Measurement of hemodynamics immediately after vaginal delivery in healthy pregnant women by electrical cardiometry
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Abstract: Few reports have focused on hemodynamics around delivery in pregnant women because of the difficulty of continuous and noninvasive measurement. Electrical cardiometry allows noninvasive continuous monitoring of hemodynamics and has recently been used in non-pregnant subjects. We compared the use of electrical cardiometry versus transthoracic echocardiography in healthy pregnant women and evaluated hemodynamics immediately after vaginal delivery. In Study 1, electrical cardiometry and transthoracic echocardiography were used to measure cardiac output in 20 pregnant women with threatened premature delivery. A significant correlation was found between the two methods, with electrical cardiometry showing the higher cardiac output. In Study 2, heart rate, stroke volume, and cardiac output were continuously measured in 15 women during vaginal delivery up to 2 h postpartum. Cardiac output increased markedly because of an increased heart rate and stroke volume at the time of newborn delivery. The heart rate then immediately returned to baseline, while cardiac output remained elevated for at least 2 h after delivery because of a sustained high stroke volume. Electrical cardiometry was as readily available as transthoracic echocardiography for evaluating hemodynamics and allowed for continuous measurement during labor. High intrapartum cardiac output was sustained for at least 2 h after vaginal delivery. J. Med. Invest. 66:75-80, February 2019

Keywords: Electrical cardiometry, Pregnancy, Parturition, Hemodynamics, Vaginal delivery

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy has a major impact on the cardiovascular system of pregnant women. Pregnancy-induced changes are most dramatic during labor (1, 2). The incidence of cardiac disease in pregnant women is increasing, and peripartum cardiomyopathy (PPCM), a severe disease that causes heart failure in pregnant women, has become widely recognized (3). Thus, the importance of assessing cardiac function in pregnant women has considerably increased.

No generally accepted theory of how circulatory dynamics change during and immediately after delivery has been established because evaluation methods are limited. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and the thermolodimation method using a pulmonary artery catheter have been used to evaluate circulation dynamics (4). Thermolodimation is the clinical gold standard for measuring cardiac output (CO), but this method is invasive and is now rarely performed (5). In contrast, TTE is widely used in pregnant women because of its noninvasiveness (6); however, continuous monitoring is difficult.

Electrical cardiometry (EC) is a recently developed technique for monitoring hemodynamics (7). EC is used to measure the stroke volume (SV) and thus monitor changes in red blood cells flowing through the aorta; this involves attaching four electrocardiographic electrodes to the body to assess changes in conductivity. EC is noninvasive and simple and allows for continuous monitoring of cardiac function. Thus, it has been used in patients in the intensive care unit, patients undergoing dialysis, and newborns. However, few reports have described the use of EC in pregnant women. The present study was performed to verify the utility of EC in pregnant women by comparison with TTE. We also examined patients’ hemodynamics immediately after vaginal delivery using EC.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

We performed two studies. Study 1 was a comparative study of TTE and EC in pregnant women, and Study 2 was an observational study in which patients’ hemodynamics were continuously monitored during and after vaginal delivery. Our institutional review board approved these studies, and written informed consent was obtained from each patient enrolled in the studies.

In Study 1 (June 2014–December 2014), we enrolled 20 patients in our hospital who had been diagnosed with threatened premature delivery (Table 1).
The patients had received intravenous infusions of ritodrine hydrochloride for tocolysis. Patients with complications such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, cardiac malformations, or severe anemia were excluded. All patients were in sinus rhythm and had normal cardiac function.

In Study 2 (June 2015–June 2016), we enrolled 15 pregnant women without complications (Table 1). The patients underwent labor at term that was either induced by (or augmented with) oxytocin or spontaneous. The infusion volume was limited to only the amount necessary to induce/augment labor, or it was not administered. Patients were excluded if they required additional treatment, such as infusion for atomic bleeding or magnesium sulfate for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Oxytocin was initiated concurrently with the start of induction or weak labor pain. Our hospital-based oxytocin protocol was used for all patients; this started with 1.7 mL/min and then increased by 1.7 mL every 30 min until regular uterine contractions occurred. The maximum dose of oxytocin was 20 mL, with no limit to the length of time a patient could remain at 20 mL. When the oxytocin infusion was continued after umbilical cord clamping, it was administered at the same dose.

Echocardiography

All measurements were obtained with the patient resting in the left lateral position to optimize TTE imaging. An experienced cardiac sonographer starting monitoring CO with both TTE and EC within 10 min after the start of TTE. TTE was performed using an echocardiographic Doppler system (Vivid E9; GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK). Measurements and recordings were obtained according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography (8). CO was determined by Doppler echocardiographic measurement of the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) velocity combined with the cross-sectional area with measurement of the LVOT diameter. The flow velocity was measured with pulsed-wave Doppler echocardiography by placing a transducer on the apex. An average of 8 to 10 consecutive beats was used for each determination. The diameter of the LVOT was measured during systole from a cross-sectional echocardiographic view (parasternal long-axis plane). Diameters from five consecutive beats were averaged.

The LVOT cross-sectional area was calculated from the equation

\[ A = \pi \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^2 \]

where \( D \) is the mean LVOT diameter. Heart rate (HR) was determined from the R-R interval of a simultaneously recorded electrocardiogram. CO was then calculated according to the following formula: \( \text{CO} (\text{ml/min}) = \text{stroke volume (SV)} (\text{ml}) \times HR (\text{1/min}), \) where SV (ml) is calculated as time velocity integral (cm) \times cross-sectional area (cm²). End-systolic and end-diastolic dimensions were measured from standard left ventricular M-mode echocardiograms. Based on these algorithms, CO was measured by TTE (TTE-CO).

Electrical cardiometry

EC is based on a bioimpedance technique that is used to measure changes in transthoracic impedance during cardiac ejection caused by volumetric changes of the aorta. We used an AESCULON Mini (Osypka Medical GmbH, Berlin, Germany) for EC. Four disposable electrocardiographic electrodes were attached at the neck and the inferior aspect of the thorax at the level of the xiphoid process to record the changing impedance over that area of the thorax. A high-frequency (50-kHz), low-amperage (2 mA) alternating electrical current of constant amplitude was emitted via a pair of surface electrodes across the left thorax. The inner electrodes acted as sensing electrodes to register electrical resistance changes caused by application of the current and recorded the thoracic electrical bioimpedance (Z).

\[ Z = \frac{\text{sensed voltage}}{\text{applied current}} \]

Verification of the correct signal quality was accomplished by visualizing the electrocardiogram, the impedance waveform, and its first derivative. The maximum rate of change in the thoracic electrical bioimpedance over that area was interpreted as the ohmic equivalent of the mean blood flow velocity in the ascending aorta. SV (ml) and CO (L/min) were then calculated using the following equations:

\[ \text{SV} = \frac{\pi}{2} \times D^2 \times v \times \text{LVET} \]

\[ \text{CO} = \frac{\text{SV} \times HR}{100} \]

where \( v \) is the omic equivalent of the mean aortic blood flow velocity during left ventricular ejection, and \( \text{LVET} \) is the left ventricular ejection time. The impedance recordings and CO and SV calculations were obtained using the AESCULON Mini, incorporating an algorithm that transforms the ohmic equivalent of mean aortic blood flow acceleration into an equivalent of mean aortic blood flow velocity:

\[ v = \left[ \frac{\text{d}Z}{\text{d}t} \right]_{\text{min}} \]

where \( \text{d}Z/\text{d}t \) is the maximum rate of change of the thoracic electrical bioimpedance during systole and \( Z \) is the base impedance (average value over 10 cardiac cycles). Based on these algorithms, EC was used to measure CO (EC-CO).

Protocol for Study 1

Each TTE measurement was paired with the EC measurement that most closely followed it in time, and only TTE and EC data pairs measured within 20 s of each other were included. This resulted in multiple data pairs for each patient; these pairs were then averaged to obtain one value per patient for each variable. The average values were used for statistical analysis. The closest EC measurement following the velocity-time integral image was chosen because EC data were acquired as the moving average of the previous 10 heartbeats, whereas TTE data reflect one velocity-time integral image obtained at a single instant in time. This explicit pairing of EC and TTE measurements was initially performed to compare all paired EC and TTE measurement sets individually for a given patient. However, we later decided to report one average value per patient for each technology.

Protocol for Study 2

We set up the AESCULON Mini for each patient at the begin-
ning of labor to measure hemodynamics. HR, SV, and CO were measured in beat-to-beat mode. All patients were maintained in the dorsal lithotomy position during delivery and in the supine position after delivery. The measurements were obtained at predefined time points: labor onset, newborn delivery, and at 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after delivery. Measurement at labor onset was performed during an intermittent period during uterine contractions. Hemodynamic data were stored in the AESCULON Mini monitor and downloaded as text files.

Statistical analysis

For Study 1, the correlation between TTE-CO and EC-CO values was evaluated by calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficient \( r \) and applying a linear regression model of TTE-CO on EC-CO. The spread of the slope and the ordinate of this relationship were expressed as a standard error. The correlation coefficient was then calculated to allow comparison of our results with those of other studies. The differences between the paired CO values were also plotted against the average CO values of both measurements. This statistical method was recommended by Bland–Altman for evaluation studies. To investigate the relationship between the measurement error and the true value, the values were plotted as the difference between the methods and their means. The limits of agreement were estimated by calculating the mean difference (bias) and standard deviation (SD). The upper and lower limits were defined as the bias ± 2 SD, the range in which 95% of the differences between the methods were expected to occur. A \( P \) value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

For Study 2, the Wilk-Shapiro test was used to assess the normality of the distribution of data. All continuous, normally distributed measurements are presented as mean ± SD. Categorical data are reported as median with interquartile range. Comparisons of hemodynamic variables from labor onset and newborn delivery to the other predefined time points were performed using analysis of variance with repeated measures. All data were analyzed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), a graphic user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria); EZR is a modified version of R Commander designed to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics. The significance level was set \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Study 1: Relationships between TTE-CO and EC-CO

In total, 20 patients were enrolled in Study 1. No patients were removed from the analysis (i.e., TTE-CO and EC-CO values were obtained for all patients). No serious complications occurred during the study. The pooled EC-CO was 3.68 to 8.10 L/min, and TTE-CO was 3.35 to 7.10 L/min. Figure 1 shows a scatter plot of the data with the linear regression line.

A significant correlation was found between the two methods \( (P < 0.001) \), with \( r = 0.79 \). EC-CO was linearly related to TTE-CO, with a y-intercept of 1.19 and a line slope of 0.85 (i.e., the EC-CO values were higher than TTE-CO values). Bland–Altman analysis data are shown in Figure 2.

The mean difference (bias) between EC-CO and TTE-CO was 0.36 L/min (SD, 0.67 L/min). The limits of agreement were defined as the mean difference ± 2 SD. The lower and upper limits of agreement for the comparison of EC-CO with TTE-CO were -0.98 and 1.7 L/min, respectively. The rate of relative errors within ± 20% was 95% of all measurements.

Fig. 1 Scatter plot comparing cardiac output. This graph denotes the linear regression line. TTE-CO, cardiac output as measured by transthoracic echocardiography; EC-CO, cardiac output as measured by electrical cardiometry

Fig. 2 Bland-Altman plot. Bias plot of the difference in cardiac output as measured by EC and TTE compared with the mean of the two results. The mean difference in EC-TTE was 0.36 L/min (standard deviation, 0.67 L/min). The lower and upper limits of agreement were -0.98 and 1.7 L/min, respectively. TTE-CO, cardiac output as measured by transthoracic echocardiography; EC-CO, cardiac output as measured by electrical cardiometry
Study 2: Hemodynamic changes during and after vaginal delivery

Hemodynamic variables measured at the predefined time points are shown in Figure 3.

A significant increase in HR, SV, and CO occurred at the time of newborn delivery compared with the baseline value measured at the onset of labor. HR, SV, and CO increased by 32.9%, 50.5%, and 95.0% from baseline, respectively. At 10 min after delivery, HR fell to that observed at labor onset and remained constant. In contrast, SV and CO at 10 min after delivery decreased from that seen during newborn delivery, but remained higher than that at labor onset. SV and CO remained stable until 120 min after delivery, with values 20.4% and 22.5% higher than those at labor onset, respectively.

DISCUSSION

We confirmed that EC can be used to evaluate the hemodynamics of pregnant women, with findings similar to those of TTE. We also found that a high-CO state persisted for at least 2 h after vaginal delivery because of the sustained high SV.

The thermodilution method using a pulmonary artery catheter is the accepted gold standard for evaluation of CO, but this technique is invasive and risky. Hence, TTE is now generally used because it is simple and noninvasive. Indeed, TTE is reportedly useful in pregnant women with the same accuracy as thermodilution (9). A disadvantage of TTE is that the technique needs to be learned. Further, the same posture must be maintained for a prolonged time, which is difficult for pregnant patients. Finally, TTE cannot be used for continuous measurement. Therefore, there are some limits to using TTE for evaluation of hemodynamics in pregnant women, especially during labor and delivery.

In contrast to thermodilution and TTE, EC uses the increase in conductivity from the change in orientation of red blood cells to determine the velocity of blood flow, allowing SV and CO measurement (10). EC is easy to use, and there is no restriction caused by the position or physique of the patient. Previous studies have demonstrated that EC is a reliable technique for measuring CO compared with well-established methods such as the direct Fick’s oxygen principle, thermodilution, or transesophageal echocardiography (11, 12). In obstetrics, EC is also used to measure the
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Fig. 3  Circulation fluctuation around the time of vaginal delivery. (a) Heart rate. (b) Stroke volume. (c) Cardiac output. Data are presented as mean (95% confidence limit). The marked groups were considered statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) in comparison with *labor onset and †newborn delivery. Time points: baseline measurement at labor onset (T1), newborn delivery (T2), and after delivery at 10 min (T3), 15 min (T4), 20 min (T5), 30 min (T6), 60 min (T7), 90 min (T8), and 120 min (T9).
hemodynamic changes associated with cesarean delivery and oxytocin administration (13, 14). Importantly, EC can provide continuous and noninvasive measurement without the need for the operator to undergo a learning curve.

In the present study, EC showed a trend toward higher values than TTE, although we confirmed the compatibility of the values measured by the two methods. Grollmuss and Gonzalez (15) also found that EC produced higher values than TTE. Moreover, TTE has been shown to underestimate CO compared with magnetic resonance imaging, which is considered the most accurate noninvasive method for evaluating cardiac function, as in our study (16-18). Our data support that EC is a useful technique, particularly for continuous evaluation of hemodynamics.

Because EC has been proven feasible for pregnant women as well as TTE, we attempted to evaluate the hemodynamics around the time of vaginal delivery using EC. Although dramatic hemodynamic changes are known to occur during labor, few reports have focused on detailed hemodynamic measurements around the delivery period, especially immediately after delivery. Robson et al. (19) reported that CO increased for at least 1 h after vaginal delivery because of increased SV, although the HR did not change. By 24 h after delivery, there were no significant increases in CO, HR, or SV. However, San-Frutos et al. (20) reported that CO and SV continued to decline up to 48 h after delivery and then increased compared with these values during early pregnancy. Although both studies performed evaluations at 48 h after delivery, they did not evaluate changes around and immediately after delivery, and their recordings were not continuous. Hemodynamic parameters readily vary in accordance with factors such as the patient’s posture, bleeding, pain, and mental state. Therefore, continuous measurement as in the present study is useful for elucidating the maternal circulation variations that occur during delivery.

It is easy to imagine that hemodynamic parameters change markedly around vaginal delivery, but whether this actually occurs remains unknown. Thus, it is important to measure the physiological hemodynamics of pregnant women. In the present study, HR was high up to the time of delivery and then rapidly decreased to the levels observed at the start of labor. The perception of pain and activation of sympathetic nerves are diminished by labor, which may explain the HR fluctuation. SV was markedly higher at delivery and then declined, but remained elevated at 2 h postpartum compared with that at the onset of labor; thus, high CO also persisted for at least 2 h after vaginal delivery. This phenomenon may be caused by a temporary increase in the circulating blood volume, such as an autologous blood transfusion from the uterus and/or release of inferior vena cava compression associated with uterine contractions.

Heart load is an aggravating factor associated with heart disease and can induce heart failure such as that caused by PPCM. PPCM often develops during labor to around the time of delivery (21, 22), and the resulting heart failure can be serious, sometimes even leading to death. The main pathological condition observed in PPCM is congestion and low CO. Based on the findings from the present study, healthy pregnant women have high CO with increasing HR and SV at the time of delivery, and the high-CO state continues due to high SV. Thus, the heart failure associated with PPCM may be induced by decreased contractility at a time when it should inherently respond by increasing CO. EC may be useful for monitoring circulatory changes in high-risk patients with PPCM.

The present study has three limitations. First, we included only healthy pregnant women. High-risk patients with complications were excluded, such as patients with multiple pregnancies, heart disease, or a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy. The circulatory dynamics during puerperium in these patients may be different from those of normal pregnant women. Hemodynamic monitoring for such high-risk patients is specifically required from the viewpoint of the risk of complications, including heart failure and PPCM. Multiple reports have described the use of EC in non-pregnant patients with these diseases (23-24). EC has been validated with acceptable reliability in ill adults (25, 26). Hence, we suggest that EC can also be used to evaluate hemodynamics in pregnant women with these complications. Second, the patients in Study 1 were at preterm gestation and those in Study 2 were at term gestation. Additionally, nitroglycerin was used in Study 1, but it was not used in Study 2. Impedance might be differentially impacted at different gestational ages and with the use of different drugs. Therefore, the correlation between EC and TTE verified in Study 1 cannot necessarily be generalized to Study 2. Finally, in Study 2, we only studied pregnant women who went into labor without anesthesia and in the Farrar position. Because patient positioning and anesthesia affect circulation dynamics, our findings may not apply to delivery with patients in other positions and under anesthesia.

In summary, we confirmed that EC allows for evaluation of hemodynamic parameters with clinically acceptable accuracy for pregnant women because of its compatibility with TTE. We also succeeded in evaluating hemodynamics using EC around the time of vaginal delivery. We confirmed that CO remained elevated during labor and immediately after vaginal delivery. Because some pregnant women may have cardiovascular complications and poor cardiac function, particular attention should be paid to their postpartum circulatory dynamics. EC can provide constant monitoring of cardiac function over time; therefore, we suggest that EC may support safe delivery management. For high-risk cases, such as women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy or cardiac complications, more careful management is necessary, and hemodynamic monitoring with EC may provide important information.
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