Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Review article

Japanese Dental Science Review

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jdsr



Managements of sleep bruxism in adult: A systematic review

Hajime Minakuchi^{a,*}, Masanori Fujisawa^b, Yuka Abe^c, Takashi Iida^d, Kyosuke Oki^e, Kazuo Okura^f, Norimasa Tanabe^g, Akira Nishiyama^h

^a Department of Oral Rehabilitation and Regenerative Medicine, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Japan

^b Division of Fixed Prosthodontics, Department of Restorative & Biomaterials Sciences, Meikai University School of Dentistry, Japan

^c Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Showa University, Japan

^d Department of Oral Function and Fixed Prosthodontics, Nihon University School of Dentistry at Matsudo, Japan

^e Section of Fixed Prosthodontics, Division of Oral Rehabilitation, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Japan

^f Department of Stomatognathic Function and Occlusal Reconstruction, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Japan

^g Department of Prosthodontics and Oral Implantology, School of Dentistry, Iwate Medical University, Japan

h General Dentistry, Comprehensive Patient Care, Oral Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University,

Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 July 2021 Received in revised form 24 October 2021 Accepted 20 February 2022

Keywords: Sleep bruxism Management Systematic review Oral appliances Biofeedback therapy Cognitive-behavioral therapy Pharmacological therapy

ABSTRACT

This systematic review aimed to update the management of sleep bruxism (SB) in adults, as diagnosed using polysomnography (PSG) and/or electromyography (EMG). Management methods covered were oral appliance therapy (OAT) with stabilization splints, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), biofeedback therapy (BFT), and pharmacological therapy. A comprehensive search was conducted on MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science up to October 1st, 2021. Reference list searches and hand searches were also performed by an external organization. Two reviewers for each therapy independently performed article selection, data extraction, and risk of bias assessment. The reviewers resolved any disagreements concerning the assortment of the articles by discussion. Finally, 11, 3, 14, and 22 articles were selected for each therapy. The results suggested that OAT tended to reduce the number of SB events, although there was no significant difference compared to other types of splints, that the potential benefits of CBT were not well supported, and that BFT, rabeprazole, clonazepam, clonidine, and botulinum toxin type A injection showed significant reductions in specific SB parameters, although several side effects were reported. It can be concluded that more methodologically rigorous randomized large-sample long-term follow-up clinical trials are needed to clarify the efficacy and safety of management for SB.

© 2022Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Japanese Association for Dental Science. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ CC_BY_NC_ND_4.0

Contents

1.	Introd	luction	125
2.	Mater	ial and methods	126
	2.1.	Search strategy method and focused question	126
	2.2.	Search methodology	126
	2.3.	Search strategy	126

Abbreviations: AB, awake bruxism; BFT, biofeedback therapy; BTX-A, botulinum toxin type A; CTB, cognitive-behavioral therapy; CCT, controlled clinical trial; CES, contingent electrical stimulation; CQ, clinical question; EMG, electromyography; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations; OA, oral appliance; OAT, oral appliance therapy; PICO, participant, intervention, comparison, and outcome; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; PSG, poly-somnography; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RMMA, rhythmic masticatory muscle activity; SB, sleep bruxism; TMD, temporomandibular disorders; TMJ, temporomandibular joint

* Correspondence to: Department of Oral Rehabilitation and Regenerative Medicine, Okayama University, Graduate School Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2–5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8525, Japan.

E-mail address: hajime@md.okayama-u.ac.jp (H. Minakuchi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdsr.2022.02.004

1882-7616/© 2022Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Japanese Association for Dental Science. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ CC_BY_NC_ND_4.0

	2.4.	Eligibility and exclusion criteria	126
	2.5.	Screening procedures	127
	2.6.	Data extraction	128
	2.7.	Data synthesis	128
	2.8.	Quality assessment	128
3.	Result	s and discussion	129
	3.1.	General outcomes	129
	3.2.	Oral appliance therapy	129
	3.3.	Cognitive-behavioral therapy	130
	3.4.	Biofeedback therapy	130
	3.5.	Pharmacological therapy	. 131
	3.6.	Adverse event	132
	3.7.	Confidence in cumulative evidence	132
	3.8.	Discussion	132
4.	Conclu	usion	134
	Declai	ration of Competing Interest	134
	Ackno	wledgements	134
	Scient	ifc field of dental science	134
	Refere	ences	134

1. Introduction

An international consensus was obtained on the definition of sleep and awake bruxism (SB and AB, respectively) as masticatory muscle activities that occur during sleep (characterized as rhythmic or non-rhythmic) and wakefulness (characterized by repetitive or sustained tooth contact and/or by bracing or thrusting of the mandible), respectively. In addition, bruxism was not considered as a disorder, but instead as a behavior that can be a risk factor for certain clinical consequences [1,2]. SB may lead to excessive occlusal forces higher than the maximum clenching force under consciousness. Excessive mechanical stress is a critical risk factor for tooth fracture/ chippage, periodontal disease, and masticatory muscle/temporomandibular joint disorders (TMD) [3]. Therefore, it is important to relieve this excessive mechanical stress to maintain the morphological and physiological functions of the teeth, periodontal tissue, and masticatory muscle/temporomandibular joint (TMJ).

To date, several management approaches have been applied to reduce the harmful effects of SB. A typical and widely recognized approach is oral appliance therapy (OAT), especially the maxillary stabilization appliance, which is the standard management procedure and is used in daily clinical activities. In addition, other types of oral splints, such as advanced mandibular repositioning splint that guides the mandible to the protrusive position and anterior tooth splint were also used; however, their therapeutic effects were not fully objectively compared using the polysomnography (PSG) and/or electromyography (EMG).

Furthermore, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), biofeedback therapy (BFT), and pharmacological approaches have been introduced and applied. Several studies have reported that SB is related to psychosocial factors, and it has been recognized that psychological stress is one of the risk factors for SB [4–6]. This indicates that a psychological approach would be reasonable for SB in terms of risk avoidance. This psychological approach includes counseling, relaxation techniques, suggestive hypnotherapy, sleep hygiene education, and lifestyle changes [7,8]. In response, several clinical studies have evaluated the management efficacy of CBT; however, its efficacy to treat SB has not yet been examined in detail.

Currently, BFT has been introduced and has indicated a significant effect in reducing EMG activity of the SB. However, some studies reported that EMG activity returned to baseline levels after treatment [9,10], whereas a recent report indicated that it has demonstrated a long-term effect [11]. Therefore, its efficacy is still controversial.

Furthermore, several pharmacological approaches have also been applied to manage SB, such as proton pump inhibitors, anti-convulsants, anti-hypertensives, and botulinum toxin type-A (BTX-A) injections. Unfortunately, many of these pharmacological approaches have been individually examined for their SB reduction effect; however, the comparisons among various pharmacological approaches have not been sufficiently evaluated, especially by means of objective assessment.

On the other hand, several risk factors such as alcohol, tobacco intake, psychological stress, and specific medication are related to the incidence/aggravation of SB [12–16]. Therefore, these risk control or avoidance approaches would be a potential management strategy; however, a clinical study of these risk management approaches has not been obtained to our best knowledge, thus this systematic review did not cover the topic.

Several reviews have already been published for evaluating the management efficacy of these approaches: however, most reviews have not mentioned the validity level of each research result. One of the doubtful points of SB-related research is the reliability of the assessment system. Previously, several approaches have been applied to assess SB, such as the subject's self-assessment, tooth wear, report of a bed partner/family, the indentation of cheek/tongue mucosa, bone ridge, and objective assessment by EMG and/or PSG. Currently, the gold standard for SB assessment has been widely recognized as the PSG plus audio-video recording system because it can obtain the electroencephalogram, electrocardiogram, electrooculogram, and masticatory muscle EMG, consequently, scorers can accurately exclude EMG activities that are not related to SB. In addition, this physiological information is useful for obtaining the subject's sleep condition. However, PSG assessment may add a physical and psychological burden to subjects; therefore, the feasibility in clinical dental practice is not high. On the other hand, several portable-ambulatory EMG devices can be easily used to measure even in subjects' sleep environment, thus their feasibility would be high. Although a single EMG assessment tended to result in a higher false-positive rate, its validity and reliability would be relatively high compared to other subjective assessment procedures [17]. Thus, this systematic review focused on only the research results of PSG and/or EMG-based assessments that were defined in Instrumentally Base Assessment (IBA) as A5 and A7 in Axis A described in Standardized Tool for the Assessment of Bruxism (STAB) consensus [2].

The optimal endpoint would be the specific EMG parameter that relates to the risks of issues such as tooth fracture, aggravation/alleviation of periodontal disease, and change of TMD/masticatory muscle problem. However, the specific characteristics associated with SB-related signs/symptoms have not yet been elucidated. Thus, this systematic review adopted the previously suggested criteria as surrogate endpoints [18].

This systematic review aimed to determine the appropriate management of SB among several approaches. To achieve this deeper consideration, it is necessary to consider the research quality and evidence level in addition to summarizing the research results.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Search strategy method and focused question

This systematic review was performed according to the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) statement [19]. The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the four different management efficacies for reducing SB, therefore, the following four review questions were formulated using the PICO (participant, intervention, comparison and outcome) approach [20]: "CQ-1: Oral appliance therapy with maxillary stabilization splints was effective to reduce sleep bruxism intensity/frequency than no treatment/ other oral appliances, CQ-2: Cognitive-behavioral therapy was effective to reduce sleep bruxism intensity/frequency, CQ-3: Biofeedback therapy was effective to reduce sleep bruxism intensity/frequency, and CQ-4: Pharmacological therapy was effective to reduce sleep bruxism intensity/frequency than no treatment.

CQ-1:

- P: Healthy adult population with primary sleep bruxism
- I: Insertion and usage of oral appliance during sleep. Oral appliance was maxillary stabilization type made by acrylic resin.
- C: Insertion of other types of oral appliance
- O: Effectiveness of oral appliance (maxillary stabilization type) therapy was assessed by changes in SB events measured by PSG with audio-video recording, PSG or portable EMG.

CQ-2:

- P: Healthy adult population with primary sleep bruxism
- I: Application of cognitive-behavioral therapy
- C: No treatment or other treatment
- O: Effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy was assessed by changes in SB events measured by PSG with audio-video recording, PSG or portable EMG.

CQ-3:

- P: Healthy adult population with primary sleep bruxism
- I: Application of biofeedback therapy
- C: No treatment
- O: Effectiveness of biofeedback therapy was assessed by changes in SB events measured by PSG with audio-video recording, PSG or portable EMG.

CQ-4:

- P: Healthy adult population with primary sleep bruxism
- I: Pharmacological approach to sleep bruxism. The medications of prescription were rabeprazole, tryptophan, L-dopa (levodopa), bromocriptine, amitriptyline, opipramol, clonazepam, propranolol, clonidine, gabapentin, pramipexole and botulinum toxin type A.
- C: No treatment or other treatment
- O: Effectiveness of pharmacological therapy was assessed by changes in SB events measured by PSG with audio-video recording, PSG or portable EMG.

Japanese Dental Science Review 58 (2022) 124-136

Table 1a

Search f	fomula	of CQ-1	maxillary	stabilization	splint	therapy.
----------	--------	---------	-----------	---------------	--------	----------

#1	"Sleep Bruxism/therapy"[MeSH]
#2	((sleep bruxism) OR (nocturnal bruxism) OR ((clenching OR grinding)
	AND (teeth OR tooth)) OR ((masseter muscle) AND sleep)) AND
	(therapy OR therapeutic OR treatment)
#3	"Occlusal Splints"[MeSH] OR ((splint* OR "oral appliance") AND
	"Orthodontic Appliances"[MeSH])
#4	(occlusal splint) OR ((splint* OR (oral appliance)) AND (orthodontic
	appliance))
#5	(#1 OR #2) AND (#3 OR #4)
#6	#5 AND (clinical trial)
#7	#5 AND (randomized controlled trial)
#8	#5 AND (case-control study)
#9	#5 AND (cohort study)
#10	#5 AND (cross-sectional study)
#11	#5 AND (multicenter study)
#12	#5 AND (observational study)
#13	#5 AND (feasibility study)
#14	#5 AND (pilot study)
#15	#5 AND (longitudinal study)
#16	#5 AND (follow-up study)
#17	#5 AND (retrospective study)
#18	#5 AND (prospective study)
#19	#5 AND (double-blind method)
#20	#5 AND (number needed to treat)
#21	#5 AND (random allocation)
#22	#5 AND (treatment outcome)
#23	#5 AND review
#24	#6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR
	#18 OR #20 OR #22 OR #23

2.2. Search methodology

An electronic search of PubMed, Cochrane Library database and Web of Science was performed to systematically identify the relevant literature. Articles published between 1990 and October 1st, 2021, were considered. The search string comprised a combination of keywords (Medical Subject Headings [MeSH]) and free-text terms. Linkage was achieved using Boolean operators (OR and AND). To ensure independence, all of these processes were linked to the Japan Medical Library Association. The search formulas used in the PubMed are listed in Tables 1a-e.

Additionally, extended search was applied to prevent the missing of the eligible article by means of the wide range of search formula and a manual search of relevant articles. Finally, the references of all selected full-text articles were also searched for relevant studies. A manual search process was applied based on the bibliographies of the selected articles. (Tables 2, 3 and 4).

2.3. Search strategy

The electronic search was completed by manual searching within the references of the selected articles. All titles revealed by this strategy were screened, followed by an abstract search to identify further relevant articles. Full-text articles were chosen based on the abstracts.

2.4. Eligibility and exclusion criteria

Two prosthodontic specialist reviewers in each clinical question (CQ-1: A.N. and K.O., CQ-2, 3: K.O. and T.I., CQ-4: Y.A. and N.T.) independently performed the article retrieval and screened the titles and abstracts to identify studies that were eligible for selection according to the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The following inclusion criteria were applied: published in a peer-reviewed journal, clinical studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), prospective and retrospective studies, publications available

Table 1b

Search fomula of CQ-2 cognitive-behavioral therapy.

#1	"Sleep Bruxism/therapy"[MeSH]
#2	((sleep bruxism) OR (nocturnal bruxism) OR ((clenching OR grinding)
	AND (teeth OR tooth)) OR ((masseter muscle) AND sleep)) AND
	(therapy OR therapeutic OR treatment)
#3	"Cognitive Therapy"[MeSH] OR ("cognitive behavior therapy" AND
	"Psychotherapy"[MeSH])
#4	(cognitive therapy) OR ((cognitive behavior therapy) AND
	psychotherapy)
#5	(#1 OR #2) AND (#3 OR #4)
#6	#5 AND (clinical trial)
#7	#5 AND (randomized controlled trial)
#8	#5 AND (case-control study)
#9	#5 AND (cohort study)
#10	#5 AND (cross-sectional study)
#11	#5 AND (multicenter study)
#12	#5 AND (observational study)
#13	#5 AND (feasibility study)
#14	#5 AND (pilot study)
#15	#5 AND (longitudinal study)
#16	#5 AND (follow-up study)
#17	#5 AND (retrospective study)
#18	#5 AND (prospective study)
#19	#5 AND (double-blind method)
#20	#5 AND (number needed to treat)
#21	#5 AND (random allocation)
#22	#5 AND (treatment outcome)
#23	#5 AND review
#24	#6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #10 OR #16 OR #22 OR #23

Table 1c

Search fomula of CQ-2 hypnotherapy.

#1	"Sleep Bruxism/therapy"[MeSH]
#2	((sleep bruxism) OR (nocturnal bruxism) OR ((clenching OR grinding)
	AND (teeth OR tooth)) OR ((masseter muscle) AND sleep)) AND
	(therapy OR therapeutic OR treatment)
#3	"Autosuggestion"[MeSH] OR "Hypnosis"[MeSH]
#4	autosuggestion OR self-suggestion*
#5	hypnotherapy OR hypnosis OR hypnosis OR mesmerism
#6	(#1 OR #2) AND (#3 OR #4 OR #5)
#7	#6 AND (clinical trial)
#8	#6 AND (randomized controlled trial)
#9	#6 AND (case-control study)
#10	#6 AND (cohort study)
#11	#6 AND (cross-sectional study)
#12	#6 AND (multicenter study)
#13	#6 AND (observational study)
#14	#6 AND (feasibility study)
#15	#6 AND (pilot study)
#16	#6 AND (longitudinal study)
#17	#6 AND (follow-up study)
#18	#6 AND (retrospective study)
#19	#6 AND (prospective study)
#20	#6 AND (double-blind method)
#21	#6 AND (number needed to treat)
#22	#6 AND (random allocation)
#23	#6 AND (treatment outcome)
#24	#6 AND review
#25	#10 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #23 OR #24

in English, and human subjects' studies. No restrictions were placed on the length of the follow-up period.

The following exclusion criteria were applied: case reports, in vitro studies, and animal studies. Duplicated and published studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from this systematic review. Only the most recent publication was included in cases of possible duplication.

Table 1d

Search fo	mula of CQ-3 biofeedback therapy.
#1	"Sleep Bruxism/therapy"[MeSH]
#2	((sleep bruxism) OR (nocturnal bruxism) OR ((clenching OR grinding AND (teeth OR tooth)) OR ((masseter muscle) AND sleep)) AND (therapy OR therapeutic OR treatment)
#3	"Biofeedback, Psychology"[MeSH]
#4	biofeedback OR (false physiological feedback) OR (bogus physiological feedback) OR neuro feedback OR (sensory feedback) OI (proprioceptive feedback) OR (visual feedback) OR (sensorimotor feedback) OR (audio feedback) OR (alpha feedback) OR (electromyography feedback) OR (EEG feedback) OR (brainwave
	feedback)
#5	(#1 OR #2) AND (#3 OR #4)
#6	#5 AND (clinical trial)
#7	#5 AND (randomized controlled trial)
#8	#5 AND (case-control study)
#9	#5 AND (cohort study)
#10	#5 AND (cross-sectional study)
#11	#5 AND (multicenter study)
#12	#5 AND (observational study)
#13	#5 AND (feasibility study)
#14	#5 AND (pilot study)
#15	#5 AND (longitudinal study)
#16	#5 AND (follow-up study)
#1/	#5 AND (retrospective study)
#18	#5 AND (prospective study)
#19	#5 AND (double-blind method)
#20	#5 AND (number needed to treat)
#21	#5 AND (random allocation)
#22	#5 AND (treatment outcome)
#23	#5 AND review
#24	#6 UK #7 UK #8 UK #9 UK #14 UK #15 UR #16 UR #22 UR #23

Table 1e

Search fomula of CQ-4 pharmacotherapy.

#1	"Sleep Bruxism/drug therapy"[MeSH]
#2	"Bruxism/drug therapy"[MeSH] AND ("sleep bruxism" OR "nocturnal bruxism")
#3	((sleep bruxism) OR (nocturnal bruxism) OR ((clenching OR grinding) AND (teeth OR tooth)) OR ((masseter muscle) AND sleep)) AND ((drug AND (therany OR theraneutic OR treatment)) OR
	chemotherapy OR pharmacotherapy)
#4	#1 OR #2 OR #3
#5	#4 AND (clinical trial)
#6	#4 AND (randomized controlled trial)
#7	#4 AND (case-control study)
#8	#4 AND (cohort study)
#9	#4 AND (cross-sectional study)
#10	#4 AND (multicenter study)
#11	#4 AND (observational study)
#12	#4 AND (feasibility study)
#13	#4 AND (pilot study)
#14	#4 AND (longitudinal study)
#15	#4 AND (follow-up study)
#16	#4 AND (retrospective study)
#17	#4 AND (prospective study)
#18	#4 AND (double-blind method)
#19	#4 AND (number needed to treat)
#20	#4 AND (random allocation)
#21	#4 AND (treatment outcome)
#22	#4 AND review
#23	#5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #13 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22

2.5. Screening procedures

Titles and abstracts were initially screened independently by two reviewers for inclusion in the review, and the selected abstracts were listed. The lists were then compared, and a definitive consensus regarding the inclusion of articles was reached by discussing each article by the assigned reviewers. The full text of all potentially relevant studies was then obtained for independent assessments by

H. Minakuchi, M. Fujisawa, Y. Abe et al.

Table 2

Summary of descriptive characteristics of splint therapy and quality assessment according to simplified GRADE checklist.

					bias risk							_							_			
			selection	selection bias		Detection bias	Attrition bias			other sources of	fbias			indirectr	less				number of SE	8 events/ hour		
Author:	Control splint:	Study	Random sequence	Allocation	Blinding (participants and personnel)	Blinding (outcome assessment)	пт	Incomplete outcome data	plete Selective stopped e		Other sources	summary	subjects	interrventio	contro	outcome	summary	Cor	itrol	Interv	ntion	Intervention period
			8															Pre	Post	pre	post	
Dubé C et al, 2004 21)	Palatal coverage splint	RCT	0	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	6.3 (3.7-10.5)	3.7 (2.8-7.9)	6.3 (3.7-10.5)	3.7 (0.2-8.2)	2 weeks
van der Zaag J et al, 2005 ³²⁾		RCT	-1	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	7.41 (1.51)	10.57 (2.14)	6.22 (1.03)	11.1 (1.92)	4 weeks
Harada T et al, 2005 23)		RCT	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	3	3.0 2.6 2.9	2.9	2.2 2.4 2.7	2 weeks 4 weeks
Takahashi Hetal, 2013 34)		RCT	-1	-4	-4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	-4	3.24 (0.89)	2.0 2.01 (1.18)	3.24 (0.89)	0.68 (0.65)	3 days
Gerstner G et al. 2020 35)		RCT	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0		9.4±6.2		13.7±7.8	4 month
Landry ML et al, 2006 ²⁶⁾		RCT	0	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	7	3.8 1.5 1	7	4	2 weeks
Landry-Schönbeck A et al, 2009 37)	Mandibular anterior repositioning splint	RCT	0	0	-4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	5.9(0.71)	3.6(1.0) 3.1(0.89)	5.9(0.71)	3.9(0.89)	2 weeks
Arima T et al, 2012 30)		RCT	-1	-4	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	6.7 (1.2)	5.2 (1.1 5.0 (1.2)	6.7 (1.2)	4.3 (1.0)	1 weeks
Singh PK et al, 2015 29)	-	RCT	0	0	-4	0	-4	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	7.96(1.59)	5.11(1.19) 1.66(0.21)	7.18(1.09)	5.0(1.1) 3.6(0.55)	1 month 3 months
Baad-Hansen L et al, 2007 40)	Anterior splint	RCT/cro ssover	-1	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	9.3 (6.39)	3.15 (4.41)	9.49 (7.96)	8.9 (10.59)	2 weeks
Lukic N et al, 2021 41)		RCT/cro ssover	0	-4	-1	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	10.3±0.7	11±0.6	10.3±0.7	8.7±0.6	7 weeks

Table 3

Summary of descriptive characteristics of CBT and BFT and quality assessment according to simplified GRADE checklist.

			selecti	selection bias Performance Detection		Detection bias	as Attrition bias		other sources of bias			1		indirectness				raw data								
Category:	Author	Intervention	Study dealign	Outcome	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealm ent	Blinding (participants and personnel)	Blinding (outcome assessment)	пт	Incomplet e outcome data	Selective	stopped early trial for benefits	Other sources of bias	summary	r subjects	intervention	n contra	i outcome	summary	Control			Interventio	in		
					-															pre-intervention	posi-intervention		pre-intervention	post-intervention		
	Clarke JH and Reynolds PJ. 1991	Hypnotherapy	Uncontrolled before-after study	EMQ(RMS)	-2	- 4	4	4	-2	4	- 4	-4	- A.	-2	۰	•	4	٥	-2	2784.8 +/-1383.7 (pre, mean +/- SD)			1488.6 +/-743.6 (post, mean +/- SD)		p=0.001	
cognitive-behavioral therapy	Ommerborn MA et al. 2007 ²¹⁾	problem-solving, progressive muscle relaxation, nocturnal biofeedback, and training of recreation and enjoyment VS occlusial splint	RCT	pixel score	-2	a.	a	4	а	7	٥	0	٥	a	۰	۰	ч	٥	я	7684.62 +/- 1175.21 (pre)	7223.88 +/- 1047.68 (post)		8562.00 +/- 1196.01 (pm)	6548.70 +/- 1086.21 (post)	NS.	
	López MV et al, 2015 47)	Sleep hygiene and relaxation techniques	RCT	Episode/tour	- 4	- 4	-4	-1	0	- 4	•	0	0	- 4	•		٥	0	٥	2.6 (pm)	3 (post)	p=0.889	3.2 (pre)	3.55 (post)	p=0.484	
				busthour																21.8 (pre)	25.8 (post)	p=0.484	19.6 (pre)	\$3 (post)	p=0.263	
				I													1						I			
	Nishigawa K et al, 2003 ^{an)}	noxious electrical pulses	Uncontrolled before-after study	Duration (alevent)	-2	- 4	-2	-2	-1	- 4	•	0	0	-4	-1	- 4	-6	- 4	-4	10.61 +/- 1.42 (pre)			9.08 +/- 2.48 (post)		p=0.038	
			,	Number (no./hour)																3.87 +/- 2.01 (pre)			2.42 +/- 0.78 (post)		p=0.107	
		continuent observational attace for the	continuant electrical stimulation	0.07	number of EMG events detected with the 10% MVC																NA			NA		P=0.045
	Jadidi F et al, 2005	corengers electrical somulation	PIC LICIONSOVER	number of EMG events detected with the 20% MVC	1	•	u .	9	U	D	°	0	0	4	•		, e	D	0	NA			NA		P = 0.227	
	Jadidi F et al, 2011 ⁸⁰	contingent electrical stimulation	RCT/crossover	average number of EMG enisories per bour	-6	•	٥	0	0	٥	•	0	0	-4	•	•	•	0	0	17.7 ± 5.8	without CES		16.3 ± 4.8,	with CES	P = 0.733	
	Jadidi F et al, 2013 ⁸¹⁾	contingent electrical stimulation	RCT	number of EMG episodes/hour	0	٥	٥	٥	-4	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	no significant differences		p > 0.927	reduced (52 ± 12%)		p < 0.035	
	Raphael KG et al, 2013 ¹²⁾	innocuous electrical pulse	Uncontrolled before-after study	number of EMG events	-2	4	-2	-1	а	4	۰	٥	٥	a	٠	۰	۰	٥	٥	488.3 (21.4)			35.4		P =0.002	
	Gu W et al. 2015 ⁸⁰	vibrations alert VS occkaal splint	CCT	total episodes of brusism events	-2	4	٥	٥	-4	- 4	0	0	a.	÷	-4	- 4	-4	٥	4	11.9+/-5.7 (pm)	11.4 +/- 3.7 (post 12 weeks)	P >0.0.05	10.2+/-2.8 (pre)	3.3 +/- 1.4 (post 12 week)	P = 0.001	
			007	duration of bruxiam events																14.8 +/- 5.5 a (pre)	14.0 +/- 6.1 (post)	P =0.305	17.3 +/- 9.6 a (pm)	8.9 +/- 2.7 (post)	P < 0.05	
	Confi PC at al, 2014	contrigent electrical somulation	POL I	mean number of S8 events	~	×			- 1	0	×				~	· ·	×			24.0 TI- 14.0 (pre)	25.0 %~ 13.9 (post)		335 %-235 (pre)	20.6 %~ 16.9 (post)	P=0.003	
Biofeedback therapy				per right mean number of SB events																NA			NA		significantly decrease	
	Sumiya M et al, 2014 14	electrical stimulations	Uncontrolled before-after study	per hour mean number of EMG bursts	-2	- 4	-2	-2	٥	-4	۰	٥	٥	-4	-4	- 4	-4	٥	- 4	NA			NA		significantly decrease	
				mean number of duration of																NA			NA		aignificantly decrease	
	Sato Met al, 2015 ⁶⁰⁾	auditory alert	RCT	number of tonic EMG events	- 4	- 4	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	15.6 +/- 10.3 (pre)		no significance	18.3 */- 10.0 (pre)	3.7 +/- 4.2 (post 3 weeks)	significantly decrease	
			Uncentralized	number of brueism episode																			4.1 ± 2.8 (per hour)	3.0 ± 2.0 (per hour)	lended to decrease	
	Nakamura H et al, 2019 ***	bioleedback (vibration) spirit	before-after study	total brusism duration	-2	- 2	-2	-1	-1	0	•	0	°	-1	-1	•	-1	0	-1	NA			26.0 ± 20.0 (per hour)	14.3 ± 9.5 (per hour)	P = 0.03	
				total duration per hour																	Increase 11.5 ± 28.4			Decrease 52 ± 17.5	P = 0.060	
	Bergmann A et al, 2020 17)	full- occlusion biofeedback (vibration) solint	RCT	bursts per hour	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		increase 1.8 ±11.3			Increase 2.2 ± 24.9	P = 0.730	
				average duration																	Increase 283.7 ± 785.2			Decrease 189.6 ± 278.7	P = 0.002	
1				number of brusism episode																	5.2 (per hour)			3.9 (per hour)	P = 0.021 P = 0.001	
1	Nakazato Yet al, 2020 ***	prowedback (vibration) spirit	NCT	total brusism duration number of brusism episode	-1	-1	- 4	-1	-1			0		-1	-1		0	0	0		35.3 s (per hour)			15.1 s (per hour)	P = 0.002 significantly decrease	
1	Ohana H et al, 2021 ³⁸⁾	bioleedback (vibration) splint	NCT	total brasism duration	-1	-1	-2	-4	-1	Ó	ò	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	NA					significantly decrease	
	Saito-Musikarri K et al, 2020 41	daytime bio feedback training	RCT	number of phasic burst events	-1	-1	ò		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	NA	82.0±31.6		NA	32.4 ± 19.6	significantly decrease	

the same reviewers. The reviewers resolved any disagreements concerning the assortment of the articles by discussion. Any discrepancy during the screening and selection process was resolved by discussion, and a third reviewer (H.M.) was consulted as needed to reach a consensus. In cases where the desired information could not be obtained from the literature, the corresponding author was contacted. Only studies with sufficient and specific data available were included for further analysis. Discrepancies and disagreements were resolved through discussion and consensus.

2.6. Data extraction

Data extraction was performed independently by the two reviewers in each CQ team using a standardized form. A data extraction form was developed by the authors to collect general information: authors, title, year of publication, journal, study aim, study design, level of evidence, number of participants, complications, follow-up period, and outcomes.

Information on changes in frequency, intensity, and duration of SB events or episodes, as well as complications, was extracted from the included studies.

The assessment of SB was diagnosed by PSG test plus audio-video recording, PSG test alone, EMG test, or ambulatory EMG devicebased assessment. SB was defined based on the description of Lavigne et al. [17], and the intensity and frequency of SB were assessed by the number of SB events, pixel score [21], EMG value, number of SB episodes, and SB index [22–24]. Complications were characterized by objective/subjective assessments of physical and psychological problems. The two reviewers in each CQ independently extracted the data regarding SB change from each included study. After the data were checked, the consensus was reached through discussion.

2.7. Data synthesis

To evaluate all data and identify variations in study characteristics and outcomes, data were pooled into evidence tables, and a descriptive summary was generated. This enabled the detection of similarities and differences between studies, as well as the determination of the suitability of further synthesis or comparison methods.

2.8. Quality assessment

Quality and risk of bias assessments were independently performed by the two reviewers as part of the data extraction process. Discrepancies and disagreements were resolved through discussion. The quality assessment of the included RCTs, controlled clinical trials (CCTs), and observational studies was performed using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias [25]. This scale is

Table 4

Summary	of descri	ptive characte	eristics of p	harmacological	approaches and	Juality	/ assessment	according	g to sim	plified	GRADE checklis	st.
,									,			

						Bias risk																
					Selection bias		Performance bias	Detection bias	A	thition bias		Other sources of	blas			Indirectr	ndirectness			Standard	mean difference (I	fiedges' g)
Author:	Outcome:	Intevention:	Dose:	Study design	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding (participants and personnel)	Blinding (outcome assessment)	пт	Incomplete outcome data	Selective	Stopped early trial for benefits	Other sources of bias	Summary	Subjects	Intervention	Control	Outcome	Summary	Control	Intervention	Standard mean difference (Hedges' g)
Mixawaki Setal. 2003 ^{et} i	RMMA index			CCT	-1	- 4	0	- 4	0	0	- 4	- 4	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	6.0 2.2	3.7 1.9	-1.07
Ohmune H et al, 2016 ⁴⁰	mean episode duration num. of burst/h RMMA index	Rabeprazole	Rabeprazole (10 mg)	RCTicrossower	0	0	0	а	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.2 4.4 59.7 33.1 6.1 3.3	92 4.4 460 21.7 48 2.3	-0.22 -0.47 -0.44
Etzel KR et al. 1991 70	masseler muscle activities	L-Tryptophan	L-Tryptophan (50 mg/ weight kg)	RCTicrossower	-4	-4	0	-4	0	0	-4	-4	-4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	9108.0 6362.0	22 26	0.08
Lobbezoo F et al, 1997 70	not mean square num. of episodeh mean episode duration	Levodopa	Levodopa (100 mg) and bensenaide (25 mg)	Uncontrolled before-after study	а	а	o	а	0	o	а	-1	0	а	0	•	0	0	•	NA NA 7.0 3.0 NA NA	NA NA 9.4 2.4 NA NA	NA 0.86 NA
Lobbezoo F et al, 1997 ⁶⁰⁾	num of episode/h		Bromocriptine (7.5 mg)	Uncontrolled before-after study	-4	-4	0	-4	-2	-2	-4	-4	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	12.5 1.1	9.5 0.1	-2.17
Lavigne GJ et al. 2001 ⁶⁷⁾	rum. of episodeh num. of episodeh num. of bunt/episode num. of bunt/h toot mean square num. of episode w/ noise	Bromocriptime	Bromocriptine (1.25mg to 7.5 mg) and domperidone (20 mg)	RCUrossowr	0	а	o	а	0	o	а	-1	0	а	0	0	•	o	0	10.1 5.8 9.0 1.0 6.9 0.8 78.9 20.7 48.2 15.5 27.9 10.8	27 57 9.6 1.5 7.4 0.9 76.9 16.5 46.9 12.7 30.3 8.1	-0.04 0.44 -0.10 -0.09 0.24
Lobbezoo F et al, 1997 40	root mean square		Bromocriptine (7.5 mg)	CCTicrossover	-1	-4	0	-4	-2	-2	-4	-4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	29.9 3.7	22.8 2.7	-1.24
Mohamed SE et al, 1997 77)	masseler muscle activities			RCTicrossowr	-1	-4	0	-4	0	0	-1	-1	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	1125.5 2367.3	755.6 1119.0	-0.19
Raigrodaki AJ et al, 2001 ⁷⁸	masseler muscle activities masseler muscle activities	Amitriptyline	Amitriptyline (25 mg)	CCTicrossover	0	0	0	-4	0	0	-4	-4	0	-4	0	٥	0	0	0	154321.6 223659.0 372.0 522.4	4113.7 129344.9	-0.32
Wieckiewicz M et al, 2021 ⁶⁰	num of episodelh num of bunith	Opipramol	Opipramol (100 mg)	Uncontrolled before-after study	-2	4	2	-2	-1	0	0	-1	0	4	0	٥	0	o	0	6.6 1.8 6.7 3.8	43 22 55 3.9	-1.16 -0.32
Saletu A et al, 2005 20	num. of episode/h			Uncontrolled before-after study	-2	-4	-2	-4	0	o	-4	-4	o	-2	0	0	0	0	0	9.3 6.5	6.3 3.4	-0.55
Saletu A et al, 2010 ²⁰⁾	num. of episode/h	Clonszepam	Clonazepam (1 mg)	Uncontrolled before-after study	4	4	-2	-1	-2	4	- 4	0	-1	-2	0	٥	٥	0	0	72 49	4.2 2.6	-0.74
Sakai T et al, 2017 60)	RMMA index			RCTicrossowr	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	2.0 1.1	2.6 1.9	0.38
Humh N et al. 2006 22)	hum of episodeh	Propranolol	Propranolol (120 mg)	RCTicrossowr	-4	-4	0	-4	0	0	-4	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	53 NA	5.8 NA	NA
Manuals March and Canada 220	turn of busith turn of episodeth		Closidine (0.5 mm)	RCTimmanar		a.	0			0		0	0	.1	0			0		41.0 NA 5.4 NA	21 NA	NA NA
Patral Tatlet 2012 ⁴⁰	tum. of bunkth RMMA index	Cloridine	Characterise (0.14 and	D ^A Timeren an	0	0	0	0		2		0	0		0			0		2.0 1.1	0.9 1.1	-0.98
Saka Leta, 2017	tum. of busith tum. of episodeh		Condine (0.15 mg)	RG LCDBBOWF	0	0	0	U	~	~		0	U	- 4	0	0		0		24 NA 34 1.5	32 NA 1.7 0.8	-1.35
Madani AS et al, 2013 70)	masseler muscle activities mean episode duration	Gabapentin	Gabapentin (100 to 300 mg), Oral splint	RCT	-2	4	-2	-1	-2	-2	-4	-4	0	-2	0	۰	-1	0	-1	189.0 40.3 1.0 0.4	139.7 33.2 0.4 0.1	-1.28 -2.01
Cahlin BJ et al, 2017 78	hum, of episodeh hum, of bunith	Pramipexole	Pramipeople (0.09 to 0.54 mg)	RCTicrossover	-1	-4	4	0	4	-2	4	-4	0	-2	0	۰	-1	0	-4	9.8 5.2 32.1 18.1	12.7 8.5 43.6 29.1	0.40
	num of episodelh num of episodelh		80 W saline, masseler injection (4 weeks follow-op)																	2.2 1.1 4.1 1.3	0.2 0.3	-2.48 -3.23
Lee SJ et al, 2010 71	rum of episodeh rum of episodeh		80 U/ saline, masseter injection (5 weeks follow-up)	RCT	-4	4	0	0	۰	۰	-4	0	0	-4	٥	۰	۰	٥	۰	2.5 1.4 4.1 0.9	0.3 0.4	-2.07 -2.57
	num. of episode/h num. of episode/h		80 U/saline, masseler injection (12 weeks follow-up)																	2.7 1.4 4.4 1.5	0.3 0.2	-2.15 -1.99
Phim V1 at al 2014 ⁷²⁾	MMA index reasseter muscle activities temporalis muscle activities num of burst/episode num of burst/h num of episode wi noise mean episode duration		25 U each, masseter injection (4 weeks follow-up)	RCT	-1		3	0	-2	-2	-1	-1	0	3			-1	0	-	3.3 1.4 290.5 NA 277.6 NA 4.1 1.1 10.1 NA 0.0 NA 5.7 1.2	2.8 2.5 72.4 NA 194.8 NA 4.7 2.8 8.8 NA 0.0 NA 8.0 3.0	-0.21 NA 0.28 NA NA 0.13
2000 13 000, 20 FV	NMMA index reasester macks activities temponite maucks activities tem. of burst/episode tem. of purst/n nem. of episode winose mean episode duration	BoNT-A	NTA 25 U auch, masaufarhemporala bjection (4 seeks folioe-ap)																	2.8 1.4 215.7 NA 306.4 NA 5.0 1.8 12.0 NA 0.0 NA 6.8 1.4	29 26 723 NA 830 NA 47 3.1 125 NA 00 NA 58 3.3	0.03 NA
Ondo WG et al, 2018 ⁷⁰⁾	rum. of episode/h		60 U for bilateral masseter, 40 U for bilateral temporalis injection (4 to 8 weeks follow-up)	RCT	ч	-1	٥	-1	-1	-1	۰	٥	o	а	٥	۰	•	o	۰	10.7 9.6	7.0 7.0	-0.44
Shim YJ et al, 2020 ⁷²⁾	HMMA index num of bursth num of bursthepisode mean opisode durstion num of episode winoise MMA index		25 U each, masseler injection (4 weeks follow-up)	RCT	-1	-1	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	o	0	4.6 3.5 25.3 24.2 4.4 2.5 5.4 2.9 9.3 17.4 2.3 2.5	48 2.9 31.9 384 58 3.0 52 1.7 85 182 52 5.1	0.05 0.19 0.41 -0.05 -0.05
	num. of bunith num. of bunit/epixode mean epixode duration num. of epixode w/ noise		25 U each, masseler injection (12 weeks follow-op)						-1			0								12.0 14.6 4.6 1.3 5.2 1.7 4.3 12.2	45.6 732 62 4.1 62 3.9 182 26.0	0.58 0.49 0.30 0.63

based on four specific components that define the quality of the studies and assess the risk of bias. These components consisted of risk of bias [26], indirectness [27], imprecision [28], inconsistency [29], and publication bias [30].

The two prosthodontic specialist reviewers in each CQ evaluated all selected studies. After the evaluation process, the study considered a score of six or greater out of a total of nine to have a high risk of bias. Based on the systematic review objectives, inferential statistical analysis was not performed. The selected studies did not provide all of the necessary general information, and in some studies, the information was only provided narratively. Performing a complete meta-analysis was impossible because of the lack of information and heterogeneity of the selected studies.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General outcomes

The final electronic search of the databases provided the 1690 articles. Based on a review of the titles and abstracts, 310 articles were selected for the second evaluation. In the second phase, 60 full-text articles were screened and evaluated thoroughly. A total of 173 publications were excluded at this stage because they did not fulfill the inclusion criteria. Finally, 137 articles were selected for systematic review. Details of the search strategy are shown in Fig. 1. Duplicate articles were excluded, and the articles that were included in the systematic review article but had not been selected were added to this database. In response, all of the selected articles were 37 RCTs, 4 CCTs, and 10 uncontrolled before-after studies, thus finally 11, 3, 14, and 22 articles were included in OAT, CBT, BFT, and pharmacological approaches, respectively.

3.2. Oral appliance therapy

Finally, 11 RCT articles were identified as eligible for this study. In this study, several types of splints were used as controls, and five articles applied the only palatal coverage splint. Four articles applied a mandibular anterior repositioning splint, and the other two applied the anterior splint. Combining the results of four studies that used the only palatal coverage splint as a control, the stabilization appliance showed a higher tendency to reduce the SB number during the short-term period; however, there was no difference after 2–6 weeks follow-up [31–35]. The total sample size of intervention/control was 89/89 subjects, and intervention periods were 3 days to 4 months.

Four studies verified the efficacy of SB reduction compared to the mandibular anterior repositioning splint. In response, both the stabilization appliance and mandibular anterior repositioning splint indicated a small reduction in the number of SB events; however, the effect showed a tendency that the effect of stabilization splint was lower than that of the mandibular anterior repositioning splint [36–39]. The total sample size of intervention/control was 50/50 subjects, and the intervention periods of these studies ranged from 1 week to 3 months, and anterior alignment was described as 25–75% of the maximum protrusive value.

Another two studies indicated that the anterior splint showed a significant reduction in the number of SB events, whereas the stabilization splint did not show a significant reduction in the number of SB events. The number of SB in this research was counted the EMG event (episode) exceeding 20% of the maximum voluntary clenching, and the total sample size of the intervention/control group was 20 subjects each. These were 2-week and 7-week randomized crossover studies [40,41].

Overall, combining the results, the use of stabilization splints tended to reduce the number of SB events; however, there was no

H. Minakuchi, M. Fujisawa, Y. Abe et al.

Identification

Inclution		
Results of Electric 1 MEDLINE CQ-1 (spli CQ-2 (CB' CQ-3 (Bio CQ-4 (Pha Systematic General ev Cochrane Lib Web of Scient	Search (from 1990 to October 1 st , 2021) nt therapy): 127 T): 17, (Hypnosis therapy): 5 feedback therapy): 36 urmacological therapy): 105 Review/ Meta Analysis/ CPG: 99 idence of SB therapy: 582 rary: 2 ce: 717	
Screening	Abstracts of possibility relevant article so	reened
MEDLINE CQ-1: 36 CQ-2: 9 CQ-3: 17 CQ-4: 33 General ev Cochrane Lib Web of Scien	idence of SB therapy: 51 rary: 2 ce: 162	
Eligibility	Full text analysis for eligibility	
MEDLINE CQ-1: 21 CQ-2: 3 CQ-3: 13 CQ-4: 21 General ev Cochrane Lib Web of Scien	idence of SB therapy: 27 rary: 2 ce: 50	
Included	Articles that have been included in into each CQ Articles of general evidence and the Science were distributed to e Exclude the duplications	SR were added at of Web of each CQ
Final inclusion for r CQ-1: 11 CQ-2: 3 CQ-3: 14 CQ-4: 22	eview process	

Fig. 1. Flow diagram for literature screening and selection.

significant difference compared to other types of oral appliances (OAs). A meta-analysis based on the five studies that obtained the raw data of mean and standard deviation indicated that the stabilization appliance did not show a significant reduction in the number of SB events compared to other types of OAs.

On the other hand, the detailed method of randomization was not described; it was impossible to apply the completely masking method for the treatment procedures to the examiner. All the interventions and control therapy used intraoral splints, and the indirectness was assessed as low; however, there were several types of splints and these adjustment settings were not normalized and there were variations. Regarding the characteristics of the subjects, the age of the sample population was 20-40 years, so the effect for the older age generation was unclear. Regarding the bias risk, it should be assessed as moderate to high since there were dropout subjects for whomdetailed information was unclear. In addition, the effects of selection bias, performance bias, and detection bias were assessed as moderate; thus, bias risk was assessed as high. Regarding the inconsistency, two studies showed that the stabilization splint significantly reduced the number of SB events after the intervention compared to the control splint [42,43]. However, Baad-Hansen et al. and Lukic et al. reported that the stabilization splint did not show a

significant reduction [40,41]. This controversy would be one of the factors in reducing inconsistency. Furthermore, there was a wide variety of SB severity before intervention (minimum 2.1 times/hour, maximum 15.9 times/hour) and duration of splint usage (from 8 days to 13 weeks). These effects could not be ignored, and the strength of the evidence level was determined to be low or extremely low.

3.3. Cognitive-behavioral therapy

Regarding CBT, four research articles and two review articles were selected, of which two research articles studied stress management [21] and hypnotherapy [44], and a review article focused on the effect of physical therapy (muscle relaxation) and relaxation techniques [45,46]. To increase the evidence source, selected review articles were also added to the review process of this CBT.

Ommerborn et al. compared the management effects of CBT with an occlusal splint. In response, the CBT group showed a significant reduction in EMG-based SB activity after 6 months. The reduction in SB activity in the occlusal splint group during the treatment was lower than that in the CBT group; however, no statistical significance was observed [21]. Clarke et al. evaluated the effectiveness of hypnotherapy with eight subjects for a 7-night series of EMG recordings. The post-treatment SB activity was lower for every subject, and this effect was significant [44].

Amorim et al. focused on 24 articles in their systematic review, of which 23 articles were omitted because they consisted of biofeedback (n = 11), no EMG assessment (n = 6), no sleep study (n = 4), no English literature (n = 1), and already included (n = 1) [45]. Finally, one article was included in the review. López et al. reported in their RCT research that evaluated the effects of sleep hygiene measures combined with muscle relaxation techniques to reduce SB. The results indicated that the number of bruxism episodes per hour, the number of bursts per hour, and the bruxism time index showed no significant differences between before and after the 4 weeks [47].

At this point, the evidence level of the management effect of CBT would be assessed as extremely low since only a small number of well-designed research were available and the number of subjects was small. Thus, it cannot be concluded that CBT has a clear positive management effect, and further research is needed to evaluate the SB management efficacy of CBT with well-designed clinical research and a large number of subjects.

3.4. Biofeedback therapy

Finally, 11 articles were selected as review papers, of which seven focused on electric stimulation [48–54], and five on vibration [55–59] and two on sound [60,61] stimulation. In addition, two review articles (one systematic review [62] and one meta-analysis [63]) were included in the review process.

For electric stimulation, four out of seven studies used an identical small-in-one device; one was that of the prototype. A study used the manufactured intraoral device that obtains the signals from the occlusal force using a sensor and the switch triggers a stimulator, which delivers slightly noxious electrical pulses to the subject's lip [55]. The other five devices delivered a nonpainful electrical stimulus (contingent electrical stimulation (CES)) to the skin overlying the temporalis muscle when the subject's temporalis muscle EMG activity exceeds the amplitude determined during nightly bio calibration. These reports consisted of four RCTs and one cross-over study and demonstrated the positive results that the number of EMG episodes/hour of sleep was significantly reduced during the biofeedback sessions (54 \pm 14%; 55 \pm 17%, P < 0.001) compared with baseline EMG activity and the session without biofeedback. In the session without biofeedback [49], the number of EMG episodes per hour of sleep was significantly reduced (52 \pm 12%) in the CES group during the sessions with CES (ANOVA: p = 0.021) compared to baseline [51]; patients in the active group had 35% lower EMG/hour with/without CES application period and 38.4% lower EMG/hour in the non-biofeedback follow-up period compared with baseline [53]. On the other hand, Jadidi et al. also reported that the number of EMG episodes per hour of sleep during the nights with and without CES was not significantly different (16.3 ± 4.8, 17.7 ± 5.8; respectively, p = 0.733). Thus, the present study showed that CES was not associated with any significant perturbation of PSG on sleep and sleep quality [50]. Raphael et al. reported a reliable reduction in EMG events for 6 weeks CES periods and 2 weeks observation period after the end of CES; however, the frequency of EMG events returned to baseline levels after that of the observation period (linear term, p = 0.002; quadratic term, p = 0.001) [52].

In a crossover trial, Nishigawa et al. [48] reported that electric lip stimulation significantly reduced only the duration of individual bruxism events (p = 0.038) [48]. Sumiya et al. [54] applied an electrocardiogram signal monitoring EMG device that delivered electrical stimulations to the masseter muscle immediately after the heart rate exceeded 110%. This crossover study indicated that the number of SB events, the number of EMG bursts per SB event, and the duration of SB events decreased significantly compared with the baseline [54].

Gu et al. [55] developed the vibration alteration system was a manufactured device that consisted of a pressure sensor and wireless wristwatch-type vibrator. The pressure sensor was inserted into the fabricated intraoral splint, and it sends the signal to a wristwatch-style vibrator when excessive force was detected. This cohort study indicated that both SB episodes and duration were significantly reduced in the vibration group (n = 12); however, no significant differences were observed in the control group (n = 12) at 6 and 12 weeks after starting the intervention [55]. Three studies applied vibratory stimulus to OA. Nakamura et al. investigated the effect of contingent vibratory feedback stimuli using an occlusal splint for inhibition of SB [56]. Although their results demonstrated that the number of SB episodes was tended to decrease with the vibration stimuli, and the decrease in the total SB duration was statistically significant (p = 0.03), their experimental design did not include a control group. On the other hand, Nakazato et al. also investigated the effects of vibratory stimulation on SB in the case of diminished effects of the OA after adaptation using the same device as Nakamura et al. [58]. Their results suggested that contingent vibratory stimulus via an OA may be effective for the management of SB even after adaptation to OA. In addition, Bergmann et al. and Ohara et al. also demonstrated that vibratory stimulus through OA could reduce the several SB parameters [57,59].

The auditory alert system consisted of surface EMGs and an auditory biofeedback unit including a data logger, and a small auditory alert that sends a signal when EMG activity exceeded the threshold. The subjects wore this auditory alert system throughout the day; however, the alert system was activated only during the daytime. This RCT reported that tonic EMG events during daytime and sleeping time in the auditory alert group showed a significant reduction compared to pre-intervention. However, the control group did not show any significant alterations throughout the experimental period [60]. In addition, Saito-Murakami et al. investigated the effect of daytime clenching control by an auditory biofeedback system for the phasic component of SB, suggesting that EMG biofeedback during the daytime can reduce phasic EMG events during sleep [61].

The SB inhibitory effect of BFT showed a highly significant reduction of SB-related EMG data. Furthermore, there were no weak points regarding the research design and the accuracy and reliability of the SB measuring system. Meanwhile, indirectness was also assessed to be low because many reports indicated identical results. From this point of view, the strength of the evidence level of BFT was considered high. From the collected evidence and evidence strength, it can be concluded that BFT, mainly electric stimulation, would certainly have a reduction effect on SB-related EMG activities. On the other hand, there have not been deeply elucidated the learning effect, long-term effect, and adverse event for the sleep quality, teeth, and orofacial muscle. In the future, further research is expected to examine the negative components of BFT in addition to the SB inhibitory effect in a well-designed study.

3.5. Pharmacological therapy

Finally, the selected pharmacological agents were rabeprazole (proton pump inhibitor), L-tryptophan (α -amino acid), levodopa (L-dopa), bromocriptine (dopaminergic agonist), amitriptyline and opipramol (tricyclic antidepressant), clonazepam (anticonvulsant), propranolol (non-selective adrenergic β receptor-blocking agent), clonidine (selective α 2 receptor agonist), gabapentin (anticonvulsant), pramipexole (dopaminergic agonist), and BTX-A (botulinum toxin type A).

Indicators of SB were the number of episodes per hour, rhythmic masticatory muscle activity (RMMA) index, masseter or temporalis muscle activity, number of bursts per episode, number of bursts per hour, number of episodes with noise, root mean square of EMG of SB event, and mean episode duration. There have been only a few studies on each pharmacological therapy; therefore, the strength of the evidence was considered weak. Of these pharmacological therapies, the following report indicated a significant reduction in SB-related parameters.

Two clinical trials indicated that the application of rabeprazole (10 mg/night) reduced the RMMA index significantly; however, there was no significant reduction in the number of episodes with noise and mean episode duration [64,65]. The effect of bromocriptine (7.5 mg/night) application was assessed by three clinical trials, and they showed similar results, such as a significant reduction in the root mean square of masseter EMG during SB; however, there was no tendency to reduce the number of episodes per hour, the number of bursts per episodes, number of bursts per hour, and number of episodes with noise [66,67]. The effect of opipramol (100 mg/night) administration was evaluated in one clinical trial, which showed a significant reduction in the number of episodes per hour, but not in the number of bursts per hour [68]. The effect of clonazepam (1 mg/ night) application was assessed in three clinical trials with four parameters. The number of episodes per hour and bruxism index indicated a significant reduction [22,24,69]; otherwise, there was no tendency to reduce the RMMA index and number of bursts per hour [22,69]. The effect of clonidine administration (0.15 mg or 0.3 mg/ night) was determined by the two RCTs with the following parameters: the number of episodes per hour, RMMA index, and the number of bursts per hour. In response, these parameters were significantly reduced after clonidine administration [23,69].

One RCT reported that gabapentin (100–300 mg/night) significantly reduced SB-related parameters such as the number of episodes per hour, mean masseter EMG activities, and mean episode duration. No adverse events have been reported [70]. Four RCT were reviewed regarding BTX-A injections into bilateral masseter or temporalis (50–100 units overall). They showed the efficacy of BTX-A in reducing the number of SB events [71], peak amplitude of EMG burst [72,73], number/duration of SB episodes [74]; however, other SB-related parameters did not indicate a clear reduction.

Tryptophan (50 mg/weight kg) [75], levodopa (200 mg/night) [76], amitriptyline (25 mg/night) [77,78], propranolol (120 mg/night) [23], and pramipexole (0.09–0.54 mg/night) [79] were assessed for their management efficacy using one, one, two, one, and one clinical trial, respectively. However, no significant changes or reductions were observed in SB-related EMG parameters such as mean muscle activities [75], number of episodes, root mean square, mean episode duration [76], mean muscle activity during sleep [77,78], number of episodes, number of bursts [23], number of bruxism episodes, phasic, tonic, and mixed episodes per hour [79].

Overall, the certainty of the evidence body would be determined as low since the sample size of most research was relatively small (approximately 10 subjects), and the majority of these studies were not parallel RCTs but crossover trials.

3.6. Adverse event

Among the articles included in this systematic review, none reported the adverse effects of the stabilization splint. Whereas anterior open bite was reported in the anterior splint group [40]. Additionally, one subject reported increased salivation, and seven subjects reported lower comfort while wearing the anterior splint, whereas no occlusal changes occurred in any patient [41].

Regarding the results of manual search, several studies reported the adverse events of stabilization splints such as change of occlusion and difficulty in jaw-closing, including open bite [80,81]. Fujii et al. reported that occlusal change was observed in half of the subjects with 10.5 weeks usage of 5 mm thickness splint. The difficulty of jaw-closing, including open bite after 1–3 years of splint usage has also been reported [80]. Todd et al. reported the anterior open bite as a complication of long-term usage (>3 years) of splint [81].

No adverse event was reported in all of the CBT-related research. Regarding the 14 biofeedback-related articles, 10 articles investigated the aspect of the adverse events. Of which, one adverse effect was reported: two subjects in the intervention group and one subject in the control group reported some interference with sleep, and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index was higher than five (5-10) whereas no awake event occurred during sleep [55]. Nonetheless, other nine reports indicated no significant differences in the total sleep hours, mean sleep efficiency, and REM sleep duration [50], no influence on perceived pain [53], no significant difference on the degree of maxillofacial fatigue or sleep quality [54], no statistical significance on McGill Pain Questionnaire scores, depression scores, Oral Health Impact Profile scores and Sleep/Tiredness/Snoring questionnaire score [51], no subject who woke up due to vibratory stimulation [57], no sleep interruption [61], no substantial change of the micro-arousal index [56], no significant differences in any sleep variables [59] and slight but non-significant self-reported discomfort [58].

Regarding the pharmacotherapy approaches, the following adverse events were reported: severe gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, and diarrhea) (n = 1/6) and drug reactions (n = 3/6) in bromocriptine [66]. Reduction of sleep quality, dry mouth, and dizziness were reported by Sakai et al.; however, there was no mention of the distinction between clona-zepam and clonidine [69]. Symptomatological hypotension (n = 3/16), reduction of systolic blood pressure, and thirst in clonidine [23], nausea (n = 3/13), drowsiness (n = 3/13), poor sleep (n = 1/13), and blurred vision (n = 1/13) were reported with pramipexole [79]. An increase of Apnea-Hypopnea Index and Oxygen Desaturation Index in male participants was reported with opipramol administration and facial changes due to masseter intramuscular injection were reported in BTX-A [68,74].

3.7. Confidence in cumulative evidence

The overall quality of evidence identified using the GRADE evidence profile was assessed as low to moderate (Tables 5–7). RCT studies presented a moderate quality of evidence, showing a serious imprecision due to lack of description of concealment, detail of dropout, sampling procedure, small sample size, and the small number of included studies. Low quality of evidence was found for non-RCT studies, also due to imprecision and inconsistency between studies.

3.8. Discussion

Bruxism, specifically SB and its management, is a globally discussed topic among academics and clinicians [82]. Therefore, updates and rigorous critical appraisals of existing evidence are necessary to send information to healthcare providers adequately. Thus, in this overview, we evaluated the most appropriate strategies to manage bruxism in a clinical setting based on the results of systematic reviews.

Overall, the risk of bias for the primary studies included was elevated because of the lack of randomization and allocation concealment description as well as blinding of participants and outcome assessors. These drawbacks limit the confidence in clinical recommendations based on these findings. Taking the results of all included systematic reviews together, combining the main findings, adverse effects, and evidence level, it was concluded that stabilization splint and BFT may be considered as the standard management strategies.

The nature of the oral splint has been previously evaluated, and biological safety and SB management ability against both invasive excessive mechanical force and reducing muscle hyperactivity have been well-known. In these systematic reviews, the oral stabilization splint type was considered the standard approach because of the SB suppression effect equivalent to other splints and low incidence of adverse events. The GRADE system assessed the SB reduction effects in these systematic reviews, and it was concluded to have a low degree of certainty.

Stimulation during sleep would be either intended to disrupt sleep continuity or provide a non-waking stimulus to reduce SB through the sensory feedback system [49]. SB episodes frequently occurred secondary to sleep micro-arousals associated with cerebral and cardiac activity [83]. Studies have shown that micro-arousals are triggered by sensory stimulation [84-86], and a short-term reduction in SB activity is achieved through sensory feedback [87,88]. Thus, it would be reasonable to stimulate the sensory system to reduce nocturnal EMG activity. Five studies investigated whether biofeedback stimulation interfered with normal sleep. In response, ECG-based CES (one article) [54], EMG-based CES (three articles) [50,51,53], and occlusal force-based vibratory feedback (five articles) [55–59] reduced the SB without substantial interference in sleep, as evidenced by questionnaire-based assessment and the EMG/PSG study. These results may indicate that CES at non-painful intensities did not cause major arousal responses in sleep parameters or interfered with self-reported sleep quality. Further research is needed to determine how new BFT affects sleep structure by full PSG investigations [54-58].

Finally, three articles investigating the effect of CES on pain-related outcomes in probable bruxers with myofascial masticatory muscle pain are not supportive of its effectiveness [51–53]. Raphael et al. reported a significant reduction in palpated pain and spontaneous pain intensity [52]. In addition, Jadidi et al. and Conti et al. reported that EMG-triggered CES during sleep significantly reduced EMG events in the temporalis muscle; however, this was not associated with a reduction in symptoms or signs of TMD problems [51] and masticatory muscle pressure pain threshold in patients with masticatory myofascial pain and probable SB [53]. In contrast, a partial posterior interocclusal biofeedback device reduced both AB and masticatory myofascial pain, TMJ, and neck pain [89]. At this point, whether the reduction in EMG activity through CES could also alleviate the pain variables, thus revealing a link between oromotor activity and pain, remains to be addressed. The results of these systematic reviews indicated that changes in pain could not be entirely attributed to changes in nocturnal EMG events. A recent study

Table 5

GRADE evidence profile: effect of stabilization splint therapy on sleep bruxism reduction.

management	Research design/ number of study	Risk of bias	inconsisten cy	imprecisio n	indirectnes s	other bias (publicatio n bias)	certainty of evidence	
overall	RCT/ 12	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	Very low (D)	
Control: palatal coverage splint	RCT/6	-1	0	-1	-1	-1	Low (C)	
Control: mandibular anterior repositioning splint	RCT/4	-1	0	0	-1	-1	Low (C)	
Control: anterior splint	RCT/2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	Very low (D)	

Table 6

GRADE evidence profile: effect of CBT and BFT on sleep bruxism reduction.

outcome	Researcg design/ number of study	Risk of bias	inconsisten cy	imprecisio n	indirectne ss	other bias (publication bias)	certainty of evidence
cognitive-behavioral therapy	RCT/ 2, Uncontrolled before-after study/ 1	-2	-2	-2	-2	0	Very low (D)
Biofeedback therapy	RCT/ 9, CCT/1, Uncontrolled before-after study/ 4	-1	0	-1	0	0	Moderate (B)

Table 7

GRADE evidence profile: effect of pharmacological approaches on sleep bruxism reduction.

Medication	Dose	Outcome	Research design/number of study	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Imprecision	Indirectness	Other bias (publication bias)	Certainty of evidence
Rabeprazole 10mg/night p.o.		RMMA index	RCT/1, CCT/1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	Moderate (B)
	Num. of burst/h	RCT/1	0		-1	0	0	Low (C)	
	Num. of episode w/ noise	RCT/1	0		-1	0	0	Low (C)	
		mean episode duration	CCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
L-Tryptophan	50 mg/weight kg p.o., 8 days	masseter muscle activities	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
		Num. of episode/h	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
Loundana	200 mg/night p.o.	root mean square	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
Levouopa	200 mg/mgncp.0.	mean episode duration	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
		Num. of burst/episode	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
		Num. of episode/h	RCT/1, Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	Low (C)
Bromocriptine	maximum 7.5 mg/night p.o., 2 weeks	Num. of burst/episode	RCT/1, Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	Low (C)
		Num. of burst/h	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
		Num. of episode w/ noise	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
		root mean square	RCT/1, CCT/1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	Low (C)
Amitriptyline	25 mg/night p.o., 1 to 4 weeks	masseter muscle activities	RCT/1, CCT/1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	Low (C)
		Num. of episode/h	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-2		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
Opipramol	100 mg/night p.o., 8 weeks	Num. of burst/h	Uncontrolled before-after study/1	-2		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
		Num. of episode/h	Uncontrolled before-after study/2	-2	0	-1	-1	0	Low (C)
Clonazepam	1 mg/night p.o.	RMMA index	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
		Num. of burst/h	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
	100 () 11	Num. of episode/h	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
Propranoioi	120 mg/night p.o.	Num. of burst/h	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Very Low (D)
		Num. of episode/h	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
Clonidine	0.15 or 0.3 mg/night p.o.	RMMA index	RCT/1	-1		-1	0	0	Moderate (B)
		Num. of burst/h	RCT/2	-1		-1	0	0	Low (C)
	100 to 300 mg/night, 8 weeks	Num. of episode/h	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	0	Moderate (B)
Gabapentin		masster muscle activities	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	0	Moderate (B)
-		mean episode duration	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	0	Low (C)
	0.09 to 0.54 mg/night, 3 weeks	Num. of episode/h	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	0	Low (C)
Pramipexole		Num. of burst/h	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	0	Low (C)
BTX-A injection 50	50 to 100 U overall masticatory muscle injection, 4 to 12 weeks follow-up	Num. of episode/h	RCT/2	-1		-1	0	-1	Moderate (B)
		RMMA index	RCT/2	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		masseter muscle activities	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		temporalis muscle activities	RCT/1	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		Num. of burst/episode	RCT/2	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		Num. of burst/h	RCT/2	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		Num. of episode w/ noise	RCT/2	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)
		mean episode duration	RCT/2	-2		-1	-1	-1	Low (C)

also failed to support an association between myofascial TMD pain and increased SB episodes or decreased time between SB events [90]. When EMG activities of the current criteria were applied to the alternative outcome, it could be concluded that BFT obtained clear evidence to reduce these outcomes; however, the validity of these criteria for EMG activities is still unclear, and the clinical effectiveness of BFT has not been evaluated yet. Thus, elucidation of the highly validated EMG criteria as alternative outcomes and evaluation with the clinical signs, symptoms, and consequences are necessary to assess the efficacy of the BFT.

On the other hand, rabeprazole also showed management efficacy and low side effects. Generally, proton pump inhibitors have a very good safety profile for short-term administration; however, several neurological adverse events due to long-term use have also been reported, such as dementia, including psychotic symptoms and affectivity disorders [91]. Thus, it may be better to use the minimum dose as needed. Conversely, the results of rabeprazole-related studies have indicated the possibility of gastroesophageal reflux disease; therefore, the management efficacy for normal subjects remains unclear. It is necessary to consider potential risks in the case of usage fully.

Lavigne et al. [18] suggested that the diagnostic criteria for PSG assessment included total episodes, episodes per unit time, bursts per episode, bursts per unit time, and the number of SB sound episodes [18]. Therefore, it was desirable to apply the above-mentioned parameters to determine treatment efficacy because it was possible to compare the research results directly. However, many SB-related studies obtained heterogeneous outcome measurements; thus, it was difficult to compare quantitatively among each research result. In this systematic review, especially in the biofeedback and pharmacology approaches, portable EMG indicated the specific outcome parameter instead of PSG, which was difficult to use because of the long-term observation period. Thus, it would be necessary to (1) elucidate clinically relevant and reliable EMG parameters and (2) develop and establish devices that can record reliable and valid parameters. In response, it would be possible to perform a qualitative comparison among several clinical research results.

Considering this issue, future studies should include a large sample size, a variety of sample populations, appropriate random allocation methods, clinically relevant outcomes and follow-up periods, and well-designed parallel RCTs.

4. Conclusion

Based on the currently available literature, the posed question in this review of the clinical efficacy and effectiveness of several types of management methods cannot be answered in a scientifically compelling manner. The accrued knowledge is based on scientifically weak evidence: no large sample of RCTs was available, short-term and long-term evaluations were mixed, nonstandardized SB-related parameters were used, randomization and concealment process in the study design was unclear, and properties of the subject's population were biased toward young and young adult subjects. Nonetheless, a preliminary evaluation of the literature suggests that stabilization splints represent a safe and relatively effective management approach to reduce EMGbased SB frequency and intensity. This systematic review included a GRADE assessment of the evidence. It concluded with a moderate degree of certainty that the biofeedback modalities, especially CES, significantly reduced the SB-related EMG episodes after a short-term period. Thus, BFT also can be recognized as an effective management procedure with further research supporting its use. Evidence of long-term positive/adverse effects is lacking; thus, more scientifically robust, well-designed, longitudinal studies with larger samples are needed to acknowledge the clinical application of biofeedback management. For the practical application of pharmacological therapy, further consideration is necessary to evaluate the positive and adverse effects and the properties of the target subjects.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Japan Prosthodontic Society. The authors wish to thank Mr. Abe, Shin-ichi, Ms. Narita, Natsuki and Ms. Kawai, Fujimi (Japan Medical Library Association, Project Contract Committee, Expert Searching Working Group for Clinical Practice Guidelines) for their useful help and contributions in searching for the manuscripts.

Scientifc field of dental science

Prosthodontics and Neuroscience.

References

- Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Raphael KG, Wetselaar P, Glaros AG, Kato T, et al. International consensus on the assessment of bruxism: report of a work in progress. J Oral Rehabil 2018;45(11):837–44.
- [2] Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Aarab G, Bracci A, Durham J, Ettlin D, et al. Towards a standardized tool for the assessment of bruxism (STAB)-overview and general remarks of a multidimensional bruxism evaluation system. J Oral Rehabil 2020;47(5):549–56.
- [3] van de Sande FH, Collares K, Correa MB, Cenci MS, Demarco FF, Opdam N. Restoration survival: revisiting patients' risk factors through a systematic literature review. Oper Dent 2016;41(S7):S7–26.
- [4] Ahlberg J, Rantala M, Savolainen A, Suvinen T, Nissinen M, Sarna S, et al. Reported bruxism and stress experience. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2002;30:405–8.
- [5] Ohayon MM, Li KK, Guilleminault C. Risk factors for sleep bruxism in the general population. Chest 2001;119:53–61.
- [6] Rugh JD, Harlan J. Nocturnal bruxism and temporomandibular disorders. Adv Neurol 1988;49:329–41.
- [7] Kato T, Thie NM, Montplaisir JY, Lavigne GJ. Bruxism and orofacial movements during sleep. Dent Clin North Am 2001;45:657–84.
- [8] Attanasio R. An overview of bruxism and its management. Dent Clin North Am 1997;41:229–41.
- [9] Funch DP, Gale EN. Factors associated with nocturnal bruxism and its treatment. J Behav Med 1980;3:385–97.
- [10] Kardachi BJ, Bailey JO, Ash MM. A comparison of biofeedback and occlusal adjustment on bruxism. J Periodo 1978;49:367–72.
- [11] Foster PS. Use of the Calmset 3 biofeedback/relaxation system in the assessment and treatment of chronic nocturnal bruxism. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 2004;29:141–7.
- [12] Bertazzo-Silveira E, Kruger CM, Porto De Toledo I, Porporatti AL, Dick B, Flores-Mir C, et al. Association between sleep bruxism and alcohol, caffeine, tobacco, and drug abuse: a systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc 2016;147(11):859–66. e4.
- [13] Feu D, Catharino F, Quintão CC, Almeida MA. A systematic review of etiological and risk factors associated with bruxism. J Orthod 2013;40(2):163–71.
- [14] Gray DW, Dixon JM, Seabrook G, Collin J. Is abdominal wall tenderness a useful sign in the diagnosis of non-specific abdominal pain? Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1988;70(4):233-4.
- [15] Melo G, Dutra KL, Rodrigues Filho R, Ortega AOL, Porporatti AL, Dick B, et al. Association between psychotropic medications and presence of sleep bruxism: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil 2018;45(7):545–54.
- [16] Ohmure H, Oikawa K, Kanematsu K, Saito Y, Yamamoto T, Nagahama H, et al. Influence of experimental esophageal acidification on sleep bruxism: a randomized trial. J Dent Res 2011;90(5):665–71.
- [17] Yamaguchi T, Abe S, Rompré P, Manzini C, Lavigne GJ. Comparison of ambulatory and polysomnographic recording of jaw muscle activity during sleep in normal subjects. J Oral Rehabil 2012;39(1):2–10.
- [18] Lavigne GJ, Rompré PH, Montplaisir JY. Sleep bruxism: validity of clinical research diagnostic criteria in a controlled polysomnographic study. J Dent Res 1996;75(1):546–52.
- [19] Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. J Clin Epidemiol 2021:178–89.
- [20] Miller SA, Forrest JL. Enhancing your practice through evidence-based decision making: PICO, learning how to ask good questions. J Evid Based Dent Pr 2001;1:136–41.
- [21] Ommerborn MA, Schneider C, Giraki M, Schäfer R, Handschel J, Franz M, et al. Effects of an occlusal splint compared with cognitive-behavioral treatment on sleep bruxism activity. Eur J Oral Sci 2007;115(1):7–14.
- [22] Saletu A, Parapatics S, Saletu B, Anderer P, Prause W, Putz H, et al. On the pharmacotherapy of sleep bruxism: placebo-controlled polysomnographic and psychometric studies with clonazepam. Neuropsychobiology 2005;51(4):214–25.
- [23] Huynh N, Lavigne GJ, Lanfranchi PA, Montplaisir JY, de Champlain J. The effect of 2 sympatholytic medications-propranolol and clonidine-on sleep bruxism: experimental randomized controlled studies. Sleep 2006;29(3):307–16.
- [24] Saletu A, Parapatics S, Anderer P, Matejka M, Saletu B. Controlled clinical, polysomnographic and psychometric studies on differences between sleep

bruxers and controls and acute effects of clonazepam as compared with placebo. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2010;260(2):163–74.

- [25] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. Cochrane bias methods group; cochrane statistical methods group. the cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.
- [26] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. rating the quality of evidence–study limitations (risk of bias). J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(4):407–15.
- [27] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, et al. GRADE working group. GRADE guidelines: 8. rating the quality of evidence–indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(12):1303–10.
- [28] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, et al. GRADE guidelines 6. rating the quality of evidence-imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(12):1283–93.
- [29] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, et al. GRADE working group. GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence–inconsistency. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(12):1294–302.
- [30] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. rating the quality of evidence-publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(12):1277–82.
- [31] Dubé C, Rompré PH, Manzini C, Guitard F, de Grandmont P, Lavigne GJ. Quantitative polygraphic controlled study on efficacy and safety of oral splint devices in tooth-grinding subjects. J Dent Res 2004;83(5):398–403.
- [32] van der Zaag J, Lobbezoo F, Wicks DJ, Visscher CM, Hamburger HL, Naeije M. Controlled assessment of the efficacy of occlusal stabilization splints on sleep bruxism. J Orofac Pain 2005;19(2):151–8.
- [33] Harada T, Ichiki R, Tsukiyama Y, Koyano K. The effect of oral splint devices on sleep bruxism: a 6-week observation with an ambulatory electromyographic recording device. J Oral Rehabil 2006;33(7):482–8.
- [34] Takahashi H, Masaki C, Makino M, Yoshida M, Mukaibo T, Kondo Y, et al. Management of sleep-time masticatory muscle activity using stabilisation splints affects psychological stress. J Oral Rehabil 2013;40(12):892–9.
- [35] Gerstner G, Yao W, Siripurapu K, Aljanabi H, Decker A, Ludkin D, et al. Over-thecounter bite splints: a randomized controlled trial of compliance and efficacy. Clin Exp Dent Res 2020;6(6):626–41.
- [36] Landry ML, Rompré PH, Manzini C, Guitard F, de Grandmont P, Lavigne GJ. Reduction of sleep bruxism using a mandibular advancement device: an experimental controlled study. Int J Prosthodont 2006;19(6):549–56.
- [37] Landry-Schönbeck A, de Grandmont P, Rompré PH, Lavigne GJ. Effect of an adjustable mandibular advancement appliance on sleep bruxism: a crossover sleep laboratory study. Int J Prosthodont 2009;22(3):251–9.
- [38] Arima T, Tomonaga A, Toyota M, Inoue SI, Ohata N, Svensson P. Does restriction of mandibular movements during sleep influence jaw-muscle activity? J Oral Rehabil 2010;39(7):545–51.
- [39] Singh PK, Alvi HÀ, Singh BP, Singh RD, Kant S, Jurel S, et al. Evaluation of various treatment modalities in sleep bruxism. J Prosthet Dent 2015;114(3):426–31.
- [40] Baad-Hansen L, Jadidi F, Castrillon E, Thomsen PB, Svensson P. Effect of a nociceptive trigeminal inhibitory splint on electromyographic activity in jaw closing muscles during sleep. J Oral Rehabil 2007;34(2):105–11.
- [41] Lukic N, Saxer T, Hou MY, Zumbrunn Wojczyńska A, Gallo LM, Colombo V. Shortterm effects of NTI-tss and Michigan splint on nocturnal jaw muscle activity: a pilot study. Clin Exp Dent Res 2021;7(3):323–30.
- [42] Franco L, Rompre PH, de Grandmont P, Abe S, Lavigne GJ. A mandibular advancement appliance reduces pain and rhythmic masticatory muscle activity in patients with morning headache. J Orofac Pain 2011;25(3):240–9.
- [43] Solanki N, Singh BP, Chand P, Siddharth R, Arya D, Kumar L, et al. Effect of mandibular advancement device on sleep bruxism score and sleep quality. J Prosthet Dent 2017;117(1):67–72.
- [44] Clarke JH, Reynolds PJ. Suggestive hypnotherapy for nocturnal bruxism: a pilot study. Am J Clin Hypn 1991;33(4):248–53.
- [45] Amorim CSM, Espirito Santo AS, Sommer M, Marques AP. Effect of physical therapy in bruxism treatment: a systematic review. J Manip Physiol Ther 2018;41(5):389–404.
- [46] Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Winocur E, Lobbezoo F. Management of sleep bruxism in adults: a qualitative systematic literature review. J Oral Rehabil 2015;42(11):862–74.
- [47] López MV, van Selms MKA, van der Zaag J, Hamburger HL, Lobbezoo F. Do sleep hygiene measures and progressive muscle relaxation influence sleep bruxism? report of a randomised controlled trial. J Oral Rehabil 2015;42(4):259–65.
- [48] Nishigawa K, Kondo K, Takeuchi H, Clark GT. Contingent electrical lip stimulation for sleep bruxism: a pilot study. J Prosthet Dent 2003;89(4):412–7.
- [49] Jadidi F, Castrillon E, Svensson P. Effect of conditioning electrical stimuli on temporalis electromyographic activity during sleep. J Oral Rehabil 2008;35(3):171–83.
- [50] Jadidi F, Nørregaard O, Baad-Hansen L, Arendt-Nielsen L, Svensson P. Assessment of sleep parameters during contingent electrical stimulation in subjects with jaw muscle activity during sleep: a polysomnographic study. Eur J Oral Sci 2011;119(3):211–8.
- [51] Jadidi F, Castrillon EE, Nielsen P, Baad-Hansen L, Svensson P. Effect of contingent electrical stimulation on jaw muscle activity during sleep: a pilot study with a randomized controlled trial design. Acta Odontol Scand 2013;71(5):1050–62.
- [52] Raphael KG, Janal MN, Sirois DA, Svensson P. Effect of contingent electrical stimulation on masticatory muscle activity and pain in patients with a myofascial temporomandibular disorder and sleep bruxism. J Orofac Pain 2013;27(1):21–31.

- [53] Conti PC, Stuginski-Barbosa J, Bonjardim LR, Soares S, Svensson P. Contingent electrical stimulation inhibits jaw muscle activity during sleep but not pain intensity or masticatory muscle pressure pain threshold in self-reported bruxers: a pilot study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radio 2014;117(1):45–52.
- [54] Sumiya M, Mizumori T, Kobayashi Y, Inano S, Yatani H. Suppression of sleep bruxism: effect of electrical stimulation of the masseter muscle triggered by heart rate elevation. Int J Prosthodont 2014;27(1):80–6.
- [55] Gu W, Yang J, Zhang F, Yin X, Wei X, Wang C. Efficacy of biofeedback therapy via a mini wireless device on sleep bruxism contrasted with occlusal splint: a pilot study. J Biomed Res 2015;29(2):160–8.
- [56] Nakamura H, Takaba M, Abe Y, Yoshizawa S, Suganuma T, Yoshida Y, Nakazato Y, Ono Y, Clark GT, Baba K. Effects of a contingent vibratory stimulus delivered by an intra-oral device on sleep bruxism: a pilot study. Sleep Breath 2019;23(1):363–72.
- [57] Bergmann A, Edelhoff D, Schubert O, Erdelt KJ, Pho, Duc JM. Effect of treatment with a full-occlusion biofeedback splint on sleep bruxism and TMD pain: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Clin Oral Invest 2020;24(11):4005–18.
- [58] Nakazato Y, Takaba M, Abe Y, Nakamura H, Ohara H, Suganuma T, Clark GT, Baba K. Effect of contingent vibratory stimulus via an oral appliance on sleep bruxism after the splint adaptation period. J Oral Rehabil 2021;48(8):901–8.
- [59] Ohara H., Takaba M., Abe Y., Nakazato Y., Aoki R., Yoshida Y., Suganuma T., Baba K. Effects of vibratory feedback stimuli through an oral appliance on sleep bruxism: a 6-week intervention trial. Sleep Breath. Epub ahead of print, 2021.
- [60] Sato M, lizuka T, Watanabe A, Iwase N, Otsuka H, Terada N, et al. Electromyogram biofeedback training for daytime clenching and its effect on sleep bruxism. J Oral Rehabil 2015;42(2):83–9
- [61] Saito-Murakami K, Sato M, Otsuka H, Miura H, Terada N, Fujisawa M. Daytime masticatory muscle electromyography biofeedback regulates the phasic component of sleep bruxism. J Oral Rehabil 2020;47(7):827–33.
- [62] Wang LF, Long H, Deng M, Xu H, Fang J, Fan Y, et al. Biofeedback treatment for sleep bruxism: a systematic review. Sleep Breath 2014;18(2):235–42.
- [63] Jokubauskas L, Baltrušaitytė A. Efficacy of biofeedback therapy on sleep bruxism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil 2018;45(6):485–95.
- [64] Miyawaki S, Tanimoto Y, Araki Y, Katayama A, Fujii A, Takano- Yamamoto T. Association between nocturnal bruxism and gastroesophageal reflux. Sleep 2003;26:888–92.
- [65] Ohmure H, Kanematsu-Hashimoto K, Nagayama K, Taguchi H, Ido A, Tominaga K, et al. Evaluation of a proton pump inhibitor for sleep bruxism: a randomized clinical trial. J Dent Res 2016;95(13):1479–86.
- [66] Lobbezoo F, Soucy JP, Hartman NG, Montplaisir JY, Lavigne GJ. Effects of the D2 receptor agonist bromocriptine on sleep bruxism: report of two single-patient clinical trials. J Dent Res 1997;76(9):1610–4.
- [67] Lavigne GJ, Soucy JP, Lobbezoo F, Manzini C, Blanchet PJ, Montplaisir JY. Doubleblind, crossover, placebo-controlled trial of bromocriptine in patients with sleep bruxism. Clin Neuropharmacol 2001;24(3):145–9.
- [68] Wieckiewicz M, Martynowicz H, Wieczorek T, Wojakowska A, Sluzalec-Wieckiewicz K, Gac P, et al. Consecutive controlled case series on effectiveness of opipramol in severe sleep bruxism management-preliminary study on new therapeutic path. Brain Sci 2021;11(2):146.
- [69] Sakai T, Kato T, Yoshizawa S, Suganuma T, Takaba M, Ono Y, et al. Effect of clonazepam and clonidine on primary sleep bruxism: a double-blind, crossover, placebo-controlled trial. J Sleep Res 2016;26(1):73–83.
- [70] Madani AS, Abdollahian E, Khiavi HA, Radvar M, Foroughipour M, Asadpour H, et al. The efficacy of gabapentin versus stabilization splint in management of sleep bruxism. J Prosthodont 2013;22(2):126–31.
- [71] Lee SJ, McCall Jr WD, Kim YK, Chung SC, Chung JW. Effect of botulinum toxin injection on nocturnal bruxism: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2010;89(1):16–23.
- [72] Shim YJ, Lee MK, Kato T, Park HU, Heo K, Kim ST. Effects of botulinum toxin on jaw motor events during sleep in sleep bruxism patients: a polysomnographic evaluation. J Clin Sleep Med 2014;10(3):291–8.
- [73] Shim YJ, Lee HJ, Park KJ, Kim HT, Hong IH, Kim ST. Botulinum toxin therapy for managing sleep bruxism: a randomized and placebo-controlled trial. Toxins (Basel) 2020;12(3):168.
- [74] Ondo WG, Simmons JH, Shahid MH, Hashem V, Hunter C, Jankovic J. Onabotulinum toxin-A injections for sleep bruxism: a double-blind, placebocontrolled study. Neurology 2018;90(7):e559–64.
- [75] Etzel KR, Stockstill JW, Rugh JD, Fisher JG. Tryptophan supplementation for nocturnal bruxism: report of negative results. J Craniomandib Disord 1991;5(2):115–20.
- [76] Lobbezoo F, Lavigne GJ, Tanguay R, Montplaisir JY. The effect of catecholamine precursor L-dopa on sleep bruxism: a controlled clinical trial. Mov Disord 1997;12(1):73–8.
- [77] Mohamed SE, Christensen LV, Penchas J. A randomized double-blind clinical trial of the effect of amitriptyline on nocturnal masseteric motor activity (sleep bruxism). Cranio 1997;15(4):326–32.
- [78] Raigrodski AJ, Christensen LV, Mohamed SE, Gardiner DM. The effect of fourweek administration of amitriptyline on sleep bruxism. a double-blind crossover clinical study. Cranio 2001;19(1):21–5.
- [79] Cahlin BJ, Hedner J, Dahlström L. A randomised, open-label, crossover study of the dopamine agonist, pramipexole, in patients with sleep bruxism. J Sleep Res 2017;26(1):64–72.
- [80] Fujii T, Torisu T, Nakamura S. A change of occlusal conditions after splint therapy for bruxers with and without pain in the masticatory muscles. Cranio 2005;23(2):113–8.

- [81] Todd MA, Freer TJ. Case report–anterior open bite as a complication of splint therapy. Aust Orthod J 1994;13(3):164–7.
- [82] Beddis H, Pemberton M, Davies S. Sleep bruxism: an overview for clinicians. Br Dent J 2018;225(6):497–501.
- [83] Kato T, Rompré P, Montplaisir JY, Sessle BJ, Lavigne GJ. Sleep bruxism: an or-omotor activity secondary to micro-arousal. J Dent Res 2001;80(10):1940–4.
 [84] Lavigne G, Zucconi M, Castronovo C, Manzini C, Marchettini P, Smirne S. Sleep arousal response to experimental thermal stimulation during sleep in human
- subjects free of pain and sleep problems. Pain 2000;84(2–3):283–90.
 [85] Steriade M, McCormick DA, Sejnowski TJ. Thalamocortical oscillations in the sleeping and aroused brain. Science 1993;262(5134):679–85.
- [86] Velluti RA. Interactions between sleep and sensory physiology. J Sleep Res 1997;6(2):61-77.
- [87] Kardachi BJ, Clarke NG. The use of biofeedback to control bruxism. J Periodo 1977;48(10):639-42.
- [88] Rugh JD, Solberg WK. Electromyographic studies of bruxist behavior before and during treatment. J Calif Dent Assoc 1975;3(9):56–9.
 [89] Haggiag A, de Siqueira JTT. A new biofeedback approach for the control of the control o
- masseter and temporal myalgia: utilization of an awake posterior interocclusal device. Cranio 2020;38(3):180–6.
 [90] Muzalev K, Lobbezoo F, Janal MN, Raphael KG. Interepisode sleep bruxism in-
- tervals and myofascial face pain. Sleep 2017;40(8):zsx078. [91] Novotny M, Klimova B, Valis M. PPI long term use: risk of neurological adverse
- events? Front Neurol 2019;9:1142.