Geranylgeranylacetone prevents stress-induced decline of leptin secretion in mice
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INTRODUCTION
Leptin is a 16-kDa protein identified as the obese gene product that plays a crucial role in body weight regulation (1). Leptin is synthesized and secreted mainly by white adipose tissues, and its plasma concentrations are proportional to adiposity in humans. Leptin is also expressed in other organs including placenta, ovaries, skeletal muscle, stomach, mammary epithelial cells, bone marrow, pituitary gland, liver and the brain (2). Leptin controls various aspects of energy intake and expenditure by inhibiting appetite, suppressing lipogenesis, modulating T-cell activity and the ovulatory cycle, promoting angiogenesis and surfactant expression in type II pneumocytes, regulating bone metabolism and inflammatory responses, and influencing the stress response (3, 4). As to the effect of leptin on the stress response, its relationship with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and feeding has been investigated into appreciable detail in experimental animals. The complex action of leptin on the HPA axis in relation to both stress adaptation and feeding may hold for vertebrates in general (5).

Here, we examined whether oral GGA administration modulated the production of leptin in epididymal adipose tissue and ghrelin in gastric mucosa using a restraint stress model of mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Animals
Male wild-type C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Kanagawa, Japan). All mice were treated in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and all procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Tokushima University Graduate School. Mice were bred and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions (SPF) at constant temperature of 23 ± 2°C and 65% relative humidity.
humidity on a 12-h dark-light cycle (lights on at 08:30). All mice were free to access a standard rodent chow (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan). Body weights and food intakes of mice were measured at 10:00 every three days before starting the experimental manipulations.

**GGA treatment and restraint stress**

At 8 or 9 weeks of age, male mice were taken a standard rodent chow powder (control diet; Oriental Yeast) or the powder supplemented with GGA granule (provided by Eisai Co. Tokyo Japan). GGA granules were mixed with the chow powder at a concentration of 0.5%. Mice at 8 or 9 weeks of age (average weight of 24 g) consumed 4.22 g of the chow per day. The actual average intake of GGA was calculated to be 0.16 g per kg body weight per day. This dose was shown to induce HSP70 in mouse gastric mucosa (16).

After mice took GGA-supplemented chow or control chow for 10 days, they were exposed or left unexposed to restraint stress. Restraint stress was done by placing mice in a restrainer (a rounded plastic tube 27 mm in diameter) for 2 h one time or once a day for 5 consecutive days. During the restraint stress, mice continued to take GGA-supplemented or control chow powders and their body weights and food intakes were measured daily at 10:00 prior to the stress. Before and immediately after a single or 5-time restraint stress, mice were sacrificed under deep anesthesia with diethyl ether. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture. At the same time, epididymal adipose tissue and stomach were also collected from the mice. After washing with cold saline, gastric mucosa was removed by a slide glass. Removed epididymal adipose tissue and gastric mucosa were stored at -80°C until analysis. For mRNA measurements, epididymal adipose tissue and gastric mucosa were immediately incubated with the RNA later stabilization reagent (Ambion, Austin, TX) for 24 h and then stored at -80°C until analysis.

**Quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the stored epididymal adipose tissue and gastric mucosa using RNAiso Plus reagent (Takara, Otsu, Japan). Contaminating DNA was removed with deoxyribonuclease using the TURBO DNA-free™ kit (Ambion). Complementary DNA was prepared from total RNA (2 µg) of epididymal adipose tissue and gastric mucosa using oligo dT and random primer using the ReverTra Ace (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). Amounts of leptin and hspa1 mRNAs in epididymal adipose tissue and ghrelin mRNA in gastric mucosa were measured by real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) mRNA was used as an endogenous quantitative control. The following primer sets were used to amplify leptin mRNA, 5'-CAGGATCAATGACATTTCACACA-3' (forward) and 5'-GCTGGTGAGGA-CCTGTGTGAT-3' (reverse); ghrelin mRNA, 5'-AAGAAAGCCACCGAGC-3' (forward) and 5'-ATCGAGGGAGGACATTTGAG-3' (reverse); hspa1 mRNA, 5'-GTGTGGAGGA-GGCGAAG-3' (forward) and 5'-ATGCCCTGAGGAAGCAGACAG-3' (reverse); gapdh mRNA, 5'-ACCTTGGCATTTGGAAGGTATT-3' (forward) and 5'-GACATCGAGGGAGGATGTTC-3' (reverse). Real-time RT-PCR was performed by the ABI-PRISM 7500 system using the SYBR Green detection assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

**Measurements of plasma leptin and corticosterone levels**

Before and immediately after the restraint stress, mice were anesthetized with diethyl ether, and blood was collected in EDTA-containing tubes. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 7,500 g for 5 min at 4°C and stored at -80°C until measurements using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) systems. Plasma leptin and corticosterone levels were analyzed according to the manufacturer’s protocols using Quantikine ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and Corticosterone ELISA kit (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY), respectively.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Epididymal adipose tissues were homogenized in RIPA buffer (Cell Signaling Tech., Danvers, MA) containing a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatants (20 µg protein) were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in a 15% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (BioRad, Hercules, CA). After blocking with 5% non-fat dry milk (Cell Signaling Tech.), the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with a rabbit polyclonal anti-leptin antibody (1:1000 dilution; GenTex, Irvine, CA) or a monoclonal antibody for anti-mouse HSP70 (1:1000; StressMarq, Victoria, Canada) or for anti-mouse beta actin (1:5000; Santa Cruz Biotech., Santa Cruz, CA). After incubated with the corresponding second antibodies, bound antibodies were detected by an enhanced chemiluminescence Western blotting detection system (Amersham Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ). The intensities of the bound antibodies were quantified by using the Image Studio software (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE).

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were presented as the mean ± SD from at least three independent experiments. Statistical comparisons between different conditions were done by unpaired t-test using the GraphPad Prism program (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). P values of less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Effects of GGA and restraint stress on food intakes and body weight changes**

Body weights of GGA or control groups were measured at 10:00 every three days before restraint stress and every day during the stress, and daily changes are shown in Figure 1a. Averages of daily food intakes of the mice are also shown in Figure 1b. There was no significant change in body weight gain between GGA and control groups before and during the stress (Figure 1a). Exposure to 2-h restraint stress once a day for 5 consecutive days (5-time restraint stress) inhibited body weight gain similarly in both GGA and control groups (Figure 1a). On the other hand, 5-time restraint stress significantly reduced daily food intakes in GGA-treated mice, but not in control mice (Figure 1b). As a result, GGA-treated mice significantly decreased daily food intake compared with control mice during the stress period.

**Effects of GGA and restraint stress on plasma corticosterone levels**

To confirm that the restraint stress actually evoked stress responses in the mice and to examine whether GGA modulated the responses, we first measured plasma corticosterone levels before and immediately after a single or 5-time restraint stress. Figure 2a shows that either one- or 5-time restraint stress similarly increased plasma corticosterone levels. GGA administration did not change plasma corticosterone levels before and after the stress. Thus, in our experimental conditions, GGA did not modulate the plasma corticosterone response and did not improve the stress-induced decline of body weights. However, we found that GGA administration significantly reduced food intake during the stressful situation.

**Effects of GGA and restraint on plasma leptin levels**

Although it has been consistently demonstrated that GGA exhibits protective actions against cell and tissue injuries in various types of stress models, we were interested in the enhanced
reduction of food intake in GGA group exposed to restraint stress, since we had expected that an anti-stress compound GGA rather improved the stress-induced appetite loss. We therefore tried to reveal the mechanism for this effect.

First we examined the changes in plasma leptin levels. Leptin is known to be one of the potential factors for the regulation of energy intake when exposed to stress (3). As shown in Figure 3a, in control mice, a single restraint stress rapidly decreased plasma leptin concentrations and remained to be low after 5-time restraint stress. Mice treated with GGA for 10 days significantly increased plasma leptin levels before exposure to restraint stress, compared with control mice (Figure 3a). In addition, a single restraint stress did not significantly decreased plasma leptin levels in GGA-treated mice, and the values were significantly higher than those in control mice (Figure 3a). However, GGA could not prevent the decline of plasma leptin concentrations when restraint stress was repeated for 5 consecutive days (Figure 3a).

**Effect of GGA on leptin mRNA and protein expression in epididymal adipose tissues**

White adipose tissues are a major source of circulating leptin. We also examined the effect of GGA on leptin by measuring leptin mRNA and protein levels in epididymal adipose tissues. In control mice, a single restraint stress did not change leptin mRNA levels, while the repeated stress for 5 days significantly decreased the mRNA levels (Figure 3b). Daily administration of GGA for 10 days significantly increased expression levels of leptin mRNA before the stress, which was consistent with increased levels of circulating leptin after the GGA administration (Figure 3a). This increase was cancelled after a single restraint stress, and 5-time restraint stress decreased leptin mRNA levels in epididymal adipose tissues, similarly observed in control mice (Figure 3b). When leptin protein levels were compared between GGA-treated and untreated control mice, GGA rather increased leptin in epididymal adipose tissues after a single restraint stress. Consequently, the levels were significantly higher than those of control mice (Figure 3c).
Effects of GGA on HSP70 induction in epididymal adipose tissues

It has been demonstrated that GGA could induce HSP70 in rodent gastric mucosa (10). However, effect of GGA on white adipose tissues has not yet been documented. We examined whether GGA acted on epididymal adipose tissues and induced HSP70. As shown in Figure 4a, a single restraint stress did not significantly stimulate hspa1 mRNA expression in epididymal adipose tissues of control mice, while 5-time exposure to restraint stress significantly increased hspa1 mRNA levels (Figure 4a). GGA treatment alone did not affect hspa1 mRNA expression, but it significantly augmented hspa1 mRNA expression after a single restraint stress (Figure 4a). However, protein levels of HSP70 were not significantly increased in GGA-treated mice before and after the restraint stress (Figure 4b).

Thus, GGA treatment appeared to actually act on epididymal adipose tissues and prime them for accelerated hspa1 mRNA induction under the stressful situation.

Effect of GGA on ghrelin mRNA expression in stomachs

We also examined the effect of GGA administration on ghrelin production. We could not detect significant amounts of ghrelin in peripheral blood using commercially available ELISA kits. We therefore measured ghrelin mRNA levels in gastric mucosa. As shown in Figure 5, a single or 5-time restraint stress did not change ghrelin mRNA levels in gastric mucosa of control mice. Daily administration of GGA for 10 days significantly reduced ghrelin mRNA levels in gastric mucosa. After 5-time exposure to restraint stress, ghrelin mRNA levels were significantly increased in GGA-treated mice and returned to the baseline level.

DISCUSSION

We report here that a chaperone inducer GGA may modulate energy intake and expenditure under stressful conditions. GGA activates heat shock factor 1 and exert cytoprotective actions in various organs exposed to ischemia/reperfusion or insults through...
induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, Adachi et al. reported that GGA improved glucose metabolism and reduced adiposity in an animal model of type 2 diabetes (15). In addition, GGA can pass through blood brain barrier and affect the central nervous system (17). It was showed that orally administered GGA improved not only cognitive function but also reduced the pathological phenotypes in Alzheimer’s model mice (17).

These results implicated that GGA might improve stress-associated metabolic and mental dysfunctions. We show here for the first time that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9). At the same time, we showed that GGA could act on white adipose tissues and enhance the induction of HSPs particularly HSP70 (9).

Stress activates multiple neural and endocrine systems to respond to and survive in threatening environments. The corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) system is a primary initiator of this integrated response, which includes activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the HPA axis. A typical energetic response to an acute stressor is inhibition of food intake, increased heat production, and sustained changes in body weight, behavior, and HPA reactivity, while these responses are varied depending on the nature and severity of the stressor. The effect of chronic psychological stress is more variable. However, few stress experiments focus on energy balance. More information on the phenotype of both humans and animal models during and after exposure to acute or chronic stress is needed to understand mechanisms that control energy intake and body weight under stressful conditions.

Central and peripheral injections of CRF (18, 19) suppress food intake through peripheral sensory systems independent of direct central modulation of anorexigenic or orexigenic factors. Glucocorticoids also have a potential to modulate food intake. Low doses of glucocorticoid stimulate food intake by facilitating orexigenic activity of neuropeptide Y (NPY) and norepinephrine in the PVN (20). By contrast, high doses of corticosterone suppress food intake, possibly through glucocorticoid-induced insulin release, which inhibits NPY expression (21). In our experimental conditions, we could not detect any difference in circulating corticosterone levels between GGA-treated and untreated control mice before and after exposure to the restraint stress, indicating that GGA did not significantly modulate the reactivity of the HPA axis. We therefore focused on a peripheral anorexigenic (leptin) and orexigenic (ghrelin) factors.

In control mice, a single exposure to 2-h restraint stress rapidly decreased circulating leptin concentrations without changing its protein and mRNA levels in epididymal adipose tissues. A repetition of the same stress for 5 consecutive days downregulated leptin mRNA expression in the tissues and circulating leptin continued to be low. These results suggest that psychological stress may accelerate consumption of circulating leptin and then downregulate leptin expression when it was repeated. In our experimental conditions, the repetition of the stress for 5 consecutive days completely blocked daily weight gain without changing daily food intake in control mice. This leptin response may be a compensatory for the stress-induced weight loss. In contrast, daily administration of GGA for 10 days before the stress significantly increased plasma leptin and its mRNA levels in epididymal adipose tissues without changing daily food intake and body weight gain. When GGA-treated mice were exposed to restraint stress, they were resistant to the stress-induced declines of circulating leptin and leptin mRNA expression in epididymal adipose tissues. Although GGA-induced up-regulation of leptin did not significantly reduce food intake before the stress, the maintenance of leptin levels may be associated with an additional decline of food intake, which was observed under repeated restraint stress in GGA-treated mice. On the other hand, there was no difference in daily body weight changes between GGA-treated and control mice in the present experimental conditions.

Leptin plays a central role in controlling appetite and weight in both rodents and humans. This hormone also regulates the four main hypothalamo-pituitary-peripheral axes—adrenal, thyroid, gonadal, and growth hormone axes—at different levels (22). As to the HPA axis, leptin suppresses glucocorticoid secretion during stress-related activation of the adrenal axis. In fact, a systemic injection of leptin produced a significant attenuation in plasma levels of corticosterone in immobilized animals (6). Rats exposed to chronic stress showed decreased basal levels of leptin and systemic administration of leptin can reverse depression-like behaviors in these animals (23). As psychiatric disorders like depression are frequently associated with hypocortisolism, leptin is proposed to exert anti-depressant-like effects due to its inhibition of chronically overactive HPA axis function. The association of low leptin levels and developing depression has been proposed in humans (24). Although there are inconsistent results regarding leptin measurement in major depression (25, 26), there seem to be sex differences in plasma leptin measures between depressive patients and healthy controls (26, 27). In women, low leptin levels are associated with increased symptoms of depression and there is an inverse relationship between leptin levels and anxiety symptoms independent of body weight (27). Thus, leptin status of depressed patients could serve as a prognostic marker for therapy response. Although the inhibitory effect of leptin on the HPA axis has been suggested to mediate anti-depressant-like effects in animal models, in case of our study, administration of GGA suppressed decline of plasma leptin levels when exposed to the restraint stress, while it could not suppress the elevation of corticosterone.

We also examined changes in circulating ghrelin. Ghrelin is an appetite regulatory hormone mainly produced in the stomach (28). Studies in rodents demonstrated potent stimulatory effects on food intake, increased body weight gain, and increased adiposity with either peripheral or central administration of ghrelin (29-31). The
orexigenic hypothalamic arcuate neuropeptide (NPY)/Agouti-related peptide-expressing neurons are a prominent direct target of ghrelin action (32). Plasma ghrelin is negatively correlated in the short term with feeding status and in the long term with body mass index (33). Ghrelin also acts in a protective manner to counter anxiety and depression during stressful conditions. Administered ghrelin induces both antidepressant-like and anxiolytic-like behaviors in mice. Moreover, different forms of psychosocial stress lead to plasma ghrelin elevation in rodents and humans (34, 35), suggesting its role as a mediator of stress-based eating behaviors (34, 36). An activated ghrelin system may not only engage anti-depressant-like and anxiolytic behaviors that help motivate hungry, prey-susceptible individuals, but also help minimize the development of any related self-destructive behaviors. Daily administration of GGA for 10 days significantly reduced ghrelin mRNA levels in gastric mucosa without changing daily food intake and body weight gain. When the GGA-treated mice were exposed to the repeated restraint stress, the reduction was cancelled and the ghrelin mRNA levels significantly increased to the baseline level. We could not measure plasma ghrelin levels, because there is no reliable antibody for measurement of each modified ghrelin proteins. It has been suggested that the feeding response and body weight changes mediated by ghrelin are likely to be dispensable when food availability is plentiful like our experimental conditions (37). Effects of long-term administration of GGA on ghrelin as well as leptin production and resultant changes in food intake and body weight should be investigated.

We showed that GGA acted as a chaperon inducer on epididymal adipose tissues under stressful condition. However, both leptin and ghrelin levels were significantly affected by a ten-day treatment of GGA (before stress) without significant induction of hspa1 mRNA and HSP70 protein levels. There is a possibility that the change in leptin and/or ghrelin was caused by not the chaperon but the GGA itself through another signaling pathway.

Unfortunately we did not examine the effects of GGA on anxiety and depression-like behaviors in the present study. At this time point, pathophysiological meaning of the anorexigenic effect of GGA is unknown. Particularly, it is unclear whether GGA-induced decline of food intake in association with the maintenance of circulating leptin levels is advantageous to cope with the psychological stress. However, considering to the effects of leptin and ghrelin on mood, the maintenance of plasma leptin and ghrelin levels may be beneficial for alleviation of anxiety and depressive mood under the stressful situation. Further studies are needed to clarify these issues.
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