Sal or Et-Sal
5
6 ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively). For expressions of *Shh*, *Gata2*, and *Pet1*,
7
8 the main effects of each Food and Treatment were not significant, and
9
10 interactions of Food and Treatment were also not significant.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 1 List of primer pairs used in quantitative real-time PCR

Genes		Sequence 5'→3'	Amplicon size (bp)	Annealing temperature (°C)
<i>Gapdh</i>	Forward	AGACAGCCGCATCTTCTTGT	142	58
	Reverse	TGATGGCAACAATGTCCACT		
<i>Shh</i>	Forward	AGGCTGGATTCGACTGGGTCTA	142	64
	Reverse	AACTTGGTGCCACCCTGCTC		
<i>Fgf8</i>	Forward	CATCAACGCCATGGCAGAA	187	64
	Reverse	CAGCACGATCTCCGTGAACA		
<i>Phox2b</i>	Forward	TACGCCGCAGTTCCATACAAACTC	104	56
	Reverse	TCTTTGAGCTGCGCGCTTGTGAAG		
<i>Nkx2.2</i>	Forward	CATGTCGCTGACCAACACAAAG	210	56
	Reverse	TCGCTGCTGTCGTAGAAAGGA		
<i>Gata2</i>	Forward	GTGGAACGTACTCTTGGCTCCTG	196	64
	Reverse	TCCAAACAAACTGTCCGTGAA		
<i>Lmx1b</i>	Forward	TCAGTGTGCGTGTGGTCCAG	93	64
	Reverse	TCTGCTGCTCTTGCTGTTGC		
<i>Pet1</i>	Forward	CCCTGCTGATCAACATGTACCTACC	143	60
	Reverse	CAGCTCCAGTAGAAACTGCCACAA		

Table 2 Body weight, brain weight, and brain to body weight ratio of fetuses

	Pf-Sal (n = 16)	Pf-DOI (n = 11)	Et-Sal (n = 11)	Et-DOI (n = 8)
Body weight (g)	3.987 ± 0.413	3.671 ± 0.166	3.440 ± 0.222**	3.409 ± 0.196**
Brain weight (g)	0.181 ± 0.007***▲	0.175 ± 0.008▲	0.170 ± 0.004**	0.172 ± 0.007
Brain/Body weight ratio (%)	4.59 ± 0.39	4.76 ± 0.12	4.96 ± 0.27*	5.06 ± 0.29*

Values are reported as mean ± SD. * $p < 0.05$ or ** $p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-Sal. *** $p < 0.01$ for a significant simple main effect of Food between the saline-treated groups. ▲ $p < 0.05$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment between the pair-fed controls. In the body weight of pups, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food [$F_{(1, 42)} = 20.72, p < 0.01$], but not of Treatment, was detected. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.01$), respectively. In the brain weight of pups, a significant Food and Treatment interaction was detected. A simple main effect of Food in the saline-treated group (Pf-Sal and Et-Sal) [$F_{(1, 42)} = 19.12, p < 0.01$] and that of Treatment in the pair-fed control (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI) [$F_{(1, 42)} = 7.06, p < 0.05$], was significant. In the brain/body weight ratio, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and a significant main effect of Food [$F_{(1, 42)} = 14.04, p < 0.01$], but not of Treatment, was detected. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.05$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively.


Table 3 Monoamine levels and their turnover ratios in whole brain of rat fetuses

		Pf-Sal (n = 16)	Pf-DOI (n = 11)	Et-Sal (n = 11)	Et-DOI (n = 8)
Contents of monoamine (ng/g wet tissue)	5-HT	193.4 ± 17.9	194.5 ± 20.2	152.0 ± 22.1 ^{**,#}	168.5 ± 23.2 ^{*,#}
	5-HIAA	313.7 ± 62.3	339.5 ± 86.3	252.7 ± 43.7 [*]	290.1 ± 75.5
	DA	139.0 ± 23.9	154.1 ± 17.8	134.3 ± 22.4	131.5 ± 18.0
	DOPAC	23.4 ± 13.8 [▲]	37.6 ± 15.2 [▲]	30.8 ± 17.9	23.4 ± 13.8
Turnover ratio	5-HIAA/5-HT	1.61 ± 0.19	1.73 ± 0.33	1.67 ± 0.19	1.70 ± 0.26
	DOPAC/DA	0.16 ± 0.07 [▲]	0.24 ± 0.08 [▲]	0.22 ± 0.10	0.17 ± 0.08

Values are reported as mean ± SD. * $p < 0.05$ or ** $p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-Sal. # $p < 0.05$ or ## $p < 0.01$ compared to Pf-DOI. ▲ $p < 0.05$ for a significant simple main effect of Treatment in the pair-fed group (Pf-Sal and Pf-DOI). For the contents of 5-HT or 5-HIAA in the whole fetal brains, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1,42)} = 29.43, p < 0.01$ and $F_{(1,42)} = 7.24, p < 0.05$, respectively], whereas the main effect of Treatment was not significant. Post-hoc analysis revealed there were significant differences of 5-HT content between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively, and between Pf-DOI and Et-Sal ($p < 0.01$) or Et-DOI ($p < 0.05$), respectively. Meanwhile, a significant difference of 5-HIAA content was detected only between Pf-Sal and Et-Sal ($p < 0.05$). For the turn over ratio of 5-HT, the main effects of Food or Treatment were not significant, and no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment. For the contents of DA, no interaction was detected between Food and Treatment, and the main effect of Food was significant [$F_{(1,42)} = 4.46, p < 0.05$], whereas the main effect of Treatment was not significant. However, there were no significant differences between any two groups. On the other hand, significant interactions between Food and Treatment were shown in the content of DOPAC and the turnover ratio of DA [$F_{(1,42)} = 5.49, p < 0.05$, or $F_{(1,42)} = 6.83, p < 0.05$, respectively]. A simple main effect of Treatment was significant in the pair-fed group [$F_{(1,42)} = 5.72, p < 0.05$, or $F_{(1,42)} = 6.50, p < 0.05$, respectively].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


Fig. 1.



209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


Fig. 2



209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig. 3



209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)