Pole Exercise Causes Body Changes in Physical Flexibility and Exercise Function
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Abstract

Background: Theoretical mode of spinal stability and core stability has been introduced previously, which has proceeded to rehabilitative approaches. We have focused on physical flexibility and exercise function, and firstly devised an original pole exercise in sitting position that can be done simply and cost-effectively.

Subjects and Methods: The subjects 11 healthy young adults, 27.0 ± 5.6 years old. Methods included the intervention of pole exercise with Exercise of the thorax, lower thoracic vertebrae and pelvis, upper thoracic vertebrae and pelvis. Before and after the exercise, we investigated examinations. Tests of physical flexibility were Wing Test, Thomas Test, Shoulder Extension Test, straight leg raise (SLR), Body warp prone position, Heel Buttock Distance (HBD) and Finger Floor Distance (FFD). Tests of exercise functions were Back Muscle Strength (BMS), Weight Bearing Index (WBI), Functional Reach Test (FRT) and closed eye leg standing time.

Results: The data of 7 flexibility tests and 4 exercise function tests revealed the significant differences between before and after the pole exercise (p<0.05). These tests would cover detail aspects of several human abilities in physiotherapy.

Discussion and Conclusion: In current study, pole exercise seems to be clinically simple and easy method to perform. These results suggested that pole exercise including 6 movements would have clinical efficacy as to physical flexibility and exercise function in short period. Currently obtained data could become fundamental data for clinical application and research development in the future.
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Abbreviation:

WBE: Weight bearing exercise; SLR: Straight leg raise; HBD: Heel Buttock Distance; FFD: Finger Floor Distance; BMS: Back Muscle Strength; WBI: Weight Bearing Index; FRT: Functional Reach Test

Introduction

Historically speaking, a new theoretical model has given new inspiration in each era. This situation has been found in also the field of musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

Initially, the discussion had been continued how the central nervous system (CNS) acts on anticipated movement against the stability of the spine and keeps the balance of the body [1]. These findings were reinforced by demonstrating that the stability mechanism will increase its activity proportionally to increases in functional challenge [2]. Subsequently, in order to clarify the stabilization phenomena observed in the body before exercise, EMG has been used [3]. Furthermore, a concept evolution was proposed in which local stability muscles could be separated from the global muscles, and that the different distributions of the outer forces on the body will be managed and explained [4].

In the 1990’s, the theory was described about spinal stabilization. Among them, the theoretical model of Panjabi has been widely accepted, and it has become the basis of this area [5].

In other words, Panjabi advocated a revolutionary research at that time [5]. Among them, he summarized the new concept of a theoretical model for spinal stability including three axes of nervous system, active system and passive system. This idea has rapidly developed ideas and treatment models, especially effective for management of low back pain (LBP) [6].
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Subjects and Methods

The subjects were enrolled 11 healthy adults and the average age was 27.0 ± 5.6 years, 7 males and 4 females. Regardless of those backgrounds of the subjects, they were medical staffs in the hospital that cooperated our research as volunteers. The method includes two projects (Table 1). One is the combination of examination concerning physiotherapy, investigated before and after the intervention performance. The other is a pole exercise performed as an intervention study, which has 6 movements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical flexibility tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing Test</td>
<td>16.1 ± 8.9</td>
<td>13.3 ± 8.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Test</td>
<td>4.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Extension Test</td>
<td>63.5 ± 13.9</td>
<td>75.3 ± 13.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight Leg Raise</td>
<td>50.0 ± 12.9</td>
<td>58.3 ± 12.0</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body warping in prone position</td>
<td>39.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>43.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel Buttock Distance</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger Floor Distance</td>
<td>-4.9 ± 9.6</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise function tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Muscle Strength</td>
<td>111.9 ± 30.0</td>
<td>117.4 ± 29.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Bearing Index</td>
<td>110.0 ± 16.5</td>
<td>127.0 ± 23.3</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Reach Test</td>
<td>37.3 ± 8.7</td>
<td>42.6 ± 7.6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>closed eye one foot standing</td>
<td>14.6 ± 10.0</td>
<td>20.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The changes of data before and after the pole exercise.

General evaluation

We have selected and investigated 11 examinations in order to evaluate the efficacy of pole exercise. The purpose for the examinations has classified into 2 groups.

Former group is for the evaluation for physical flexibility. It has 7 tests such as Wing Test, Thomas Test, Shoulder Extension Test, straight leg raise (SLR), Body warp prone position, Heel Buttock Distance (HBD) and Finger Floor Distance (FFD).

Latter group is for the evaluation for exercise functions tests. It has 4 tests such as Back Muscle Strength (BMS), Weight Bearing Index (WBI), Functional Reach Test (FRT) and closed eye leg standing time.

We measured these tests in the rehabilitation room in the hospital. As for these 11 tests, it took about 15 minutes before and after the pole exercise as an intervention performance.

Specific tests

Specific tests enrolled were 11 tests for physical flexibility and exercise function tests.

1) Wing test: The subject is in a supine position, raises the upper limits to the utmost position and bend the hip joint and knee joint on one side 90 degrees. After the examiner fixes both shoulder blades, the...
subject tilts the raised lower limb toward the opposite side. Measure the distance between the inside of the knee joint and the floor by stopping at the final position where the trunk is rotated, the hip joint is inward rolled.

2) **Thomas test:** The subject is in a supine position, bends the unilateral hip joint, and holds the knee until it reaches the chest. The examiner measures the distance between the popliteal faces on the opposite side and the floor while the knee on one side of the subject is held about the chest.

3) **Shoulder extension Test:** The subject sits on a sitting position and tilts the trunk forward until the forehead contacts the floor surface. From that position, grasp both hands at the back and extend both shoulders to the maximum while extending the elbow. The examiner measures the extension angle of the shoulder against the horizontal line in the subject.

4) **Straight leg raise (SLR) test:** The subject is in a supine posture. While the examiner grips the subject's unilateral lower limb, subject bends hip joint, with maintaining the knee extension position. The examiner measures the bending angle of the hip joint at the Stretch tightness point in the hamstring of the subject.

5) **Body warp prone position:** The subject is in a prone position and warps the body to its fullest extent, with both hands attached to the floor. The examiner measures the distance from the floor to the jaw nearest to the floor as soon as the subject warps the body to the utmost.

6) **Heel buttock distance (HBD):** The subject is in a prone position. The examiner makes the bending to the maximum extent on one side of the subject's knee. At that point, the examiner measures the distance between the heel and buttocks.

7) **Finger floor distance (FFD):** The subject stands with his feet about 15 cm apart on the table. The subject let the trunk bend forward as much as possible, with keeping the knees stretched. The examiner measures the distance between the fingertip and the floor of the subject.

8) **Back muscle strength (BMS):** The subject stands with his feet about 15 cm apart on the table of the back muscle force meter. He grasps the handle of the muscle strength gauge by both hands with the knees stretched. Then tilt your upper body 30° forward with your back stretched out. Pull the handle grasped by both hands while raising the upper body. The examiner performs measurements for 5 seconds, and obtains the single measurement value.

9) **Weight bearing index (WBI):** WBI measures isometric knee extension muscle force, and μTasF-1 manufactured by Anima Inc. was used. For the subjects, the sensor pads were fixed to the anterior surface of the lower leg and directly above the ankle joint, and the belt was attached to the struts of the posterior crus of the lower leg and the distal part of the lower leg. Subject combines the upper limbs at the front of the trunk, and the examiner instructs to extend the knee for about 3 seconds with maximum force. For measurement, we adopt measurement value of both feet at a time. Then, we calculated the isometric knee extension muscle strength body weight ratio divided by body weight.

10) **Functional reach test (FRT):** The subject stands comfortably with both feet separated by about 15 cm, grasps the hand lightly, and raises both arms straight upward at 90°. Next, he moves the upper limb as far as possible to the forward without bending both knees. The examiner marks the tip of the first finger that lifted the upper limb upward by 90° and from there it measures the distance to the point reached forward.

11) **Closed eye leg standing time:** The subject stands with both feet aligned, and the hand crosses in front of the chest. He closes his eyes and lifts one foot upward. The examiner starts measuring from the state where the foot of the subject rises in the air and is stationary. Maximum measurement time is 1 minute, measure only once on both sides.

**Device of pole**

The device of pole was originated by Moriyasu, which has 160 cm in length and 3.6 cm in diameter, 650 g in weight, made of wood.

We have originated another type of pole which was made of metal for different purpose. This is a new type of pole for exercise, which was divided into 4 pieces. It can be combined for the different length of the pole, which are 160 cm, 140 cm, 100 cm and 80 cm in length. The weight of 160 cm pole is 610 g, which is close to the wooden pole.

**Outline of pole exercise**

This pole exercise has been originated and performed by the authors. It has been used in practice already for opportunities such as treatment and education seminars. Six patterns of movements are included in pole exercise. For these movements, the purpose and expected effects include improving the flexibility of the thorax, adjusting the breathing unit, improving the flexibility of the spine-pelvis, improving spinal curvature function, and so on.

Furthermore, important points in practice include performing stretching without force, within the no pain range, conscious of each breathing, not separating a stick away from each body and thinking about the image of general movements of the exercise.

In these movements, subjects always keep 5 seconds each position in right and left side, and repeat 3 times in each movement. Then, the examinations of 6 movements overall took about 6 minutes.

**Detail of pole exercise**

**Lateral bending** (Figure 1a): The purpose of this movement is to reduce the pain in the shoulder and side flanks, to widen the range of motion of the shoulder joint and thorax, to facilitate breathing and relax the tension around the shoulder blades.

As for the method, while the subject is sitting, it keeps a good posture by stretching a little chest. Next, carry the pole with both shoulders, and remove the power of the shoulders, back and hips. Keep your posture facing the front, and keep your body straight (right) as it is. At that time, on the side of the opposite chest you knocked down (left chest), bend until the point where you feel tension, and hold your posture at this point. That time, breathe in and out while conscious of the part stretching at the side of the chest (left chest). We will repeat this movement three times in round trip.

There are several points or tips which lead to successful exercise. First of all, the subject feels conscious that the side of the chest on the opposite side spreads out. As soon as you get used to it, keep your consciousness aside and push your body sideways, with the intention of making the pole perpendicular to the ground. In this case, never to defeat by putting strength on the flank. On the contrary, always to pull out the force of the side with lowering your shoulders.
Figure 1a: Exercise of the thorax. Movement of lateral bending of the trunk. Carry the pole with both shoulders. Do not rotate at bending laterally. Continue respiration freely. Put consciousness on lateral thorax.

Chest axis rotation (Figure 1b): The purpose of this exercise is to relieve the pain in the shoulder and waist and widen the range of motion of the neck, shoulder, thorax, and spine, to relax the tension in the back and front chest.

Figure 1b: Exercise of the thorax. Movement of rotation of the axis. Carry the pole with both shoulders. Rotate the chest with no force. Put consciousness on the central axis.

As for the method, the subject is sitting, with the straight posture kept in mind. Next, open both feet to the same level as the shoulder width, and carry the pole with both shoulders. Keeping the face facing the front, the subject removes the power of the shoulder and waist, and rotate the body to the left and right. When the right chest rotates forward and the left chest rotates backwards, stop the movement where you feel the tension on the left front chest. At the point, concentrate consciousness on the left chest on stretch, breathe in and out. We will repeat this movement three times in round trip.

There are important points and tips for this movement. When the subject rotates the left chest backwards, try to feel stretching out at left front chest. As soon as you get used to it, attempt to make the navel for the direction of not to the left, but to the forward. When the subject rotates the pole greatly, he feels that the left front chest is greatly stretched. If he can rotate more than 90 degrees from the first posture, it will be even better. Be careful not to turn too far at the waist position when you rotate the body greatly. It is important to turn from the position of chest or breastbone, keeping the face always facing the front. Continue this movement while aiming for a comfortable degree and range, to the extent with feeling a slight pain.

3. Lumbar lordosis and pelvic anteversion (Figure 2a): The purpose of this movement is to promote the physiological lordosis of the lumbar spine and pelvis, reduce the pain in the waist and hip joints, widen the range of motion of the lumbar spine and hip joints, increase the work of the major lumbar muscles and reduce the tightness around the waist.

Figure 2a: Exercise of lower vertebrae and pelvis. Movement of Lumbar lordosis and pelvic anteversion. Carry the pole at the level of Th 10-12. Be conscious for putting the navel forward. Make the pelvis anteversion.

As for the method, the subject is sitting, with the a little stretch on your chest and a good posture. Bear the pole on the back at the level of epigastrium, and pull out the power of the shoulder and waist. Simultaneously, keep the face for the direction of facing forward, and warps the pelvis and lumbar region. After attempting a posture that warps as much as possible, slowly return to the original position. Perform breathing and rhythm together, repeat 3 round trips.

The important point is to warp the waist and pelvis, with intention to protrude the navel forward. In this case, care should be taken not to strain the muscles of the waist. Breathe when you warp the body, exhale when you return to the original. In this way, if you match the rhythm of exercise and breathing, you can perform this movement smoothly. When you get used to this exercise, try to recover from the warped posture as much as possible, quickly release the tightness of the waist, pull out the force and return it to its original position.

Movement for kyphosis of thoracic vertebra (Figure 2b): The purpose of this movement is to promote the physiological kyphosis of the thoracic vertebrae, to reduce the pain in the scapulae / lumbar region, to widen the range of motion of the thoracic vertebrae / thorax, to facilitate breathing and to reduce the tension around the shoulder blades.

As for the method, the subject is sitting down with a good posture. The subject carries the pole on the back at the level of epigastrium, and lessens the tightness of the shoulder and waist. After that, he rounds the back of the chest, while face keeps facing forward. Try to make round back as much as possible and slowly return to the original position. Repeat this movement 3 round trips, with the accordance rhythm of respiration.
Figure 2b: Exercise of lower vertebrae and pelvis. Movement of thoracic vertebra for kyphosis. Be conscious for respiration freely. Start bending from upper neck. Make upper thoracic vertebra round.

The important point is to gradually roll from the neck and roll the back of the chest. At this time, if the abdominal muscle is tense, the range to be rounded becomes narrower, so the subject will reduce the tension on the abdominal muscles and round the body. The subject exhales when he rounds the back, and inhales when he returns to the original position. In this way, if you match both rhythm, the movement will proceed smoothly. When he is accustomed to this movement, try to be conscious as if each spine becomes to be round.

Spine pelvic axis rotation (Figure 3a): The purpose of this exercise is to reduce pain in the neck / shoulder / lumbar region, widen the range of motion of the neck / shoulder band / thorax / spine and reduce the tension in the back and front chest.

As for the method, the subject is sitting down with a good posture. Subject will open their legs to the shoulder width and carry the pole with the back at the height of the nipple. Subject reduces the tightness of the shoulders and hips, and rotate the body to the left and right, keeping the face facing forward.

When the right chest and abdomen were stretched, breathe in and out. Repeat this movement 3 round trips, with the accordance rhythm of respiration.

The important point is to be conscious so that the abdomen is stretched out greatly from the left chest rotated behind. As the subject gets used to it, gradually increase the operation area and increase the degree of the stretch for the chest and abdomen. When turning, try to turn all the spinal column with conscious of existing of the central axis for the body. Continue the movement for adequate range with feeling comfortable while a little bit tension.

Warp & rounding (Figure 3b): The purpose of this movement is to promote the physiological curvature of the spinal column, to reduce the pain and tightness in the region of neck / chest / abdomen / lower back, and widen the range of motion of the thorax / spine. It reduces the hardness of the abdomen and increases the motive connection between upper body and lower body.

As for the method, the subject is sitting down with a good posture. Open the legs to the shoulder width, carry the pole on the back at the level of nipple, and remove the tightness of the shoulders and waist. With the face facing the front, the subject pivot at the back of the chest with a pole to warp or round the body. He breathes heavily and move back and forth 3 times.

The important point is to eliminate the tension of the whole body, keep your breath away, and move the spine a lot. When the subject gets used to it, be conscious of each spine and enlarge the range and move it. If you he has difficulty to carry it with the height of the papilla, it is safe as the height behind the waist.

Figure 3b: Movement of thoracic vertebra in lordosis/kyphosis. Be conscious for respiration freely. Start moving from upper neck. Move conscious for downward. Feel moving in the thoracic vertebrae.

Statistical Analyses

In current study, obtained data was shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). For statistical analyses, correlation coefficients were calculated using Pearson or Spearman test of the Microsoft Excel analytical tool, which is Four steps Excel Statistics 4th edition [25]. Intergroup comparisons were made using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. A significance level of less than 5% obtained using a two-tailed test was considered to be statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

Current study was conducted in compliance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Japan’s Act on the Protection of Personal Information along with the Ministerial
Ordinance on Good Clinical Practice (GCP) for Drug (Ordinance of Ministry of Health and Welfare No. 28 of March 27, 1997). No ethical committee meeting was held. Detail explanation was provided to the subjects, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Discussion

There have been lots of discussion concerning the stability of the spine. Three subsystem would be necessary during various postures and movements, which are a passive, an active and a neural control subsystem [5]. Dysfunctional neuromuscular control strategies would result in clinical instability, which means the loss of the ability of the spine to maintain its pattern of displacement [12,26].

Previously, spinal stability has been classified into two along the muscular system of the lumbosacral area involved [4]. One is a local system of muscles which has an origin or insertion directly on the vertebrae. Another is a global system of muscles which transfer the load directly to the thoracic cage and pelvic girdle.

The former has included deep muscles such as the multifidus, transversus abdominis, diaphragm, and pelvic floor muscles. The latter has include generally large superficial muscles such as the erector spinae, rectus abdominis, internal and external obliques, quadratus lumborum, gluteus maximus, and latissimus dorsi [4,13,27,28].

Human muscles and fascia have inner and outer units regarding spinal stability [29,30]. Both play an important role as an active system and have mutual interlocking functions. Clinically, weakening of the inner unit often leads to spasm of the back muscle of the outer unit. According to the previous report [31], when the fixation of the inner unit is insufficient, the outer unit is used as a fixed muscle. In other words, if the active system is impaired, it is expected that the muscles of the outer unit will become excessively strained and affect the joint function, which is a passive system. Especially in the flexibility of the dorsolateral system, muscle tension has been clearly found, reflecting the function of the joint. Flexibility for outer units can be evaluated by combining several tests [30].

The core stability has been in focus concerning the stability of the spine for years. In order to maintain a static posture and move dynamically, a person must stabilize the lumbar spine and pelvic girdle. The core muscle could be responsible for the stabilization.

For the training these muscles, "stabilization exercise program" including theory and practice has been developed. When we observe the rehabilitation approach widespread in recent years, it seems to be learning a functional movement pattern rather than training a specific muscle. This trend suggests the future direction of training [27,32,33]. As for this situation, pole exercise including our six movements seems to show similar efficacy as these programs to some extent [27,32-35].

In current study, we applied pole exercise and investigated the detail of the efficacy. Pole exercises include exercise therapy, physical therapy, manual therapy, gymnastics therapy, and so on. Pole exercise seems to be with low cost practically, and be one of the simple and effective methods to maintain and improve physical function in a short time.

Compared the data before and after the pole exercise, the average value improved in all the exams representing physical flexibility and motor function. These results were compatible for those of previous reports [36,37]. Consequently, current results and characteristics of tests could be summarized to Figure 4. It suggests that the spinal curvature function would be improved, leading to improved flexibility and motor function.

Initially, the motion of the thorax is dominated by the myelinated neural tube branch, which is a mixed nerve including an exercise branch / sensory branch. Sympathetic nerve fibers are mixed in the anterior branch of the spinal nerve. From the above, it is predicted that sympathetic nervous activity will be abnormal due to a decrease in thoracic mobility. Conversely, it seems that there is a possibility that the symptomatic activity abnormality is improved by improvement of thoracic movement range and thoracic mobility.

![Figure 4: Relationship between flexibility tests and vertebrae function.](image)

For spinal flexibility and physical function, three physiological curves in the spinal column are greatly affecting. These are the cervical lordosis, thoracic kyphosis, and lumbar lordosis with a sinusoidal curve. This curvature has the function of absorbing and buffering its reaction when they receive the returning power from another objects.
The drag power of the spinal column increases in proportion to the number of curves, and the drag (R) has the formula of the square of the number of bends (N) +1. In humans, since the number of curves is 3, \( R = 3^2 + 1 = 10 \) [38]. In other words, when the number of curves changes from 1 to 3, the drag becomes 1 to 10. According to these curves, drag force of our spine is 10 times of that of the vertically aligned straight spine. When curves change from 3 to 2 due to impaired spinal column, the drag decreases from 10 to 5.

In the light of evolution, the number of curvatures of the spinal column was 0 in reptiles, 2 in the monkey with no cervical lordosis, and 3 in humans. From these data, improvements in spinal function can be said to be critical for movement and life in humans [38]. Therefore, our pole movement exercises would be effective for the spinal column, enhancing flexibility and physical function, expecting various functions to recover [23].

We have studied about the pole movement including six movements. The examination includes seven flexibility tests and four physical function tests. The result showed improved function of physical flexibility and function, suggesting the usefulness and efficacy of pole movement in short time.

In this study, pole exercise improved flexibility and physical function. Some factors concerning this result would be that the balance of inner and outer unit is improved, the muscle tone of the outer unit is reduced, and the spinal stabilization system is improved. Moreover, outer unit function would influence the facet joint and the vertebral body movement through adhesion to the spinal column.

Among the 11 tests of flexibility and physical function, the most representative one is the Wing test [38,39]. It is an examination that rotates the trunk, and the muscles attached to the spinal column are stretched. In particular, the stretched broad dorsi muscles and gluteus maximus muscles would influence the facet joint and vertebral body movement via attachment to the spinal column. It seems that these are deeply involved in the relationship between the spinal function and the outer unit.

The Wing test is an examination accompanying the rotation of the trunk, and the convoluted elements of the spinal column are deeply involved. In the thoracic vertebra, lumbar vertebra, and sacrum, the rotatable range is the widest in thoracic vertebrae [39]. The thoracic facet joint has adequate structure for maintaining the range of motion. However, there is a thorax present as its characteristic. Movement is restricted to some extent by the costovertebral joint and chest rib joint adjacent to the thoracic facet joint [38]. Therefore, it is thought that the thoracic vertebrae tend to be restricted by the joint constituent in either direction of bending and stretching, side bending, and convolution.

On the other hand, in the lumbar vertebra, the influence of the restriction of the joint constituent on the rotation and the side bending is great. Conversely, there are few restrictions in joint components on flexion and extension movements [38-40].

For the muscles attached to the lumbar vertebrae, the dorsalis muscle and gluteus maximus are indirectly attributed to the lumbar spine function through adhesion to the thoracolumbar fascia [40]. In other words, flexion and extension of the lumbar vertebrae are indirectly susceptible to latissimus dorsi and gluteus majorus, with large muscular restriction.

Conventionally, flexibility tests have been used in clinical practice, in which many of them frequently evaluate the limb muscles. However, there are few ways to evaluate the function of body trunk muscles. There are some tests for evaluate the entire back, but few tests for evaluate specifically. Under such circumstances, wing test would be a useful examination method to evaluate spinal function [39,40]. For evaluation of flexibility in the future, it is recommended to combine multiple tests with wing test as in this report.

As to related studies concerning pole, we could find heart dynamics [41], heart rate and autonomic responses [42,43], flexible and non-flexible poles [44], walking or Trekking poles [44-46], but not find the studies like this report associated with detail evaluation by several tests. One of the limits of this research is small numbers of cases. We would develop our research in which subjects will be the aged or athletes, or patients with orthopedic or metabolic syndrome in the future.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we proposed the pole exercise including 6 movements which can be easily performed. We investigated 11 tests before and after pole exercise, and there were significant improvement of physical flexibility and exercise function. These results showed the improved function of spinal stability, suggesting that the pole exercise would be effective to relieve problems of vertebrae. Currently obtained data could become fundamental data for clinical application and research development in the future.
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