Hydrogen-bond-assisted isotactic-specific radical polymerization of $N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone with tartrate additives in toluene at low temperatures: high-resolution $^1$H NMR analysis
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A diethyl L-tartrate (L-EtTar)-assisted radical polymerization of $N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone has been developed as the first reported example of the synthesis of isotactic-rich poly($N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone) (PVP). The addition of L-EtTar in toluene at temperatures of $–40°C$ and lower led to a significant increase in the polymer yield by one order of magnitude compared with the reaction in the absence of L-EtTar. Decreasing the polymerization temperature led to increases in the isotacticity of the PVP, with the $mm$ triad reaching 66.4% at $–93°C$. $^1$H NMR measurement at 920 MHz was conducted to establish a reliable strategy for quantifying the triad tacticities. High-temperature NMR measurements at 250 °C were performed using a specially-designed NMR probe, which led to dramatic narrowing of the $^1$H line width.

Introduction

Poly($N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone) (PVP) has been used extensively in numerous applications in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries. The development of new methods for the synthesis of PVP are highly desired to provide better control over its physical properties by allowing for the tuning of its stereoregularity over a wide range of tacticities. However, progress in this area has been severely limited, because radical polymerization is the only method of polymerization that is currently available for the synthesis of PVP. Controlling the stereospecificity in the radical polymerization of vinyl monomers, especially unconjugated monomers, can be particularly challenging because the high reactivity of the terminal radicals overwhelms the orientational preference of the incoming monomers. Based on these limitations, there have been very few reports pertaining to the stereoregularity of PVP. An alternative approach to this problem involves the use of cationic polymerization, although this approach generally affords PVP with such a low molecular weight that it would be more appropriately categorized as an oligomer.

We recently reported that the stereospecificity of low-temperature radical polymerizations could be finely tuned by taking advantage of the hydrogen-bond-assisted complex formation between amide-containing vinyl monomers and alcohol compounds at low temperatures. Of the alcohol compounds examined to date, tartrates exhibited a unique ability to regulate the stereochemistry during the polymerization of monomers bearing amide group through the formation of complexes based on double hydrogen-bonding interactions. For example, tartrates induced syndiotactic specificity in the radical polymerization of $N,N$-dimethylacrylamide (DMAAm) in toluene at low temperatures, whereas the polymerization reaction conducted under the same conditions without tartrates afforded isotactic-rich polymers. In contrast, the addition of tartrates to the radical polymerization of the unconjugated monomer $N$-vinylacetamide (NVA) in toluene at $–60 °C$ gave isotactic-rich polymers with an $mm$ triad of up to 49%. Furthermore, the yields of the polymers increased for both conjugated and unconjugated monomers when tartrates were added to the radical polymerization reactions at low temperatures. In this study, we shall investigate whether the addition of tartrates can lead to a dramatic improvement in the efficiency of the polymerization of $N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone (VP) at low temperatures, where the hydrogen bonding interactions are rigid enough to allow for more strict stereocchemical control over the radical propagation of VP. In order to uncover the evidence of the formation of a hydrogen-bonding complex between VP and L-EtTar, here we attempt to verify the changes in the NMR spectra of these compounds when they came into contact with each other. We shall discuss the mechanism for the induced isotactic specificity in terms of the activation free energies for the isotactic and syndiotactic propagation steps.

One of the important objectives of this study is to provide, the renewed assignments for the $^1$H NMR spectra of PVP that can be used to determine the tacticity of this newly-synthesized PVP. To establish the new assignments, we systematically
examined the optimal solvent and temperature conditions for the NMR measurement, and these conditions will be discussed in greater detail below. Because the $^{13}$C spectrum has been used more often in the NMR studies on PVP, we briefly compare the new assignments for the $^1$H spectra with those of the earlier assignments based on the $^{13}$C spectra of PVP. To validate our new $^1$H NMR assignment and to confirm its quantitative precision, ultra-high-field NMR measurements at $^1$H frequencies of 920 MHz was performed. It is noteworthy that NMR measurements over a wide range of tautomericities have allowed us to distinguish more clearly between the peaks for different stereosequences.

In an attempt to achieve further improvements in the resolution and separability of the NMR signals, we also investigated the advantages of running high-temperature NMR measurement in subcritical fluids at temperatures up to 250 °C specially-designed for high-temperature measurements. One investigated the advantages of running high-temperature NMR different stereosequences. The aim of the present study is, therefore, to explore the extent to which the accelerated motions of both the main chain and side chain would narrow and simplify the NMR peaks obtained at such extremely high temperatures. We investigated the effect of the solvents using protic, hydrophobic (Merck Ltd. Japan, Tokyo, Japan), and DMSO-$d_6$, respectively, to assess the peak separation and splitting in different solvents. This study therefore represents a big step forward with respect to the development of high-temperature NMR methods from simple pure-solvent systems involving small molecules towards macromolecular systems with elaborate control over the stereochemistry.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

VP (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan, ≥98%) was purified by fractional distillation. Toluene (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan, ≥99.0%) was washed sequentially with sulphuric acid, water and 5% aqueous NaOH, and then further purified by fractional distillation. A solution of tri-$n$-butylborane ($n$-Bu$_3$B) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (1.0 mol L$^{-1}$), toluene-$d_8$ containing 1 v/v% tetramethylsilane (TMS), 3-(trimethylsilyl)propanesulfonic acid (TMSPS) (97%) (Sigma-Aldrich Japan, Tokyo, Japan), diethyl ether (≥99.0%), DMSO-$d_6$ containing 0.05 v/v% TMS, D$_2$O (Wako Pure Chemical Industries), chloroform-$d$ containing 0.03 v/v% TMS (Merck Ltd. Japan, Tokyo, Japan), 2,6-di-$t$-butyl-4-methylphenol (≥99.0%), L-EtTar (≥98.0%) (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan) N,N-dimethylformamide (Kanto Chemical, HPLC grade, ≥99.7%) and LiBr (Kishida Chemical, Osaka, Japan, anhydrous ≥95%) were used as received.

**Polymerization**

A typical polymerization procedure was conducted as follows. VP (1.170 g, 10.5 mmol) and L-EtTar (2.175 g, 10.5 mmol) were dissolved in toluene to give a 10 mL solution ([VP]$_0$ = [L-EtTar]$_0$ = 1.05 mol L$^{-1}$). Nine milliliters of the solution was transferred to a glass ampoule and placed into a methanol bath thermostated at a reaction temperature in Table 1. The polymerization was initiated by adding 0.47 mL of a $n$-Bu$_3$B solution in THF to the mixture in the glass ampoule under air, and the resulting solution was held for 24 h at a constant temperature. The $n$-Bu$_3$B is known as a low temperature initiator which provides alkyl radical via formation of peroxide. The reaction was terminated with the addition of a 0.5 mL solution of 1.0 mol L$^{-1}$ 2,6-di-$t$-butyl-4-methylphenol in THF at the polymerization temperature. The polymerization mixture was then poured into diethyl ether (1 L) to precipitate the product polymer, and the precipitate was collected by centrifugation and dried in vacuo at 60 °C for 48 h. The polymer yield was determined gravimetrically.

**Size exclusion chromatographic measurement**

The relative molecular weights and molecular weight distributions of the polymers were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using polystyrene samples as molecular weight standards. SEC was performed on an HLC 8220 chromatographic instrument (Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan), equipped with TSK gel columns [SuperHM-M (150 × 6.5 mm, i.d.) and SuperHM-H (150 × 6.5 mm, i.d.)] (Tosoh). Dimethylformamide containing 10 mmol L$^{-1}$ LiBr was used as the eluent at 40 °C and a flow rate of 0.35 mL min$^{-1}$. The initial polymer concentration was set at 1.0 mg mL$^{-1}$.

**NMR measurement**

$^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on EX-400, ECA-400, ECA-500 spectrometers (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at the University of Tokushima and on ECA-920 one (JEOL) at Institute for Molecular Science, Japan, all of which are equipped with 5-mm standard multinuclear direct detection probes. The high-temperature $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on the ECA-500 spectrometer using a high-temperature probe, which was developed by one of the authors (KY). The sample solution was sealed in a quartz tube as described elsewhere.

The proton chemical shifts of the polymers in D$_2$O (99.9% atom D) at temperatures up to 84 °C were referenced to the methyl groups of TMSPS (δ = 0.017 ppm), which was used as an internal reference. TMSPS was not used as an internal reference for the high temperature NMR measurements in D$_2$O because of decompositions over side reactions including decomposition of the PVP. In these cases, the middle methylene groups (cf. Fig. 1, d) of the trimethylene groups on the side chain (δ = 2.058 ppm) of the polymer were used as a proton-chemical-shift reference. The proton chemical shifts of polymers in CDC$_1$ (99.8% atom D) and DMSO-$d_6$ (99.9% atom D) were referenced to internal TMS (i.e., δ = 0.000 ppm) and the residual non-deuterated solvent peak in DMSO-$d_6$ (i.e., δ = 2.500 ppm), respectively. The proton and carbon chemical shifts of VP and L-EtTar in toluene-$d_8$ (99.5% atom D) were reference to internal TMS (i.e., δ = 0.000 ppm).

**Results and discussion**

$^1$H NMR assignments of triad stereosequences

Let us begin by examining the $^1$H NMR spectra of the PVPs synthesized in the presence of L-EtTar at different temperatures. A comparison of the spectra for the polymer samples prepared at polymerization temperatures of 0 and −90 °C is shown in Table 1, where the details of the different samples are listed as
observed in CDCl3. These peaks were not observed in the 1H NMR spectra of the two different samples. In this way, it is possible to confirm that the sample prepared at 90 °C was richer in the CH2,main peak than the sample prepared at the lower temperature of 0 °C (Fig. 1). The 1H NMR signals of the side-chain groups reflect odd-number stereosequences, such as triad and pentad sequences, with the former of these two sequences having the more dominant effect. For the purpose of determining the triad tacticities, we focused on the proton signals of the methylene group adjacent to the C=O group of the side chain (CH2,C=O, c) in the spectra measured in D2O, because these signals were clearly separated into three distinct peaks (Fig. 1, upper and lower left). These three peaks were subsequently assigned to triad stereosequences of mm, mr and rr from the low to the high magnetic field, as indicated in Fig. 1. The peak at the lowest magnetic field was assigned to the mm stereosequence, because this peak was larger for the sample prepared at −90 °C (lower left), which was determined to be rich in the m diad based on its CH2,main signal, as described above. The question is then narrowed down to assigning the other two peaks for the mr and rr stereosequences.

Now, let us move on to the proton signals of the side chains. The signals of the side-chain groups reflect odd-number stereosequences, such as triad and pentad sequences, with the former of these two sequences having the more dominant effect. For the purpose of determining the triad tacticities, we focused on the proton signals of the methylene group adjacent to the C=O group of the side chain (CH2,C=O, c) in the spectra measured in D2O, because these signals were clearly separated into three distinct peaks (Fig. 1, upper and lower left). These three peaks were subsequently assigned to triad stereosequences of mm, mr and rr from the low to the high magnetic field, as indicated in Fig. 1. The peak at the lowest magnetic field was assigned to the mm stereosequence, because this peak was larger for the sample prepared at −90 °C (lower left), which was determined to be rich in the m diad based on its CH2,main signal, as described above. The question is then narrowed down to assigning the other two peaks for the mr and rr stereosequences.

Table 1. Radical polymerization reactions of VP in toluene at low temperatures for 24 h in the presence or absence of L-EtTar, average lengths of the m diad ($\bar{n}_m$) of the polymers obtained and first order Markovian parameters for the polymerizations$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>$[\text{VP}]_0$ (mol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$[\text{L-EtTar}]_0$ (mol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>$M_s \times 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>$M_w/M_s$</th>
<th>Triad tacticity$^c$ (%)</th>
<th>$\bar{n}_m$</th>
<th>Markovian Parameters $^d$</th>
<th>$P_{m,m}$</th>
<th>$P_{m,r}$</th>
<th>$P_{r,m}$ + $P_{r,r}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−93</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>n.d.$^b$</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a [n$-Bu3B]$0 = 5.0 × 10−2 mol L$^{-1}$. $^b$ Determined by SEC. $^c$ Determined by 1H NMR. $^d$ $\bar{n}_m = (f_{mm} + f_{mr}/2)/(f_{mr}/2)$. $^e P_{m,m} = (f_{mm}/2)/(f_{mr}/2)$. $^f$ For 48 h. $^g$ Not determined.
To assign these stereosequences, we focused on the statistical probabilities of different diad tacticities for the propagation of the polymer. For radical polymerization reactions, the stereosequences of the polymers obey simple Bernoullian statistics in most cases, and the polymer synthesized in the current study was also found to follow Bernoullian statistics, as described below. According to Bernoullian statistics, each step in the propagation of the polymer is independent of the preceding propagation step, and the fractions of the \( mm \) and \( rr \) diads, denoted respectively as \( P_{mm} \) and \( P_{rr} \), in the final product polymer can be calculated with the stepwise probability of the generation of \( m \) and \( r \) diads, respectively, which are denoted as \( P_m \) and \( P_r \). Thus the fractions \( f_{mm} \) and \( f_{rr} \) are obtained in terms of \( P_m \) as \( f_{mm} = 2P_m(1-P_m) \) and \( f_{rr} = (1-P_m)^2 \). The probability \( P_m \) is equal to the square root of the fraction \( f_{mm} \) in Bernoullian statistics, and the fraction \( f_{mr} \) can be experimentally obtained from the \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra because the peak for the \( mm \) triad has already assigned, as described above. In other words, once \( f_{mm} \) has been determined experimentally, it is possible to calculate \( f_{mr} \) and \( f_{rr} \) based on the assumptions of Bernoullian statistics, and then test which peak should be assigned to \( mr \) or \( rr \). The \( f_{mr} \) values calculated in this way were 48.6% and 33.4% at 0 °C and −90 °C, respectively. In contrast, the calculated \( f_r \) values were 17.4% and 4.5% at 0 °C and −90 °C, respectively. A comparison of these calculated values with those directly obtained through the deconvolution of the peaks listed in Table 1, allowed for the middle peak and the peak at the highest magnetic field to be assigned to the \( mr \) and \( rr \) triads, respectively, as shown in the upper left panel of Fig. 1.

The conditional probabilities for \( P_{mm} \) and \( P_{rr} \), (i.e., the so-called first order Markovian-statistics parameters, such as \( P_{mm} \) and \( P_{mr} \)) are also summarized in Table 1. For these parameters, the subscripted tacticity symbol to the left of the slash represents the added condition of the stereochromatography at the second diad from the propagating chain end, whereas the subscripted tacticity symbol to the right of the slash represents the stereochromatography of the newly formed radical immediately after the propagating reaction. For example, the parameter \( P_{mm} \) denotes the probability of an \( m \)-addition by an \( r \)-ended radical (\( \sim \sim r \text{M} \)). When the polymer propagation obeys Bernoullian statistics, \( P_{mm} \) will be equal to \( P_{mm} \) and \( P_{mr} \) will be equal to \( P_{mr} \). The equivalent relation, \( P_{mm} + P_{mr} = 1 \), is a useful measure for testing the extent to which the system obeys Bernoullian statistics; the complete deviations from Bernoullian statistics result in the \( (P_{mm} + P_{mr}) \) value of either zero or two. The sums of \( P_{mm} \) and \( P_{mr} \) are listed in Table 1 and were reasonably close to unity, with any deviations from unity being within ~10% on average. These results therefore demonstrate that the stereosequences in the PVPs prepared under the conditions listed in Table 1 almost obey Bernoullian statistics; effectively confirming the afore-mentioned assignments.

We then proceeded to evaluate the precision of the quantification of the integral intensities of the three peaks assigned to \( mm \), \( mr \) and \( rr \). Fig. S1 shows the \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra of the CH\(_2\)C=O (\( \epsilon \)) of the PVP prepared at 0 °C (Table 1, run 6), which were measured at different magnetic strengths of 9.4, 11.7 and 21.6 T, corresponding to \(^1\text{H} \) resonance frequencies of 400, 500 and 920 MHz, respectively. The triad tacticities were determined by the ratio of the integral intensity for each peak to the sum of the intensities of the three peaks belonging to the \( mm \), \( mr \) and \( rr \) stereosequences, and the values are shown in Fig. S1. The intensities of the different peaks were determined by peak deconvolution. The triad tacticities determined at different magnetic fields were found to be in agreement with each other within 2.3%. The differences between the tacticities determined at \(^1\text{H} \) NMR frequencies of 500 and 920 MHz were within 0.7%. Based on these results, it can be concluded that the signals obtained at a \(^1\text{H} \) NMR frequency of 500 MHz are sufficient for the determination of the triad tacticities of PVPs, and this frequency was therefore selected for most of the discussions in this study.

The \(^{13}\text{C} \) NMR spectra of the PVPs with different tacticities were also recorded, and the \(^{13}\text{C} \) NMR spectrum of the PVP prepared in toluene at 0 °C in the presence of L-EtTar was measured in D\(_2\)O at 60 °C (Fig. 2, lower panel). The spectrum of the PVP prepared in water (Supplementary Table S1, run 14) is also shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2. The intensity of the middle peak in the signals belonging to the CH\(_{\text{main}} \) (\( b \)) group was decreased significantly in the spectrum of the PVP prepared in toluene in the presence of L-EtTar, compared with that of the PVP prepared in water. Although the observed splitting of these signals into three peaks might induce one to intuitively assign the three peaks to \( mm \), \( mr \) and \( rr \) triads, a comparison of the intensities for the polymers prepared in toluene and water suggests that these assignments cannot be made in such a simple way, because we would then need to assume an unrealistic preference for the formation of a mixture of isotactic and syndiotactic polymers or the formation of stereoblock polymers in homogeneous radical polymerizations. This therefore implies that the \(^{13}\text{C} \) NMR spectra of the polymers could be affected by long-range stereosequences such as pentads. The simple assignment of the three CH\(_{\text{main}} \) peaks to \( mm \), \( mr \) and \( rr \) triads\(^{14} \) has been adopted by many researchers to date. In another assignment suggested by Dutta and Brar\(^{17} \) for \(^{13}\text{C} \) NMR spectra that had been recorded at 75 MHz in CDCl\(_3\) at 50 °C, the three peaks were assigned to \( mm+mr \), \( rr \) and \( mr \); here the contribution of the \( mr \) triad stereosequence was assigned to the first and the third peaks. These assignments correspond to implicitly and partially taking the pentad stereosequences into account. However, this assignment is particularly ambiguous with respect to the quantitative determination of the triad tacticities, because it is not possible to determine the individual contributions of the \( mm \) and \( mr \) tacticities to the peak intensities.
Product yield and stereoregularity of PVP enhanced by L-EtTar

Table 1 summarizes the results of the radical polymerization of VP in toluene for 24 h in the presence of L-EtTar. For comparison, we have also included the results for the same experiment without the use of L-EtTar. The most remarkable effect of the addition of L-EtTar was observed in the enhancement of the polymer yield at lower temperatures. The yield per 24-h polymerization at a fixed temperature was far greater when the reaction was conducted in the presence of L-EtTar than it was for the corresponding 48-h polymerization process conducted in the absence of L-EtTar. In fact, the yields were 3.6- and 17-fold greater when the reaction was conducted at −20 and −40 °C, respectively (e.g., compare run 3 vs. run 8 and run 4 vs. run 9 in Table 1). The mechanism of the yield enhancing effect of L-EtTar could be attributed to L-EtTar effectively hindering the termination reaction.

One of the greatest advantages associated with being able to reduce the reaction temperature with the aid of L-EtTar is the wide-range control of the stereospecificity of the polymerization reaction. For example, reducing the polymerization temperature from 0 to −93 °C led to an increase in the mm triad content from 36.3% to 66.4% (Table 1, runs 7–13). The polymerization of VP in toluene gave product polymers that were richer in the mm triad, as confirmed by the reaction conducted in the absence of L-EtTar (Table 1, runs 1 and 2). This result contrasted with those of typical radical polymerization reactions, except for those of VP, where monosubstituted unconjugated monomers have been reported to produce atactic polymers almost exclusively.2,12 It is noteworthy that the addition of L-EtTar led to a slight decrease in the mm triad of up to 10% compared with the reactions conducted at fixed temperatures (Table 1, runs 1 vs. 6, 2 vs. 7 and 3 vs. 8). Nevertheless, the largest fraction of mm triad among all of the conditions tested was obtained at the lower temperatures and in the presence of L-EtTar. These results therefore highlight the benefits of employing very low temperatures where the polymerization can only proceed following the introduction of L-EtTar.

The addition of L-EtTar also led to an increase in the molecular weights of the PVPs (Table 1, runs 1 vs. 6 and 2 vs. 7). Furthermore, the molecular weights of the PVPs prepared in the presence of L-EtTar increased slightly as the temperature of the polymerization was reduced to −60 °C (Table 1, runs 7–10). Based on this trend, it was expected that PVPs prepared at temperatures below −60 °C (Table 1, runs 11–13) would have even larger molecular weights. Unfortunately, however, the molecular weights of the polymers prepared at temperatures below −60 °C could not be determined because they were insoluble in the eluent used for SEC experiments (i.e., DMF containing 10 mmol L⁻¹ LiBr). The poor solubility properties of these polymers were attributed to their higher isotacticity compared with the polymers produced at higher temperatures, because polymers with higher levels of stereoregularity are generally less soluble, as evidenced for several other polymers, including poly(N,N-diaklylacrylamide)s and poly(N-alkylacrylamide)s.39,42 The average lengths of the m triad (n₃m) were calculated with $n₃m = (f₃m + f₅m/2)/(f₅m/2)$.43 The threshold $n₃m$ value of ~4 can be used as a rule of thumb for assessing the solubility of PVP for the purpose of SEC.

Analysis of isotactic specificity at low temperatures in terms of overall activation energies

The mechanism leading to the stereoregular PVP was then considered in greater detail using a simple transition-state model. The difference in the activation Gibbs free energies ($G^\ddagger$) for isotactic and syndiotactic propagation, which governs the
stereoselectivity between the m and r diads, can be separated in the contributions of the activation enthalpy ($H^*$) and activation entropy ($S^*$) terms using Fordham’s plots, as shown in Fig. 3. The plots can be fitted to an Arrhenius-type function, which can be expressed as follows:\(^\text{(1)}\)

$$ \ln \left( \frac{P_m}{P_r} \right) = -\frac{\Delta H^* - \Delta H^*}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^* - \Delta S^*}{R} $$

where the i and s subscripts denote the isotactic and syndiotactic propagations, respectively. A large value for the probability ratio $P_m/P_r$ together with a negative value for $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$ corresponds to a high isotactic selectivity. Because the current polymer satisfactorily obeys Beroullian statistics, the probabilities $P_m$ and $P_r$ for the single-unit propagation can be regarded as being the same as the fractions $f_m$ and $f_r$. The fractions $f_m$ and $f_r$ were calculated from the triad tacticities, which were determined directly from the \(^1\)H NMR spectra (Table 1) using the following simple relations: $f_m = f_{mm} + f_{mr}/2$ and $f_r = f_{rm} + f_{rr}/2$.

The difference in the Gibbs free energy, $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$, revealed a negative value of $-2.1 \pm 0.4$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the VP polymerization reaction conducted in the presence of L-EtTar at $-90$ °C (Table 2). This large negative value for $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$ occurred as a consequence of an even more negative value of $\Delta H^* - \Delta H^*$. The entropy term, $-T(\Delta S^* - \Delta S^*)$, was positive and in favor of the syndiotactic specificity. Nevertheless, the enthalpy term overwhelmed the entropy term, which resulted in the contributions of the activation enthalpy ($H^*$) for the polymerization reaction conducted in the presence of L-EtTar, which was similar to the result observed for PVP. In contrast to these two polymerization reactions, the $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$ value for the vinyl acetate (VAc) polymerization\(^9\) was positive in nonafluoro-tert-butanol ((CF$_3$)$_3$COH). This difference in the signs of the polymers was attributed to differences in their enthalpy contributions, with the enthalpy favoring the r diad for VAc and the m diad for the other polymers. Furthermore, the enthalpy term made the greatest contribution to the Gibbs free energy of all of the polymers considered in this comparison. The order of the absolute values of the $\Delta H^* - \Delta H^*$ and $-T(\Delta S^* - \Delta S^*)$ terms for the three polymerizations were of the order VP > NVA > VAc. The absolute values of the $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$ terms for the three polymerizations were similar because of the partial cancellation of the enthalpy and entropy terms for each polymerization. These differences between the polymerizations can therefore be attributed to differences in the size and conformational freedom properties of the side chain structures of the monomers (i.e., cyclic amide in VP, acyclic amide in NVA and acyclic ester in VAc). For the polymerization conditions containing no tartrate or alcohol solvent (i.e., the NVA polymerization in neat toluene and the VAc polymerization conducted in the bulk VAc), the $\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*$ values were almost zero in both cases, which resulted in the formation of atactic polymers. These results therefore further highlighted the importance of the tartrates to the stereoselectivity of the polymerization process.

![Fordham's plots](image)

**Fig. 3** Fordham’s plots of $P_m/P_r$, $P_{mr}/P_{rr}$ and $P_{mm}/P_{rr}$ for the VP polymerization in toluene at low temperatures in the presence of L-EtTar. The data at $-93$ °C have been excluded from the linear fitting (dashed lines) to obtain the activation parameters.

**Hydrogen-bonding interactions between VP and L-EtTar**
To develop a deeper understanding of why the addition of L-EtTar led to such a dramatic increase in the yield of PVP, we investigated the formation of hydrogen-bonding interactions between the VP monomer and L-EtTar by examining changes in the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of VP and L-EtTar following their mixing. Fig. 4 shows the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the C=O carbons in an equimolar mixture of VP and L-EtTar in toluene-$d_8$ at $-60^\circ$C. The $^{13}$C chemical shifts of VP and L-EtTar were highly sensitive to the formation of hydrogen bonds between these two species, which led to significant changes in their intra- and intermolecular electron distributions. The signal belonging to the amide C=O carbon of the VP monomer showed a large downfield shift of 1.15 ppm when it was mixed with L-EtTar. In contrast, the signal belonging to the ester C=O carbon in L-EtTar showed an upfield shift of 0.27 ppm following its mixing with VP. This upfield shift in the signals belonging the ester C=O carbons in L-EtTar was attributed to the reorganization of the hydrogen bonds from those associated with the self-aggregation of L-EtTar, which formed between the ester C=O and hydroxyl groups of L-EtTar, to the formation of hydrogen bonds between VP and L-EtTar. In contrast, the large downfield shift was observed for the C=O carbon of VP, suggested that this group had formed two hydrogen bonds. These results were consistent with our previous study on DMAAm, where the formation of a 1:1 complex with tartrate occurred through a double hydrogen-bonding interactions, which was confirmed by the examination of the maximum position of the Job’s plot.25 The $^1$H NMR spectra of VP, L-EtTar and an equimolar mixture of these two components are shown in Fig. S2. The signal for the hydroxyl group (E) of L-EtTar exhibited a downfield shift. Furthermore, the down-field-shifted signals of the methine protons (C) in L-EtTar were split into two signals when this material was mixed with VP. This splitting most likely occurred as a consequence of the coupling between the methine protons and the hydroxyl protons, because the chemical exchange of these protons would be restricted following the formation of the hydrogen bonds.

These results corroborate the formation of a complex between VP and L-EtTar, which would be stabilized by double hydrogen bonds in a similar manner to those previously reported for the NVA-tartrate$^{10}$ and DMAAm-tartrate$^{25}$ complexes. The formation of this complex would therefore allow for the successful preparation of isotactic-rich PVPs via a radical polymerization reaction at low temperatures. The mechanism for the yield enhancement could be explained in terms of the termination step being hindered by the formation of the double hydrogen-bonding interaction. The proposed mechanism for the termination reaction is illustrated in Scheme S1. According to this scheme, termination would be achieved by the bimolecular interaction of the propagating radicals. The propagating radical species would therefore become sterically more encumbered when it formed a hydrogen-bonding complex with L-EtTar, which would prevent close contact between the reactive sites and prevent the two bulky propagating radicals from undergoing the terminating reaction.

High-resolution $^1$H NMR measurement for PVP

High-temperature measurement. High temperature NMR measurements provide a powerful approach for improving the spectral resolution and peak separation of polymers by taking advantage of the motional narrowing at elevated temperatures.38 The polymers synthesized in the current study were subjected to high-temperature NMR measurements using the specially-designed NMR probe.29 In this particular study, we
were interested in assessing the extent to which the peaks for the polymers become narrower as a result of the enhanced molecular motions at high temperatures. $^1$H NMR spectra were obtained at temperatures ranging from 5 to 250 °C in three different solvents, including CDCl$_3$, DMSO-$d_6$ and D$_2$O. Of the three solvents tested, the most significant spectral changes following increases in the temperature elevation were observed in D$_2$O. The spectra obtained at different temperatures in D$_2$O are shown in Fig. 5.

The most noticeable temperature dependence was observed for the signals of the CH$_{2,c-o}$ (e) groups. The signals of CH$_{2,c-o}$ (e) groups showed clear three-line splitting at temperatures below 60 °C. Increasing the temperature to 250 °C led to a significant narrowing in the width of each split peak. The peak positions and intensities varied with temperature, with the three peaks observed at lower temperatures appearing to coalesce into two peaks at higher temperatures. The narrowing of the peaks with temperature was also observed for the signals of the CH$_{2,main}$ (a) and CH$_{main}$ (b) groups.

Factors leading to the observed changes in the shape of the signal for the CH$_{2,c-o}$ (e) groups can be summarized as follows. The narrowing of the peak widths occurred as expected at high temperatures because of the accelerated kinetic motions. The apparent coalescence of three peaks into two peaks reflects the enhanced random side-chain (and possibly main-chain) motions, which would make it difficult to distinguish the different stereosequences from each other. Furthermore, the separation between the two dominant peaks, which were assigned as the mm and mr triads, would become smaller with increasing temperature, as shown in Fig. S3. This reduction in the separation between the two dominant peaks would most likely occur as a consequence of motional narrowing and fluctuations in the chemical shifts, which would be controlled by the temperature-dependent state of the hydrogen bonding between the C=O groups and the solvent D$_2$O molecules. These competing factors would therefore result in the remarkable simplification of the NMR spectra at a subcritical temperature of 250 °C.

The spectra in the other two solvents CDCl$_3$ and DMSO-$d_6$ are shown in Figs. S4 and S5, respectively. In CDCl$_3$, the spectral patterns changed slightly when the temperature was increased from 150 to 200 °C, as shown in Fig. S4. New signals appeared at 1.22, 1.35 and 2.88 ppm when the $^1$H NMR in CDCl$_3$ was recorded at 250 °C. When the sample tube was taken out of the NMR apparatus after the measurement at 250 °C, the PVP solution in CDCl$_3$ had become slightly brown in color and some of the PVP had precipitated from the solution. In contrast, the sample solution remained colorless when the D$_2$O was used as the solvent for the NMR analysis at 250 °C and the PVP remained in solution. These observations suggested that the PVP was decomposing and/or undergoing some type of cross-linking reaction in CDCl$_3$ at 250 °C. In DMSO-$d_6$, the PVP solution became slightly colored following the high-temperature NMR measurement at 250 °C, although the PVP material remained dissolved in solution. The signals for the CH$_{2,c-o}$ (e) group showed an obvious temperature dependence in DMSO-$d_6$, which was similar to that observed in D$_2$O (Fig. S5). The chemical-shift separation between the peaks for the mm and mr triads was larger in DMSO-$d_6$ than it was in D$_2$O over the whole range of temperatures investigated (Fig. S3). Despite this larger separation in DMSO-$d_6$, D$_2$O was selected as the best solvent for the determination of the triad fractions, because the peaks for the mr and rr triads in DMSO-$d_6$ overlapped with the signals of the middle methylene groups (d) of the trimethylene groups of the side chain. More importantly, the narrowing effect on the peak widths realized by temperature elevation was far larger than those obtained by the choice of solvent or decreasing the polymer concentration, as seen in Fig. S3.

It is noteworthy that the CH$_{2,c-o}$ (e) group exhibited the largest temperature change, even though this group was three bond lengths and therefore the furthest distance away from the stereogenic center (site b). A similar tendency was also observed for poly(methyl methacrylate), where the signals belonging to the methoxy groups, which were three bond lengths and therefore the furthest away from the stereogenic centers, exhibited a larger temperature dependence than those of the a-methyl groups, which were directly attached to the stereogenic centers, when measured in aromatic solvents such as benzene.
Optimal conditions for the measurement of the peak separation/resolution. The effects of the NMR measurement conditions (i.e., temperature and static-magnetic-field strength) were also investigated, in terms of their impact on the separation and resolution of the peaks for triad stereosequences, to determine the optimal conditions for the NMR measurements. The extent to which the peaks were separated was evaluated on the basis of what we call the peak separation/resolution criteria. To measure the separation and resolution properties of the neighboring peaks A and B, we used the ratio of the height of the valley point to the average of the heights of the two peaks according to the following formula: \( \Phi_{A-B} = \frac{H_{A-B}}{(H_A + H_B)/2} \), where \( H_{A,B} \) denotes the height of the valley point between peaks A and B, and \( H_A \) and \( H_B \) denote the heights of peaks A and B, respectively. These definitions are illustrated in Fig. S6. By definition, the \( \Phi \) value is bounded between zero and unity, where the \( \Phi \) values of zero and unity correspond to complete base-line separation and the complete overlap of the two peaks, respectively.

The dependence of \( \Phi \) on the measurement temperature is plotted in Fig. 6. At temperatures in the range of 5 to 40 °C, both \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) and \( \Phi_{mr-rr} \) decreased with increasing temperature, most likely because of the broadening of the peak at the lower temperatures was reduced with the temperature increment. At temperatures greater than 60 °C, it was only possible to evaluate \( \Phi \) for the \( mm-mm \) pair because the \( rr \) peak merged into the \( mm \) peak above 60 °C, as shown in Fig. 5. The temperature dependence of \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) turned over at ~40 °C and the \( \Phi \) value then increased with increasing temperature. The \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) value at 920 MHz was reduced to the same level as the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) value at 400 MHz. Although a \( ^1 \)H NMR frequency of 500 MHz was completely satisfactory for the quantitative discussions provided in the current study, the use of ultra-high-field NMR would be highly beneficial for polymer samples requiring extensive peak deconvolution processes, such as syndiotactic-rich PVPs, which will be investigated in detail as part of our future work.

![Fig. 6](image-url)  
**Fig. 6** Temperature dependences of the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) and \( \Phi_{mr-rr} \) values from the 500 MHz \( ^1 \)H NMR spectra of PVP (Table 1, run 6) in D₂O.

The \( \Phi \) values for the PVPs with different tacticities were also plotted against temperature, and these plots are shown in Fig. S7. The \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) values were heavily dependent on the tacticity of the PVPs, whereas the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) values were almost independent of the tacticity. This difference was attributed to the peaks assigned to the \( mr \) triad overlapping to a much greater extent with those of the \( rr \) triad than the \( mm \) triad. The \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) showed a minimum at 25 °C for runs 14 and 15, and it was therefore decided that 25 °C was the optimum temperature for determining the tacticities of the PVPs based on their \( ^1 \)H NMR spectra.

The extent to which recording the NMR measurements at a higher magnetic field could improve the peak separations was also investigated. A comparison of the \( ^1 \)H NMR spectra of the PVPs obtained at 400, 500 and 920 MHz is shown in Fig. S1. The \( \Phi \) values were plotted against the magnetic field, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. This plot clearly shows that the peak separation/resolution improved with increasing magnetic field strength. In particular, the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) value at 920 MHz was reduced to the same level as the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) value at 400 MHz. Although a \( ^1 \)H NMR frequency of 500 MHz was completely satisfactory for the quantitative discussions provided in the current study, the use of ultra-high-field NMR would be highly beneficial for polymer samples requiring extensive peak deconvolution processes, such as syndiotactic-rich PVPs, which will be investigated in detail as part of our future work.

![Fig. 7](image-url)  
**Fig. 7** Relationship between the \( \Phi_{mr-mm} \) and \( \Phi_{mr-rr} \) values and the resonance frequency in the \( ^1 \)H NMR spectra of PVP (Table 1, run 6, in D₂O at 25 °C, 1.0 wt%).

Conclusions

The effect of L-EtTar on the radical polymerization of VP in toluene at low temperatures has been investigated. The addition of L-EtTar led to dramatic improvements in the PVP yield, most likely because of its ability to inhibit the terminating reaction through steric hindrance arising from the formation of a hydrogen-bonded complex. Furthermore, the isotacticity of the PVP increased as the polymerization temperature decreased, and PVP with an \( mm \) value of 66.4% was obtained at the lowest temperature studied of ~93 °C. To the best of our knowledge, this work therefore represents the first reported example of the synthesis of isotactic-rich PVP.

The optimal conditions for the \( ^1 \)H NMR measurement of PVP were determined following a series of screening experiments and we subsequently succeeded in assigning the CH₂,C=O signal split into three peaks. These new \( ^1 \)H assignments allowed us to establish a methodology for determining the different fractions of the triad stereosequences for PVPs. The NMR-measurement temperature that gave the best peak separation was determined to be in the vicinity of room temperature. High-temperature NMR measurements showed that the signals coalesced and that the spectra were dramatically simplified in hot water at 250 °C. This result...
encouraged us to apply high-temperature NMR methods to polymers whose spectra were too complicated to be deconvoluted by means of conventional procedures (e.g., copolymers). Our future work in this area will focus on the development of methods for determining monomer reactivity ratios from a single copolymer sample.\textsuperscript{47}
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**Notes and references**

32. The conventional calibration procedure with polystyrene standards we employed here is sufficiently precise for the present purpose of the rough relative comparison of the effect of the addition of L-EtTar because, as listed in Table 1, the differences observed between the polymers synthesized in the presence and absence of L-EtTar far exceed the technical uncertainties of the conventional SEC method. Further details of the calibration procedures of SEC were described in Refs. 36 and 37.