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Abstract—We previously proposed a parametric controller to avoid undesirable bifurcations of stable fixed and periodic points in discrete-time dynamical systems. The parameter regulation is derived from an optimization problem on the maximum local Lyapunov exponent and a method of steepest descent. In this paper, on the basis of the aforementioned ideas and a stroboscopic mapping (otherwise known as Poincaré map) that transforms the trajectory of a continuous-time solution into a sequence of points, we propose a technique to control the maximum local Lyapunov exponent on stable periodic oscillations in continuous-time non-autonomous dynamical systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear dynamical systems expressed by parameterized difference or differential equations are widely used for mathematical modeling of physical systems in many fields [1], [2]. In general, the values of system parameters are set so that a desired behavior can appear in a steady state. However, a dynamical system may not work as expected for any reason, e.g., disappearance of the desired behavior for occurrence of bifurcation [3], [4].

For stable fixed and periodic points in discrete-time dynamical systems, we previously proposed a novel parametric controller to avoid undesirable bifurcation of stable ones [5]–[7]. This is one of bifurcation control techniques [8], and the parameter regulation is derived from an optimization problem on the maximum local Lyapunov exponent [9]–[12] and a method of steepest descent. The effectiveness of the proposed method has been demonstrated through numerical experiments for stable fixed or periodic points observed in Hénon map [13] and Kawakami map [14].

The proposed control technique, however, cannot be directly applied to stable periodic solutions in continuous-time dynamical systems. To avoid undesirable bifurcation of continuous-time solutions, we need a new scheme of parametric control methodology. In this paper, on the basis of the aforementioned ideas and a stroboscopic mapping (otherwise known as Poincaré map) that transforms the trajectory of a continuous-time solution into a sequence of points, we propose a technique to control the maximum local Lyapunov exponent on stable periodic oscillations in continuous-time non-autonomous dynamical systems.

II. PRELIMINARY

A. Periodic Solution and its Stability

Let us consider a continuous-time non-autonomous dynamical system defined by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x, p),$$

(1)

where \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) denotes continuous time, \( x=(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N \) is the vector of state variables, \( p=(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_D)^T \in \mathbb{R}^D \) is the vector of system parameters, and the superscript symbol T represents the transpose of a vector. We now assume that \( f \) is periodical on time, and its period is \( T \), i.e.,

$$f(t + T, x, p) = f(t, x, p)$$

(2)

is satisfied.

We express a solution to (1) as

$$x(t) = \varphi(t, x^{(0)}, p),$$

(3)

where \( x^{(0)}=x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^N \) represents an initial values at \( t=0 \), then a periodic solution with period \( L T \) (\( L=1, 2, \ldots \)) can be defined by

$$\varphi(t + LT, x, p) = \varphi(t, x, p).$$

(4)

Note that a solution with \( L=1 \) is called fundamental harmonic solution, otherwise, sub-harmonic solution of order \( L \).

We now assume that local sections \( \Omega_k := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N | t=k T, k=0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) are arranged in the state space in (1). To map a point of a solution’s trajectory, \( x(t) \) at \( t=k T \), on the local section at the time to the next point \( x(t+T) \) in the next local section at \( t=(k+1)T \), we define a stroboscopic mapping \( S \) as

$$\varphi(t + T, x, p) = \varphi(t, x, p).$$
\[ S : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad x^{(0)} \mapsto x^{(m+1)} = \varphi((k+1)\Psi, x^{(k)}, p). \]  

(5)

To simplify derivation of a parametric controller in the followings, we assume that \( S \) is differentiable with respect to \( t \), \( x \), and \( p \) as many times as needed.

This mapping enables us to express the trajectory of a continuous-time solution to (1) as a sequence of points on local sections. For example, a point \((x')\) on a fundamental harmonic solution maps to the same point on all local section, i.e., \( S(x') \cdot x' = 0 \). It is, therefore, called as fixed point. Likewise, since a point \((x')\) on a sub-harmonic solution of order \( L \) satisfies \( S^L(x') \cdot x' = 0 \), we call it \( L \)-periodic point. Thus, by using the mapping, we can handle a periodic solution to (1) like a fixed or periodic point in a discrete-time dynamical system.

The stability of a fixed or periodic point \((x')\) can be discussed by eigenvalues \( \mu \in \mathbb{C} \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)) of the Jacobian matrix derived from \( S(x') \cdot x' = 0 \) or \( S^L(x') \cdot x' = 0 \), respectively. A fixed or periodic point \((x')\) is stable only if \( |\mu| < 1 \) for all \( i \), which corresponds to the situation that all the eigenvalues are within the unit circle in the complex plane. On the other hand, when one or more eigenvalues are on the circumference of the unit circle, a stable fixed or periodic one becomes unstable and bifurcates. Note that Kawakami et al. [4] summarized types of continuous-time solution to (1) as a sequence of points on local sections. For example, a point \((x')\) is stable if all the eigenvalues are within the unit circle in the complex plane. On the other hand, when one or more eigenvalues are on the circumference of the unit circle, a stable fixed or periodic one becomes unstable and bifurcates.

### B. Maximum Local Lyapunov Exponent

Let us assume that the limit of the sequence \( x^{(k)} \) starting from an initial point \( x^{(0)} \) exists, besides, the limit set is a stable fixed or periodic point. We express the derivative of \( x^{(k)} \) with respect to \( x^{(0)} \) as

\[
\frac{\partial x^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(0)}} = D S^k (x^{(0)}, p) = \frac{\partial S^k (x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} = \prod_{\tau=1}^{k} D S (x^{(\tau)}, p)
\]

(6)

and define the maximum Lyapunov Exponent on \( x^{(k)} \) by

\[
\Lambda(x^{(0)}, p) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \ln \left\| D S^m (x^{(0)}, p) \cdot v^{(k)} \right\|_{v^{(0)}}
\]

(7)

where \( v^{(0)} \) is a nearby point at the vicinity of \( x^{(0)} \), and \( \| \cdot \| \) represents the Euclidean norm of a vector. Regardless of a given \( v^{(0)} \), the maximum Lyapunov exponent takes the same value for almost all initial points in the basin of a stable fixed or periodic point [9]. For example, a stable fixed or periodic point is present under the values of \( p \) if \( \Lambda(x^{(0)}, p) \) takes a negative value. When the value of \( \Lambda(x^{(0)}, p) \) approaches to zero, the values of \( p \) are close to a bifurcation point of the stable fixed or periodic point.

Computing the maximum Lyapunov exponent based on (7) is numerically difficult because it is defined in infinite time.

Instead of the maximum Lyapunov exponent, we introduce the maximum local Lyapunov exponent [11], [12] that is defined in finite time:

\[
\lambda(x^{(0)}, p, \tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} \ln \frac{\left\| D S (x^{(k)}, p) \cdot v^{(k)} \right\|_{v^{(0)}}}{\left\| v^{(0)} \right\|}
\]

(8)

Here, we set the discrete-time interval \( \tau \) to compute (8) to KL with a positive large integer \( K \), which the interval corresponds to \( \tau = 0, KL \Psi \), and normalize \( v^{(k)} \) so that the value of \( \| v^{(k)} \| \) always becomes one as

\[
v^{(k)} = \frac{v^{(k)}}{\| v^{(k)} \|}, \quad w^{(k+1)} = D S (x^{(k)}, p) \cdot v^{(k)}
\]

(9a)

(9b)

where \( w^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^v \) is given as a perturbation of \( x^{(0)} \). This normalization (9a) is due to relieve the difficulty in the numerical computation of (8).

### III. METHODOLOGY OF PARAMETRIC CONTROL

We consider the situation that bifurcation of a stable fundamental harmonic or sub-harmonic solution of order \( L \) to (1), which corresponds to a stable fixed or periodic point of a stroboscopic mapping in (5), can occur by changing the value of one or more parameter values. Under the critical situation in the context that undesirable bifurcation may occur, we treat the problem to control the maximum local Lyapunov exponent so that it becomes a negative value that we prescribe in advance.

To simplify the problem, we assume that the values of \( p \) are constant for the duration of \( \tau = m\Psi, (m+1)\Psi, (m=0, 1, 2, \ldots) \). From this assumption, (8), and (9), we can compute the value of \( \lambda^{(m\Psi)} = \lambda(x^{(m\Psi)}, p^{(m\Psi)}, \tau) \) at \( \tau = (m+1)\Psi - \Psi \), i.e., it is defined as

\[
\lambda^{(m\Psi)} = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=m\Psi}^{(m+1)\Psi-1} \ln \| w(k+1) \|
\]

(10)

To design a parametric controller so as not to make bifurcation for forcibly change of a system-parameter value, we consider the minimization problem of an objective function defined by

\[
J(\lambda^{(m\Psi)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda^{(m\Psi)} - \lambda^* \right)^2
\]

(11)

where \( \lambda^* \) denotes the target value of the maximum local Lyapunov exponent to be controlled and is set to a negative value.

Now, let \( p \) be a controlled parameter, which corresponds to one of \( p \), and we assume that \( \lambda^{(m\Psi)} \) is differentiable with respect to \( p \). Under the assumption, the method of steepest descent yields a gradient system of (11) with respect to \( p \) defined by

\[ \frac{d}{dp} J(\lambda^{(m\Psi)}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda^{(m\Psi)} - \lambda^* \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda^{(m\Psi)}}{\partial p} = 0 \]
\[ p^{(m+1)}(x) - p^{(m)}(x) = -\eta \frac{\partial I(x^{(m+1)})}{\partial p} = -\eta (x^{(m+1)} - x^*) \frac{\partial x^{(m+1)}}{\partial p}, \quad (12) \]

i.e., the parameter regulation of \( p \) is defined as

\[ p^{(m+1)}(x) - p^{(m)}(x) = \eta (x^{(m+1)} - x^*) \frac{\partial x^{(m+1)}}{\partial p}. \quad (13) \]

where \( \eta \) is a user-defined positive parameter. The differential value in (13) can be obtained by partially differentiating (10) with respect to \( p \) as

\[ \frac{\partial x^{(m+1)}}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \sum_{k=m}^{T} \frac{\partial w(k+1)}{\partial p} \right], \quad (14a) \]

where

\[ \frac{\partial w(k+1)}{\partial p} = \frac{w(k+1)}{w(k+1)} \frac{\partial w(k+1)}{\partial p}. \quad (14b) \]

From (9), the values of \( \partial w^{(k+1)}/\partial p \) can be calculated by

\[ \frac{\partial w^{(k+1)}}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial DS(x(k), p)}{\partial p} \cdot w^{(k+1)} + DS(x(k), p) \cdot \frac{\partial w^{(k+1)}}{\partial p}, \quad (15) \]

where the initial values of \( \partial w/\partial p \) and \( \partial w/\partial p \) are set to zero because we arbitrarily give the initial points of \( w \) and \( v \) regardless of the value of \( p \).

Calculating the right-hand side of (15) is more complex than that of the case [4]-[6] that the original target is a fixed or periodic point in discrete-time dynamical systems because the original one is a continuous-time solution to (1). The computational procedure is as follows. As described in (5) and (6), \( DS(x(k), p) \) on the right-hand side of (15) means the derivative of \( S(x(k), p) = \Phi(k(1)\Psi, x(k), p) \) with respect to \( x(k) \Psi \) in the duration of \( \tau = [k, k(1)\Psi] \). Therefore, the \((i, j)\)th element of the matrix is defined by

\[ DS(x(k), p)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \Phi((k+1)\Psi, x(k), p)}{\partial x_j}, \quad (16a) \]

or

\[ DS(x(k), p)_{ij} = \partial \Phi((k+1)\Psi, x^{(k)}), \quad (16b) \]

To calculate these values, we revisit the continuous-time non-autonomous dynamical system described by (1). From (1) and (3), we have

\[ \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} = f(t, x^{(0)}, p), \quad (17) \]

and its derivative with respect to \( x^{(0)} \) is obtained as

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(0)}} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(0)}} f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p). \quad (18) \]

Since the order of differentiation on the left-hand side is commutative, we obtain the first variational equation of (17) with respect to initial value,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \right) - \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(0)}} f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p) \cdot \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(0)}} f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p) \cdot \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]

\[ + \frac{\partial f(t, \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p), p)}{\partial x^{(0)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(t, x^{(0)}, p)}{\partial p} \]
the values of $\partial \phi(t, x^{(k)}, p)/\partial p$ in (20) can be computed by numerically integrating (21) with the initial point from $t=k\Psi$ to $t=(k+1)\Psi$. Thus, the values of $\partial DS(x^{(k)}, p)/\partial p$ in (15) can be also computed by integrating (20) with the initial point from $t=k\Psi$ to $t=(k+1)\Psi$, numerically. Note that the four ordinary differential equations, which are (17) and (19)–(21), can be integrated in parallel.

Calculation of $v^{(k)}$ in (15) is based on algebraic calculation described in (9) because we already had the values of $DS(x^{(k)}, p)$. The partial derivative of $v^{(k)}$ with respect to $p$ can be calculated by

$$
\frac{\partial v^{(k+1)}}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{\partial w^{(k+1)}}{\partial p} + \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{\partial \|w^{(k+1)}\|}{\partial p} \cdot \frac{\partial \|w^{(k+1)}\|}{\partial p},
$$

(22)

where the initial values of $\partial w/\partial p$ are set to zero as aforementioned in (15). In addition, the values of $\partial \|w^{(k+1)}\|/\partial p$ and $\partial \|w^{(k+1)}\|/\partial p$ can be assigned with the values computed from (15) and (14b), respectively.

The updating procedure of the $p$ value to control the maximum local Lyapunov exponent on a stable sub-harmonic solution of order $L$ is summarized as the following algorithm.

**Algorithm:** Updating the value of $p$ at $k=(m+1)r$

1. Set $p^{(k)}$ and $x^{(k)}$
2. Set $w^{(k)}$ around $x^{(k)}$
3. Compute $v^{(k)}$ according to (9a)
   $$
   \frac{\partial v^{(k)}}{\partial p} \leftarrow 0;
   \frac{\partial v^{(k)}}{\partial p} \leftarrow 0
   $$
4. Set $S \leftarrow 0$
5. While $k < (m+1)r$ do
   - For $\ell \leftarrow 1$ to $L$
     - Compute $x^{(k+1)}$, $DS(x^{(k)}, p)$, $\partial DS(x^{(k)}, p)$, and $\partial \|w^{(k+1)}\|/\partial p$
     - in parallel according to (17) and (19)–(21)
     - Compute $\partial v^{(k+1)}/\partial p$ according to (15)
     - Compute $\partial w^{(k+1)}/\partial p$ according to (9b)
     - Compute $\partial w^{(k+1)}/\partial p$
     - Compute $S \leftarrow S + \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{\partial w^{(k+1)}}{\partial p}$ (see (14a))
     - Compute $v^{(k+1)}$ according to (9a)
6. End while
7. Compute $\partial v^{(k+1)}/\partial p$ according to (22)

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a parametric control technique for the maximum local Lyapunov exponent on stable periodic solutions observed in continuous-time non-autonomous dynamical systems. It is expected that the proposed technique is available to avoid undesirable bifurcation of such solutions.
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