Detail glucose fluctuation and variability by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM)

Abstract
Authors and colleagues have continued clinical diabetic research in the light of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) and M value. M value is calculated from the daily profile of blood glucose, and it indicates the total numerical value of two factors, which are average blood glucose and mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE). The case is 53 years old female with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), who was on multiple daily insulin injection (MDI). Using FreeStyle Libre, blood glucose was studied for 14 days. Average blood glucose in a day was 169 mg/dL, 163 mg/dL, 164 mg/dL and 166 mg/dL in day 3, 5, 9, 11, respectively with almost same level. In contrast, the levels of the M value distributed widely from 12.5 to 98.3. A discrepancy of HbA1c was found between estimated value and laboratory data on out clinic, which was 7.4% and 8.0%, respectively. These results suggest that there would be rather large difference in MAGE even though the average blood glucose was almost the same in the 4 days. Furthermore, this investigation would be beneficial for check the detail blood glucose variability associated with various activities, and for better and stable control of blood glucose in T1DM.
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Introduction
Recently, diabetes mellitus has been the crucial medical problems across the world. In Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM), hypoglycemic episodes have sometimes occurred, which has to be checked and resolved. Among them, continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has been introduced and developed for some years, and recent report shows further efficacy concerning detecting and preventing hypoglycemic episodes. The system of CGM shows a variety of effects and influences. Higher benefit of CGM showed lower diabetes distress, higher self-efficacy and other positive attitudes toward technology. Similary, CGM has been also applied to Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), which seems to be an effective strategy to optimize glucose variability. In case of low carbohydrate diet (LCD) program, CGM can be helpful for making target of better glycemic control with minimal professional support. As to the development of CGM, it could clarify the actual glucose variability and improve glycemic control in children and adults with T1DM. There are several beneficial points of real time CGM (rtCGM) in the cases who can wear CGM devices more frequently and can respond appropriately according to the glucose data provided. Thus, treatment using rtCGM associated with multiple daily insulin injection (MDI) confers similar or greater benefits for glycemic profile. Especially, CGM has been clinically important for T1DM on multiple daily injections of insulin (MDI). CGM shows the potential benefits of effective use of glycemic rate of change (ROC) arrows for the giving dose adjustments of insulin.

On the other hand, diabetes has to be treated by adequate glycemic treatment, for which there are some standard guidelines. For diabetic therapy, nutritional treatment has been the fundamental therapy, and various dietary therapies have been reported so far. Among them, well-known methods in calorie restriction (CR), and low-carbohydrate diet (LCD), Mediterranean diet and others. For clinical application, LCD has been recently in focus. Various comparison reports were found between CR and LCD. Formerly, CR had been standard, but Bernstein and others recently initiated LCD some decades ago. Successively, Dietary Intervention Randomized Controlled Trial (DIRECT) Group and others reported the efficacy of LCD. Thus, the nutrition method of LCD has been prevalent in North American and European countries. On the other hand, authors and co-workers have firstly reported LCD in Japan. Furthermore, authors have continued clinical research concerning LCD for years. We have reported the correlation between daily profile of blood glucose and M value, and continued clinical research and social movement of LCD through of Japan LCD promotion association (JLCDPA). Furthermore, we have studied glucose variability in diabetic patients using CGM. As mentioned above, we have combined our research of CGM and M value together, and describe a case report in this article.

Case report
History of present illness: The subject was 53 years old female patient with T1DM. She has been treated on insulin for 9 years. Her diabetic control has been fair or in the moderate level so far. Her control of glucose variability has been rather stable, she sometimes has the experience of hyperglycemic and hypoglycemic episodes. The range of her glucose profile has been rather wider from 58 mg/dL to 382 mg/dL. As her glucose variability revealed rather unstable situation, further detail analysis of glucose profile seemed to be necessary. Then, she was given to check the precise movement of glucose data provided.
her blood glucose by using FreeStyle Libre. This apparatus has been developed for detail analysis of glucose variability and been prevalent in the medical diabetic practice in Japan.

**Current physical status:** The consciousness has been in alert without any consciousness problem due to hyperglycemia. Her current physical status has been normal without any abnormality. Her vital signs are unremarkable. She showed normal physical examination without any diabetic neurological complication such as any motor or sensory disorders. Her body mass index (BMI) has been 21.1–21.3 kg/m².

**Laboratory examination:** The patient showed the laboratory examination in the following. The standard biochemical data were: GOT 17U/mL, GPT 24U/mL, r-GTP 22U/mL, BUN 17mg/dL, Cre 0.7mg/dL, Uric Acid 6.2mg/dL, TP 6.9g/dL, Alb 4.3g/dL, HDL 35mg/dL, LDL 97mg/dL, TG 167mg/dL. Hb 13.4g/dL, RBC 4.32x10¹²/μL, WBC 6600/μL, Ph 27.5x10⁹/μL. Data related diabetes were Hba1c 8.0%, post-prandial glucose 254mg/dL.

**Treatment with insulin:** The patient with T1DM has been recently treated with insulin therapy for some period. The insulin administration is using 2 kinds of insulin, which is called as multiple daily insulin injection (MDI). The detail method has been in the following: insulin Glargine (Eli Lilly and Company) is given once a day at night, and Novo rapid (Novo Nordisk) is given three times a day just before the meal. As to two kinds of insulin, the former has been Insulin Glargine by BS injection kit FFP including 300 units/mL, and the latter has been Insulin Aspart by pre-filled pen including 100 units/mL. This schedule of the insulin administration per day was that Glargine is given 14 units on 2200h and Aspart is given 24, 22, 21 units on just before 0800h, 1200h, 1800h.

**Methods**

**Blood variability**

The patient received the detail investigation of CGM using FreeStyle Libre (Abbott, USA) in July 2019. The daily profiles of blood glucose for continuous 14 days have been studied. She had felt no particular specific signs or symptoms during 14 days, which were related to hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia and others related to diabetes.

**Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM)**

In the actual medical practice, CGM system has been prevalent as a sensor-based device in the diabetic patients. Most popular device has been the FreeStyle Libre, which was produced by Abbott Diabetes Care Inc., Alameda, CA, USA. As it showed reliable clinical trials for long years, the evaluation has been simple and useful for detecting the minute movement of blood glucose. Its practice is beneficial for convenient and precise, associated with its small size.

**M value**

Authors have investigated the detail relationship between Morbus value (M value) and glucose variability in various situations for long years. When it is necessary to clarify the profile of blood glucose, the measurement of blood glucose has been performed 7 times from morning until night. Standard times were 08, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19 and 22h. According to the given data of blood glucose 7 times a day, blood glucose in average and M value can be calculated by the calculation equation of the formula on M value. M value has been used for the evaluation of the useful biomarker for glucose variability. It can show the combined tendency of two valuable meaning. One is the degree of the average blood glucose during the daytime, and another is the width of the glucose fluctuation, showing the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE). The both data obtained can speculate the general glucose variability in a day. Consequently, M value can be expressed for one numerical value. It means two valuable tendencies. They are i) the degree of elevated blood glucose in average, and ii) is the increased swinging of the variability of blood glucose. By applying the mathematic method, we can calculate M value for the equation of the logarithmic transformation.

Regarding the significance of M value, it can be estimated to be the degree of the glucose deviation from the ideal blood glucose profile in a day. The method for calculating the M value has three steps. The first one reveals the basal equation, that is M=WBSBS=WBS=WBS, M value means the total value of both MBS and also M. The second reveals the M, which shows (maximum blood glucose−minimum glucose)/20. The last one represents that MBS is the mean of MBBSBS. Then, summarizing these into one equation, MBBSBS has been the individual M-value for each blood glucose. The ideal level of blood glucose is estimated as 120 mg/dL. Consequently, the equation would be calculated as (absolute value of [10×log (blood glucose level/120)])². In general, there is usual evaluation for the glucose variability in the light of elevated M value. As to the result of M value, the standard normal range is less than 180, and the borderline would be 180 and more than 180 and less than 320, and abnormal range is thought to be 320 and more than 320.

**Statistical analysis**

In this study, obtained data were shown using mean and standard deviation (SD). As to the daily profile of blood glucose, the data are expressed by mean and SD. When calculating the M value, the standard equation for M value was used. In this case, 7 data of glucose were inserted the equation and M value was calculated. We have used the computerized standard statistical tool, and also standard textbook of the statistics for supporting the calculation.

**Results**

**Daily profile of blood glucose**

By FreeStyle Libre, the results of the glucose profile on day 3, day 5, day 9 and day 11 were shown in Figure 1. The average glucose level for 24 hours calculated by FreeStyle Libre was almost the same as 169mg/dL, 163mg/dL, 164mg/dL and 166mg/dL, respectively. However, the pattern of glucose profile in these 4 days was different. Estimated Hba1c from the blood glucose calculated by FreeStyle Libre was 7.4% or 57 mmol/mol. On the other hand, Hba1c during the same period measured in the outpatient clinic was 8.0% or 61 mmol/mol. There is a discrepancy between these data.

**Analysis of M value**

In current study, the daily profile of blood glucose for 4 days was picked up for further evaluation (Table 1). Average blood glucose for all day was automatically shown by FreeStyle Libre, which was described in (Table 1). On the other hand, average blood glucose from M value was calculated from blood variability at seven points from morning to night. The comparison of the average blood glucose between by FreeStyle Libre and by M value was conducted. The former showed similar data in 4 days, which are around 163-169mg/dL. However,
the latter showed rather broad distribution from 150 to 187mg/dL. Furthermore, M value also showed rather broad distribution from 12.5 to 98.3, indicating that larger differences were present in the 4 days. This suggests that there would be a large difference in mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) even though the average value is similar.
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**Figure 1** Results of daily profile of blood glucose by CGM.

**Table 1** Comparison between CGM and M value calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average glucose on CGM (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Average glucose on M value (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Standard deviation on M value (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Results of M value by calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 11</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

This report showed the detail evaluation in the light of glucose variability by CGM. Patient has some unstable control associated with irregular schedule of lifestyle, physiologically and psychologically. Using FreeStyle Libre, daily profile of blood variability was studied for 14 days. The analysis of glucose variability by FreeStyle Libre seemed to be beneficial, because precise fluctuation of glucose was clarified. There is a description of CGM recommendations in the guideline-2019, which is to make better glycemic control without an increase in hypoglycemia or severe hypoglycemia. It proposed that benefit would correlate with adherence to ongoing use of the device, associated with the level of evidence as rank A. CGM devices can measure interstitial glucose level and have the advantage of giving precise glucose readings, where there is a new generation of implantable variability. In addition, CGM has developed improvement in glucose variability, reduction of hypoglycemia and cost-effectiveness. Clinical practice guidelines have been recently proposed the criteria for CGM use by the endocrine society. According to the report of 11 RCTs on CGM for T1DM, CGM can alter HbA1c (95% CI) by -0.28% (-0.47, -0.09), and reduce the risk of hypoglycemia, particularly in case of lower HbA1c levels. As to CGM, beneficial key points include examining frequency, current trends, alarms, therapy optimization and diagnosis. Furthermore, the guideline of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) showed several important points. They include point accuracy, trend accuracy, alarms, the stability of the sensor, calibration, time lag and traceability. It has been known that there is a divergence between the HbA1c value estimated by Libre and the HbA1c measured at the outpatient. In current study, the former was 7.4% and the latter was 8.0%, which has a difference. This tendency seems to be partly due to the fact that CGM cannot detect occasional spike-like elevation of blood glucose. Another reason would be that blood glucose is measured in every 15 minutes for FreeStyle Libre, which cannot detect minute changes. Furthermore, lower glucose tends to show in lower range of blood glucose less than 100mg/dL on Libre system. Consequently, further evaluation with various research accumulations would be expected in the future.

There are accuracy, safety and user acceptability of the FreeStyle libre System for 89 pediatric patients with T1DM. On the other hand, mean difference (SD) was reported to be -43.4 (20)mg/dL, using Libre System for 89 pediatric patients with T1DM. It has been known that there is a divergence between the HbA1c value estimated by Libre and the HbA1c measured at the outpatient. In current study, the former was 7.4% and the latter was 8.0%, which has a difference. This tendency seems to be partly due to the fact that CGM cannot detect occasional spike-like elevation of blood glucose. Another reason would be that blood glucose is measured in every 15 minutes for FreeStyle Libre, which cannot detect minute changes. Furthermore, lower glucose tends to show in lower range of blood glucose less than 100mg/dL on Libre system. Consequently, further evaluation with various research accumulations would be expected in the future.

In summary, blood glucose variability was investigated by FreeStyle Libre in patients with T1DM. CGM application could clarify the detail glucose variability, which would be effective for improving diabetic control. Investigation of M value showed the wider distributed values, indicating unstable glucose variability such as hyperglycemia. Currently obtained results would be one of the reference data for study of CGM and M value in the future.

Acknowledgment

Authors would like to express our gratitude for understanding and cooperation to the patient and related staffs concerning this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

References


