α-particle therapy for synovial sarcoma in the mouse using an astatine-211-labeled antibody against frizzled homolog 10
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Synovial sarcoma (SS) is a rare yet refractory soft-tissue sarcoma that predominantly affects young adults. We show in a mouse model that radioimmunotherapy (RIT) with an α-particle emitting anti-Frizzled homolog 10 (FZD10) antibody, synthesized using the α-emitter radionuclide astatine-211 (211At-OTSA101), supresses the growth of SS xenografts more efficiently than the corresponding β-particle emitting anti-FZD10 antibody conjugated with the β-emitter yettrium-90 (90Y-OTSA101). In biodistribution analysis, 211At was increased in the SS xenografts but decreased in other tissues up to 1 day after injection, albeit with a relatively higher uptake in the stomach. Single 211At-OTSA101 doses of 25 and 50 μCi significantly suppressed SS tumor growth in vivo, whereas a 50-μCi dose of 90Y-OTSA101 was needed to achieve this. Importantly, 50 μCi of 211At-OTSA101 suppressed tumor growth immediately after injection, whereas this effect required several days in the case of 90Y-OTSA101. Both radiolabeled antibodies at the 50-μCi dosage level significantly prolonged survival. Histopathologically, severe cellular damage accompanied by massive cell death was evident in the SS xenografts at even 1 day after the 211At-OTSA101 injection, but these effects were relatively milder with 90Y-OTSA101 at the same timepoint, even though the absorbed doses were...
1 | INTRODUCTION

Synovial sarcoma (SS) is rare but a highly aggressive soft-tissue sarcoma (STS) that can develop at any site in the body but often arises at lower extremities in adolescents and young adults. Although cases with a resectable SS have a relatively better prognosis, there remains a high risk of local recurrence and metastasis to the lymph nodes and lung. Patients with metastatic SS have a poor prognosis. Although the molecular mechanisms underlying the oncogenesis of SS have remained elusive, a balanced t(X;18; p11,q11) chromosomal translocation is found in virtually all cases, which creates a fusion oncogene, SS18-SSX, that could be the driver mutation gene for these cancers. Surgical therapy, accompanied by radiation and/or chemotherapy, has been shown to be effective for early stage SS but no effective therapies have been established so far for advanced SS. Novel therapeutic strategies for this disease are thus highly desired.

Frizzled homolog 10 (FZD10) is a transmembrane protein member of the Frizzled family and serves as a putative receptor in the Wnt signal pathway. Previous studies have revealed that FZD10 is highly expressed in SS tumors and cell lines but is absent in most normal tissues, and that immunotherapy using an antibody against FZD10 inhibits the growth of SS xenografts. Furthermore, radioimmunotherapy (RIT) using a yttrium-90 (90Y)-labeled anti-FZD10 antibody emitting β-particle radiation has been reported to be effective against SS in a preclinical mouse model. These studies raised the possibility that FZD10 has potential as a therapeutic target for SS.

Recently, α-particle-based RIT (α-RIT) has emerged as a novel antitumor strategy. Astatine-211 (211At) is one of the more attractive α-emitters in this regard because of its 7.2-h half-life and 100% α-particle emission during its decay. The remarkably high cell killing potency of 211At derives from its high energy transfer within a short range of the α-particle, which is considered to be stronger than that of a β-particle. We have previously reported, also in a preclinical mouse model, that α-RIT using 211At-labeled trastuzumab is highly effective against peritoneal metastases of gastric cancers that are positive for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). Thus, we speculated that α-RIT may be more beneficial than β-RIT in the treatment of solid tumors, including STS and SS, which are often radio-resistant or chemo-resistant. This notion is supported by the findings of several previous studies of solid tumor models. However, few reports to date have directly compared the therapeutic efficacy of α-RIT and β-RIT against SS in vivo.

In our current study, we conducted comparative analysis of α-RIT using a 211At-anti-FZD10 antibody and β-RIT using a 90Y-anti-FZD10 antibody in a preclinical SS xenograft model and evaluated both their therapeutic efficacy and toxicity against SS.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cells

The human synovial sarcoma cell line SYO-1 was kindly provided by Dr A. Kawai (National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan). Cells were cultured with D-MEM medium, supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Wako, Osaka, Japan), and maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C.

2.2 | Reagents

A humanized chimeric anti-FZD10 antibody, OTSA101, was provided by OncoTherapy Science (Kanagawa, Japan). N-succinimidyl-3-(trimethylammonium)benzoate (m-MeATE) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA) and stored at −30°C. N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan).

2.3 | Radiolabeling of the anti-FZD10 antibody

Astatine-211 (211At) was produced by the 209Bi (α, 2n)211 reaction as described previously. The 211At labeling of anti-FZD10 antibody (OTSA101) was also carried out following a previously described procedure. Briefly, OTSA101 (5 mg/mL) was conjugated to m-MeATE (26 mmol/L in DMSO) in a reaction containing 0.2 mol/L sodium carbonate buffer (pH 8.5). The immunoconjugate was subsequently isolated in PBS using a Sephadex G-50 spin column containing 0.04 mg/mL NCS in methanol with 1% acetic acid. The 211At-labeled OTSA101 (211At-OTSA101) was dissolved using 0.04 mg/mL NCS in methanol with 1% acetic acid for labeling. The OTSA101-m-MeATE immunoconjugate (2 mg/mL) was added to 211At and reacted for 1 minute followed by another 1-minute reaction with 2-mg/mL NCS. Finally, sodium ascorbate (50 mg/mL) was added to stop the reaction. 211At-labeled OTSA101 (211At-OTSA101) was isolated in PBS using a Sephadex G-50 spin column and verified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Yttrium-90 (90Y) and indium-111 (111In) were obtained from Eckert & Ziegler Radiopharma (Berlin, Germany) and Nihon Medi-Physics (Tokyo, Japan), respectively. Both the 211In and 90Y labeling were performed as described previously. Radiochemical purities were evaluated by methanol precipitation, HPLC or thin-layer chromatography.

2.4 | Animal experiments

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute of Radiological Sciences at the...
The SS xenograft mouse model was established by subcutaneously implanting SYO-1 cells (1 x 10^7 cells in 0.1-mL PBS) into the flank of BALB/c nude mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan).

### Biodistribution

Biodistribution studies were performed as described previously. Briefly, 111In-labeled OTSA101 (111In-OTSA101, 1 μCi) or 211At-OTSA101 (12.5 μCi) was injected into the tail veins of the SS xenograft mice. Tumor and tissues were dissected at 1 hour, 3 hours, 1 day, 2 days and 4 days post-injection, excluding the 2-day and 4-day timepoints for the 211At-OTSA101 group. The radioactivity in each tissue was measured using a gamma counter (Aloka, Tokyo, Japan) to calculate the percentage of the injected dose per tissue gram (%ID/g).

### Radioimmunotherapy

Radioimmunotherapy was performed as described previously. Briefly, SS xenograft mice received a single injection of 12.5, 25 or 50 μCi of 211At-OTSA101 or 90Y-OTS101 into the tail vein. Unlabeled and intact OTSA101 were also injected as controls. The protein doses were adjusted to the same amount (50 μg) by adding intact antibody immediately after verification using HPLC. Injection of radiolabeled antibodies was performed within 30 minutes after HPLC verification. Tumor sizes and body weights were monitored continuously to evaluate anti-tumor effects and toxicity. Mice were killed at the defined study endpoint (ie when the tumor volume reached 1200 mm^3 or at 28 days post-injection). The tumor-absorbed and tissue-absorbed doses for radiolabeled antibodies were estimated from the biodistribution data as previously described. Briefly, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated based on the biodistribution data and the absorbed dose was then estimated using the AUC and the mean energy emitted per transition of 90Y, or 211At and a daughter nuclide 211Po with a correction for the branching ratio.

### Histological analysis and immunohistochemistry

Histological analyses were performed as described previously. Briefly, SS xenografts were sampled from the model mice at 1, 3 and 7 days post-injection with either 211At-OTS101 (50 μCi) or 90Y-OTS101 (50 μCi) and fixed with 10% (v/v) formalin and embedded in paraffin. Untreated SS xenografts were also sampled. After sectioning, the samples were stained with H&E. Immunohistochemical staining of CD31 was performed according the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, paraffin sections of tumors were deparaffinized and then heat-induced antigen retrieval and endogenous peroxidase quenching were performed using Histofine Deparaffinization Antigen Retrieval Solution pH 9 (Nichirei Biosciences, Tokyo, Japan) and Dako REALTM Peroxidase-Blocking Solution (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), respectively. After blocking using Blocking One Histo (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), tumor sections were incubated with anti-CD31 antibody (ab28364, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) overnight at 4°C. SignalStain Boost IHC Detection Regent and DAB Substrate Kit (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) were used to visualize the reaction and hematoxylin was used for counterstaining.

### Statistical analysis

Statcel 3 software (OMS, Tokorozawa, Japan) was used for all statistical analysis. Tumor volumes and survival data were analyzed using 2-way repeated measures ANOVA and the Kaplan-Meier method, respectively. A P-value of <.05 was considered significant.
higher radioactivity was observed only for $^{211}$At-OTSA101 at approximately a 6-fold higher level than $^{111}$In-OTSA101 (Figure 1A,B).

We observed increased tumor uptake for both of the radiolabeled OTSA101 molecules over time but to different maximum levels of 5.1 $\pm$ 1.9%ID/g up to 1-day post-injection for $^{211}$At-OTSA101 compared with 14.6 $\pm$ 3.3%ID/g for $^{111}$In-OTSA101 at the same time point. The maximum tumor uptake of $^{111}$In-OTSA101 was 24.2 $\pm$ 3.8%ID/g at 4 days post-injection. The maximum blood uptake of $^{211}$At-OTSA101 was considerably lower (35.9 $\pm$ 2.3%ID/g vs 45.7 $\pm$ 3.7%ID/g) and showed a faster decline (14.8 $\pm$ 1.5%ID/g vs 27.4 $\pm$ 0.7%ID/g at 1 day post-injection) compared to that of $^{111}$In-OTSA101. There was no apparent difference in the maximum uptake at the thyroid where halogens preferentially bind (5.9 $\pm$ 0.8%ID/g for $^{211}$At-OTSA101 vs 4.9 $\pm$ 1.3%ID/g for $^{111}$In-OTSA101).

### 3.3 Therapeutic efficacy of $^{211}$At-OTSA101 and $^{90}$Y-OTSA101 against synovial sarcoma tumors in the mouse

Figure 2 shows the measured therapeutic efficacy (ie tumor suppression and survival time) of RIT using $^{211}$At-OTSA101 and $^{90}$Y-OTSA101. A single injection of 25 and 50 $\mu$Ci of $^{211}$At-OTSA101 and $^{90}$Y-OTSA101 as they had almost the same biodistribution pattern. The absorbed doses of the radiolabeled antibodies by each mouse tissue were calculated using biodistribution data (Table 1). The biodistribution data for $^{111}$In-OTSA101 were used in the calculations for $^{90}$Y-OTSA101 as they had almost the same biodistribution pattern. The tumor absorbed doses up to 1-day post-injection were almost equivalent for $^{211}$At-OTSA101 and $^{90}$Y-OTSA101 at 3.3 and 3.0 Gy, respectively. For $^{90}$Y-OTSA101, this level reached 9.3 Gy at 4 days.

### 3.4 Absorbed dose by the tumor following radioimmunotherapy with $^{211}$At-OTSA101 and $^{90}$Y-OTSA101

The untreated SYO-1 SS xenografts showed spindle cell proliferation with high cellularity and relatively small pleomorphism among the tumor cells, and no apparent epithelial cells. These characteristics were consistent with a spindle, monophasic type of SS. No necrosis was apparent in any of these xenografts (Figure 4A). At day 1 after $\alpha$-RIT treatment, many of the SS tumor cells became smaller with pyknotic nuclei, indicating severe damage. There were some relatively larger cells among these smaller cells, which showed milder damage. Edema was also evident (Figure 4B). At day 1 following $\beta$-RIT, the tumor cells became round. A slight degree of edema and cell damage were also detected. Mitoses were also still evident (Figure 4C). However, the damage from $\beta$-RIT was less severe.
than that induced by $\alpha$-RIT. By day 3, $\alpha$-RIT-treated tumors were severely damaged with smaller cells and edema. Inflammatory cell infiltration was present although slight (Figure 4D). In the case of $\beta$-RIT, the treated tumors became edematous with smaller cells showing pyknotic nuclei and severe damage, and larger cells, indicative of less damage, also found (Figure 4E). Spindle cell proliferation was found in tumors treated with both $\alpha$-RIT and $\beta$-RIT by day 7 post-injection, although there were still some damaged cells observed (Figure 4F,G). CD 31 and H&E staining revealed that no blood vessels were damaged at day 1 after $\alpha$-RIT treatment, suggesting that the SS cells were directly targeted by the $\alpha$-RIT (Figure 4H,J). These analyses revealed that the cellular damage induced by $^{211}$At-OTSA101 emerges at an earlier stage and is more severe than that induced by $^{90}$Y-OTSA101.

### DISCUSSION

We found in our present analyses that $^{211}$At-OTSA101, an $\alpha$-emitting anti-FZD10 antibody, effectively suppresses the growth of SS xenografts in a mouse model without apparent toxicity. This result
provides a proof-of-concept demonstration that α-RIT using 211At-OTSA101 may be a viable therapeutic option for SS. Our study findings also provide evidence that α-RIT would be more effective for treating SS than β-RIT. Our current data show that a 25-μCi dose of 211At-OTSA101 significantly suppresses SS tumor growth but that this does not occur with the same dose of 90Y-OTSA101, a β-emitting anti-FZD10 antibody.

Our biodistribution data indicate that both 111In-OTSA101 and 211At-OTSA101 have similar pharmacokinetics in SS xenograft mice other than in the stomach. The tumor uptake of 211At-OTSA101 was found to be approximately 3-fold lower than that of the 111In-anti-FZD10 antibody at 1-day post-injection. We suspect that 211At is de-astatinated from the antibody once internalized in the cells and is then quickly excreted in the urine. We also speculate that part of the carrier-free 211At may be accumulated preferentially in the stomach. Improving the radiochemical stability of 211At-OTSA101 and reducing the 211At uptake in the stomach should probably be the subject of further studies, although we found no

apparent abnormalities resulting from gastric toxicity in our present experiments. Tumor growth was rapidly suppressed and the SS xenografts regressed immediately after α-emitting 211At-OTSA101 injection, but this only occurred several days after β-emitting 90Y-OTSA101 administration. Consistent with these observations, our histopathological analyses indicated more severe tumoricidal effects at an earlier stage of α-RIT with 211At-OTSA101 compared with β-RIT using 90Y-OTSA101. These dramatic tumoricidal effects were assumed to be complete even at 1 day after 211At-OTSA101 injection, while only relatively mild pathological changes were observed in the SS xenografts at 1 day after the administration of 90Y-OTSA101. Interestingly, our calculations showed that the absorbed dose by the SS tumor cells up to 1-day post-injection was almost equivalent between 211At-OTSA101 (3.3 Gy) and 90Y-OTSA101 (3.0 Gy). These findings strongly suggest that the radiation quality represented as linear energy transfer, rather than the absorbed dose, should be considered when determining the therapeutic effects of α-RIT. Notably, the tumor vessels in our model mice were little affected by 211At-OTSA101 at day 1, suggesting that this radiolabeled antibody specifically targets SS cells that are positive for FZD10.

No apparent systemic toxicities were evident in our model mice treated with 211At-OTSA101, although the calculated absorbed doses were considerably higher in all tissues examined at 1 day after the injection of 211At-OTSA101 compared with 90Y-OTSA101. The body weights of the mice tended to be lower in the groups treated with both 211At-OTSA101 and 90Y-OTSA101 compared to the control mice, but no severe body weight loss was observed in the RIT groups and all varied within a normal range. Although hematological toxicities were not examined in our current experiments, our previous study showed transient but recoverable leukocytopenia following the systemic administration of an α-emitting antibody.14

The potential of FZD10 as an RIT target against SS tumors has been previously suggested using an 90Y-anti-FZD10 antibody.10,11 Accordingly, a Phase I trial of OTSA101 has been conducted for the treatment of advanced SS. Previous studies have also reported that α-RIT is superior to β-RIT in treating solid tumors because α-particles with a higher LET may have huge advantages in terms of cytotoxicity compared to β-particles with a lower LET.15,16 Given that solid tumors including SS lesions are generally chemo-resistant and radio-resistant, α-RIT using 211At-OTSA101 could become a more viable therapeutic option than β-RIT with 90Y-OTSA101 for the treatment of SS, especially in cases of unresectable, conventional chemotherapy or radiotherapy-resistant lesions.

New therapeutic approaches are now emerging for STS tumors and the development of novel treatments for SS is under active investigation.21,22 A multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor pazopanib has demonstrated significant benefits in terms of extending progression-free survival in STS patients.23 Adaptive immunotherapy targeting NY-ESO-1 has also demonstrated promising results against SS.24 Vaccination against the SS18-SSX oncoprotein has been attempted and produced transient shrinkage of a metastatic SS tumor in the lung of 1 patient, although this was a small clinical trial and most of the study patients showed stable or progressive disease.25 More recently, high throughput siRNA screening has suggested that inhibition of ATR activity may be a therapeutic option for SS treatment.26 It would be of interest to investigate whether α-RIT monotherapy using 211At-OTSA101 or a combination therapy of 211At-OTSA101 with other therapeutic modalities is superior to other therapeutic approaches in the treatment of localized or metastatic SS in a clinical setting.

Although our present analyses indicate that α-RIT using 211At-OTSA101 has therapeutic potential for the treatment of SS, there were several noteworthy limitations of our current study. First, we did not evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of a 211At-labeled isotype control antibody because a previous study has reported that a 90Y-labeled antibody that was non-specific for FZD10 partially suppressed tumor growth of SS in vivo.10 However, a direct comparison using a 211At-labeled isotype control antibody will be needed in a future study to more accurately evaluate the tumor-suppressive effects of 211At. Second, more detailed analyses in addition to body weight measurements are warranted to assess the toxicity of 211At-OTSA101. Third, SS often occurs in soft tissues that would differ from subcutaneous xenografts in terms of drug delivery and targeting by 211At-OTSA101. In addition, possible immunological modification of tumor suppression should also be considered and orthotopic or immunocompetent animal models will be needed in this regard to further evaluate 211At-OTSA101.

In conclusion, α-RIT using 211At-OTSA101 effectively suppresses the growth of SS xenografts in a preclinical mouse model with no apparent toxicities and with more efficiency than β-RIT using 211Y-OTSA101. 211At-OTSA101 therapy may prove to be a relatively safe and effective option for the future treatment of SS.
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