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Mass spectrometry-based methods for analysing the mitochondrial interactome in mammalian cells

Protein–protein interactions are essential biologic processes that occur at inter- and intracellular levels. To gain insight into the various complex cellular functions of these interactions, it is necessary to assess them under physiologic conditions. Recent advances in various proteomic technologies allow to investigate protein–protein interaction networks in living cells. The combination of proximity-dependent labelling and chemical cross-linking will greatly enhance our understanding of multi-protein complexes that are difficult to prepare, such as organelle-bound membrane proteins. In this review, we describe our current understanding of mass spectrometry-based proteomics mapping methods for elucidating organelle-bound membrane protein complexes in living cells, with a focus on protein–protein interactions in mitochondrial subcellular compartments.
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Co-immunoprecipitation, yeast two-hybrid assay, or surface plasmon resonance approaches are commonly used to investigate the interactions between proteins of interest in the fields of cell biology and biochemistry. These approaches have various advantages and disadvantages, and care must be taken to ensure that the selected methods are optimal for addressing the specific experimental aims of the study. For analysis of the interactions between organelle-bound membrane protein complexes, such as mitochondrial proteins, it is particularly important to prepare the protein components such that the structures, topologies and functions remain intact. Various kinds of living cells, including yeasts and tissue culture, are used for studying interactomes among membrane-associated materials.

Rapid progress in the field of bio-imaging has led to the wide use of fluorescent or bioluminescent proteins such as green fluorescent protein (GFP) and luciferase as reporters for monitoring intermolecular interactions, such as by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (1, 2) and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) (3). Although the behaviour of fluorophore fusion proteins used in resonance energy transfer (RET) analysis may not precisely reflect that of the endogenous proteins (validation is needed), these systems are advantageous for monitoring interaction events of interest in living cells without considering the preparation issues mentioned above. Several issues regarding these systems, however, must be considered. For example, FRET requires an external light source to excite the fluorescent proteins, and the laser pulse often causes photobleaching of the cell materials. The BRET system eliminates this problem because the light is emitted by a donor moiety and occurs as a result of a chemical reaction (i.e. a natural resonance energy transfer process that occurs as a result of enzymatic activity via luciferase), but the overall BRET signal can be extremely weak relative to that of FRET. Another minor issue for FRET is that the photobleaching causes some intracellular proteins to autofluoresce, thereby increasing the background noise, which decreases the signal-to-noise ratio. Finally, another limitation of RET techniques is that the stoichiometry of the components is less than a few molecules even when using a split protomer (e.g. split GFP) (4).

Other approaches are therefore needed to resolve these issues for the analysis of protein–protein interactions in multi-protein complexes. Here, we describe several mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics methods that are useful for elucidating organelle-bound membrane protein complexes in living cells.
with a focus on protein–protein interactions in mitochondrial subcellular compartments.

**Proximity-dependent biotin identification**

Proximity-dependent labelling methods in living cells were recently developed to address several of the concerns and limitations mentioned above (5). The techniques are powerful and ideal tools for evaluating a network of protein–protein interactions under physiologic conditions, especially for detecting low-affinity and/or transient associations, such as interactomes involved in signal transduction. A proximity-based biotin labelling method, proximity-dependent biotin identification (BioID), was established by Roux et al. (6). The basic principle of the BioID system is that a protein of interest (termed bait) that harbours a promiscuous biotin ligase (BirA R118G mutant, from *Escherichia coli*) catalyses the biotinylation of nearby endogenous proteins with lysine side chains (within ∼10 nm) after the addition of a biotin supplement to the tissue culture medium (5, 6) (Fig. 1A, specialized protocol for mitochondrial interactomes). Because biotinylation of the targets is a covalent modification process, these biotin-labelled proteins are resistant to stringent cell lysis treatment with the protein extraction and washing process, and affinity purification (such as streptavidin beads). As a result, BioID coupled with MS analysis reveals biotin-labelled proteins and allows for mapping of protein–protein interaction networks with high spatial resolution in living cells (6). Further, targeting of the nearby proteins can be enhanced by using a smaller type of biotin ligase (from *Aquifex aeolicus*; BioID2) to fuse the bait protein, which also reduces amount of biotin supplementation that is required (7).

The BioID2 assay was applied to elucidate a mitochondrial macromolecular complex in mammalian cells; BirA from *A. aeolicus* was fused to the C-terminal of prohibitin 2 (PHB2), an inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM)-bound protein (8). It is important to consider the effects of the BirA fusion tag at either the N- or C-termini on the biologic activity and the organelle localization in the target protein, particularly mitochondrial proteins (due to the potential masking of the mitochondrial targeting sequence). BirA fused to PHB2 behaved in an almost identical manner to the intact protein and biotinylated a large number of

---

**Fig. 1** Applying the BioID assay to elucidate protein interaction in mitochondrial complexes. (A) Schematic view of the BioID method in mitochondrial proteins. Cultured cells expressing proteins of interest (Bait) fused with the promiscuous BirA are incubated with biotin for 18 h. The mitochondrial fraction isolated from the cells is then lysed, and the extracted biotinylated proteins are affinity-purified followed by tryptic digestion and identification by LC-MS/MS. (B) Volcano plot showing PHB2 versus control (non-bait) plotted against the P-value of quadruplicate results. Box in the figure shows significantly increased proteins (abundance ratio > 5, P < 0.0001), and the most enriched proteins are labelled in the right enlarged view. This figure is reproduced from a previous paper (8). (C) Model of PHB complexes in mitochondria. PHB complexes localized in IMM seem to assemble with MIB complex that subassembles with MICOS subcomplex and SAM. The candidates identified by the BioID assay in (B) are coloured in red. Note that the scheme does not show information on the stoichiometry.
mitochondrial proteins (8). MS proteomic analysis mapping revealed significant enrichment of a select group of mitochondrial proteins, including its PHB1 isoform, mitochondrial contact site and cristae organizing system (MICOS) subcomplex (9), and sorting and assembly machinery (SAM) (10) in the PHB2-BirA fraction (Fig. 1B), showing the assembly of PHB2 with the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) bridging (MIB) complex (11, 12) (Fig. 1C).

BioID methods have been successfully applied to reveal associations between membrane proteins that exhibit a wide range of subcellular localizations, not only in the mitochondria but also in the nuclear lamina (6, 13), cell–cell junctions (14) and endoplasmic reticulum (15). Although the BioID approach is potentially useful for elucidating subcellular protein–protein interactions in living cells, the system has some inherent limitations. If the topology of the bait and target face the opposite direction across the membrane, the catalytic activity from the BirA may not reach the target side. Further, if the surface of the target molecule proximate to the bait lacks lysine residues, the approach may fail.

Cross-linking coupled with MS

Chemical cross-linking is a classical approach used to freeze protein complexes, especially for capturing...
transient protein–protein interactions. The use of membrane-permeable cross-linkers allows the reagents to permeate into the cellular compartments, and in combination with MS (cross-linking coupled with MS: XL-MS) (16, 17) provides vital insight into the spatial arrangement of protein complexes in organelles at the subunit level. XL-MS is challenging, however, because of the complex fragmentation pattern of cross-linked peptides, which frequently prevents unambiguous identification of the cross-linked peptides. MS-cleavable cross-linkers, such as disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO; containing cleavable C–S bonds) or disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea (DSBU; containing cleavable C–N bonds) (Fig. 2A), are recent
innovations (18). The advantages of these MS-cleavable cross-linkers is that their cleavage (in the gas phase during MS) generates distinguishable ion doublets that enable the cleaved products to be identified with a database search (16, 19) (Fig. 2B). A number of dynamic interactions of the ribosome have been successfully captured using this method in HeLa cells (16).

Aiming to elucidate at mitochondrial interactomes, Bruce et al. (20, 21) expanded the XL-MS method in mitochondria isolated from several murine tissues and revealed a large-scale mitochondrial proteome, including MICOS and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) complexes. Heck et al. (22) also identified multiple stoichiometries and conformations of OXPHOS supercomplexes in intact mitochondria from murine heart. XL-MS has further provided important insight into the spatial arrangement of the OXPHOS supercomplexes in intact mitochondria (mentioned in the above section). XL-MS of mitochondria isolated from human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells with DSBU revealed a number of unique Lys-Lys cross-links in the PHB complexes (8). The activated PINK1 concentration at the OMM, where interactions with the translocase of the outer mitochondrial membrane (TOM) occur, substantially confirmed by isobaric tandem mass tag (TMT) labelling combined with phosphopeptide enrichment and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The TMT method (27) allows for simultaneous identification and quantification of chemically labelled peptides from up to 11 samples labelled at the peptide level (Fig. 3A). Phosphoproteomic analysis of parental and PINK1-deficient HeLa cells quantified 31,286 unique phosphopeptides and revealed a PINK1-dependent increase in the ubiquitin peptide containing phosphorylated Ser65 (Fig. 3B). Thus, global large-scale quantitative phosphoproteomics is a useful strategy for analysing kinase-substrate interactions (29, 30).

Future perspectives

MS-based proteomic methods are ideal for increasing the number of molecules analysed in protein–protein interactions when elucidating multi-protein complexes. A novel technique, using peroxidase-based proximity labelling was also developed and one approach, known as engineered ascorbate peroxidase, generates a snapshot of proximate proteins with a rapid labelling time (within a few minutes) (31, 32).

This review provides several examples of the application of proteomic analysis to examine intermolecular interactions that have been advanced mainly in mitochondrial proteins. Applications to other organelle-bound molecules or cytoplasmic proteins as well as for studies of complexes in higher organisms (e.g. mice) are highly anticipated.
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